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Abstract
Indigenous peoples around the world face similar challenges pertaining to their ancestral territories in planning, protection, policy, and advocacy. For Māori, of Aotearoa New Zealand, issues related to mana whenua, mana moana, demarcation and the protection of ancestral boundaries and associated cultural assets often require the creation of maps as proof of use and existence of tribal cultural footprints. Conceding this, GIS mapping technologies offers a unique suite of tools that can assist Indigenous peoples including Māori to demarcate their ancestral territories, tell their stories, map their biographies, protect their land and articulate their mana whenua and mana moana.

GIS technology has gained a world-wide reputation for its ability to manage and manipulate large amounts of geographical or spatially organised information. This technology has enormous implications and application for Indigenous peoples around the world looking at managing their own cultural information.

Indigenous cultures, including Māori, throughout the world are exploring the potential that GIS technology and techniques offers in managing and mapping their ancestral landscapes based on their unique view of their part of the world.

Indigenous peoples are traditionally oral based societies wherein their knowledge base was maintained and passed on using oral narratives such as songs, genealogies, chants, theatre and storytelling. Oral narratives such as mōteatea, karakia, tauparapara, and whakapapa and kōrero pūrākau unique to Māori were used to store their notions of the world and to pass that knowledge forward to each successive generation. Embedded in these oral narratives were their notions of place which informed their concept of a cultural landscape; a landscape informed by narratives; the geography of narratives.

The primary purpose of this thesis is to examine the potential for blending GIS technology with oral narratives without compromising the integrity or changing the nature of that landscape and culture that informs it or without those oral narratives losing any of their cultural integrity or mana.
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Preface

Conventions
The use of italics for Māori words in academic writing is an interesting debate. Whilst I do not want my language (*te reo*) treated as ‘another language’ within my own country, I do not wish for it to be confused with some English words. I have therefore chosen to italicise Māori words for the sake of clarity and as a conscious decision to illustrate that Māori is an integral part of this thesis. There are two exceptions: the word Māori and Māori placenames. When referring to Māori as a people, the word has not been italicised, however if used in a phrase such as *kaupapa Māori* or *kaupapa Māori rangahau* or *kaupapa Māori* research the word Māori has been italicised. The other exception is when the word Māori has been used in a subheading which requires italicisation. The use of macrons (a horizontal bar over a vowel such as Māori) has been employed throughout the entire thesis in line with the University of Otago policy and common practice. The only exception will be in direct quotations where the original text has not used any macrons. If the double vowel convention is used in material that is quoted, they will be retained.

Not all the Māori material cited in this thesis will be translated completely such as some of the *karakia*; although if appropriate, an explanation will be given in keeping with the objectives of their use. *Mōteatea* have been used widely in some chapters; in some cases English translations have been given to clarify their intent. The *karakia* and *mōteatea* employed in this thesis have been used solely to illustrate a point and not to teach either; for this to occur you must learn them via the proper channels by those who are familiar with cultural conventions that are part of the Māori world.

In this thesis, Indigenous has been given an initial capital consistent with the convention adopted by many original peoples. It is a statement of identification in the same way that names of races, nationalities, tribes, and religious groups generally take an initial capital.

In the context of this thesis, Indigenous peoples are taken to mean those peoples who share a common connection to their ancestral landscapes, consistent with Royal’s comments in his document ‘Indigenous Worldviews: A Comparative Study’.
... those cultures whose worldviews place special significance or weight behind the idea of the unification of the human community with the natural world... There seems to be a general agreement among ‘indigenous’ peoples the world over, whether Māori, Hawaiian, African, Native American and so on, that unification with the world is the primary concern of the worldviews contained within their traditional knowledge. (Royal 2002:2)

A Māori world view will be evident throughout this thesis which requires the observance of Māori protocol to ensure the mana of the oral information used herein remains intact.

**Limitations**

The main limitation imposed on this thesis was in locating some of the place names extant in mōteatea in the creation of maps. This cannot be done without extensive wānanga to decipher the mōteatea and in some cases ground truthing to locate the exact position of landmarks and place names for mapping purposes. However, this did not present a problem for the outcome of the thesis.
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### Definitions and Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>API</strong></td>
<td>An application programming interface (API) is an interface implemented by a software program which enables it to interact with other software.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cartography</strong></td>
<td>The representation of objects in a spatial context through symbology. The art and science of the creating and producing maps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GIS</strong></td>
<td>Geographic Information Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GPS</strong></td>
<td>Global Positioning Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GT</strong></td>
<td>Geospatial Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IMN</strong></td>
<td>Indigenous Mapping Network: The IMN is a network that connects native communities with the tools they need to protect, preserve, and enhance their way of life within their aboriginal territories and accomplish their sovereignty goals <a href="http://indigenousmapping.net/">http://indigenousmapping.net/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JavaScript</strong></td>
<td>Javascript is a programming language that is used to make web pages interactive. It runs on your visitor's computer and so does not require constant downloads from your web site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KML</strong></td>
<td>Keyhole Markup Language: KML is a file format used to display geographic data in an earth browser, such as Google Earth, Google Maps, and Google Maps for mobile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRM</strong></td>
<td>Participatory Research Mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PGIS</strong></td>
<td>Participatory creation of maps using GIS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SASI
The South African San Institute

Spatial Information Technology: Spatial Information Technology Tools include: Aerial and satellite remote sensing imagery, Global Positioning Systems (GPS), and Geographic Information Systems (GIS)

TPK

UNESCO
United Nations Educational Scientific Cultural Organisation
## Glossary

Māori is recognised as an official language of Aotearoa New Zealand hence this glossary has been provided for any international reader of this thesis. Dialectal differences within *te reo* Māori are not distinguished within this thesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Ahi kā roa</em></td>
<td>Occupation (keeping the home fires burning)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aotearoa</em></td>
<td>Common name for New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ariki</em></td>
<td>Prominent Chief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aroha</em></td>
<td>Love or feelings of sympathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Awa</em></td>
<td>River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Haka</em></td>
<td>Form of vigorous and fierce traditional dance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hapū</em></td>
<td>Sub-tribe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hiwi</em></td>
<td>Ridge of a hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hui</em></td>
<td>To gather, assemble, a meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Iwi</em></td>
<td>Tribe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kai</em></td>
<td>Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kaimoana</em></td>
<td>Sea-food (often associated with specific locations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kaitiaki</em></td>
<td>Care-giver, guardian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kaitiakitanga</em></td>
<td>The action of guarding, nourishing, protecting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Karakia</em></td>
<td>Ancient incantations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kaumātua</em></td>
<td>Elder (male or female)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kaupapa Māori</em></td>
<td>Māori philosophy or purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kaupapa Māori Rangahau</em></td>
<td>Research undertaken within a Māori epistemological framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kawa</em></td>
<td>Rules, procedures, protocols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kawe Mate</em></td>
<td>A ceremony involving carrying the death of the deceased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kōrero</em></td>
<td>Discussion, talk, story/stories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kōrero Pūrākau</em></td>
<td>Stories, myths and legends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word</td>
<td>Translation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koroua</td>
<td>Elder (male)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kotahitanga</td>
<td>Commitment to a common cause</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuia</td>
<td>Elder (female)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumara</td>
<td>Sweet potato</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahinga kai</td>
<td>Food gathering places</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mana</td>
<td>Prestige, status, authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manawhenua</td>
<td>Customary authority and title over land and other taonga (treasures)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maara kai</td>
<td>Cultivation sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manuhiri</td>
<td>Visitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marae</td>
<td>Cultural meeting place, grounds and buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mātaitai</td>
<td>Sea food and resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mātauranga</td>
<td>Knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mātauranga Māori</td>
<td>Māori knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maunga</td>
<td>Mountain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauri</td>
<td>Life force, life principle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moana</td>
<td>Sea, ocean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mokopuna</td>
<td>Grandchild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mōteatea</td>
<td>Ancient chants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oriori</td>
<td>Lullaby/chant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pā</td>
<td>Traditional Māori community place/fortified village</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paemaunga</td>
<td>Mountain range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pākehā</td>
<td>New Zealand European</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakiwaitara</td>
<td>Stories, myths, legends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papakāinga</td>
<td>Original home ground, home base or village</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pātaka</td>
<td>Food storehouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pēpeha</td>
<td>Tribal saying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pōhatu</td>
<td>Rocks or stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Translation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pou</td>
<td>Boundary marker, reference point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puke</td>
<td>Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangahau</td>
<td>Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rāwaho</td>
<td>Outsider, not belonging to a particular tribe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rohe</td>
<td>Area of occupation, region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rongotaketake</td>
<td>Peacemaking sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rua kumara</td>
<td>Storage pit for <em>kumara</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tāngata whenua</td>
<td>Person/people of the land, Indigenous People</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangi</td>
<td>Lament, funeral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangi Atahu</td>
<td>Type of song known as a bewitching song</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taonga</td>
<td>Treasure/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapu</td>
<td>Sacred, having special status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taungia kia</td>
<td>Fishing ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tauranga waka</td>
<td>Canoe landing place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tipuna / tūpuna</td>
<td>The ancestors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Ao Māori</td>
<td>The world of the Māori</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te iwi Māori</td>
<td>Māori people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Ika a Māui</td>
<td>North Island of New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te reo</td>
<td>The (Māori) language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Wai Pounamu</td>
<td>Common name for the South Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takitaki</td>
<td>Chant that recites <em>whakapapa</em> (genealogies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tauparapara</td>
<td>Introductory chant to a formal speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tikanga</td>
<td>Protocols, the right, correct, affirmative action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tino rangatiratanga</td>
<td>Autonomy, self determination, independence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tipuna/Tipuna</td>
<td>Ancestor/ Ancestors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tohunga</td>
<td>Specialist, expert, high priest of the <em>wānanga</em>, holder of esoteric knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tūrangawaewae</td>
<td>Place to stand, home ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word</td>
<td>Translation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uri</td>
<td>Descendants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urupā</td>
<td>Burial site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wāhi tapu/waahi tapu</td>
<td>Sacred site or sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiata</td>
<td>Song</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waka</td>
<td>Canoe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whai</td>
<td>Traditional string manipulation or the creation of string figures otherwise known as <em>Te Whai wawewawe a Maui</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whaikōrero</td>
<td>Formal speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whakaiiro</td>
<td>Carving, inscription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whakapapa</td>
<td>Genealogy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whakataukī</td>
<td>Proverbial saying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whānau</td>
<td>Family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whanaungatanga</td>
<td>Family relationships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whare wānanga</td>
<td>Institution of learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whenua</td>
<td>Land</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter One: *Taku Tapuwae*: Positioning
**Introduction**

The *kumara* or sweet potato is widely known among Māori for its sweet taste and is referred to in *whakataukī*¹ in this manner:

“Ehara te kumara e kōrero mō tōna ake reka”

Translated, it means:

“The kumara does not boast of its own sweetness”

In the Māori world this *whakataukī* refers to the qualities of modesty and humility in a person; it also admonishes a person not to boast about themselves or their achievements. Furthermore, it cautions a person to keep sacred things close and to not disclose that sacred knowledge to those outside the inner sanctum of the *iwī* or tribe.

I use this *whakataukī* merely to underline my position as a Māori person, engaged in research within the western academy that brings into play a *kaupapa Māori* view. I use this *whakataukī* to introduce who I am, where I come from, and how I approach the task of writing up this dissertation.

Dr Paul Reynolds (2004), while negotiating his PhD, was encouraged by Dr Cherryl Smith to embark on a pathway of *Kaupapa Māori* research by positioning himself in context. (Macrons not used in the original text)

One of the things you’ll have to do in your thesis is to put yourself in context. You have to chuck out the “I’m an objective observer” rubbish. If you come from a *Kaupapa Māori* perspective, we always position ourselves, “who are we?” “where are we from?” And that question “where are we from?” is not only where are we from tribally but also where are we from in terms of our learning, our ideas, and what has shaped our ideology. And we speak from that. We claim the right to be speakers of and protectors of our own knowledge. That’s a really important part for you to kind of nut out too and an important part to write. (Reynolds 2004:6)

¹ *Whakataukī* is a tribal saying, a proverb. This *whakataukī*, while considered grammatically incorrect by academics in this form, was given to me by an elder from my tribal area. It is used in this form throughout the thesis, see pages 3 and 23
This is where I disclose who I am, where I come from, and what my worldview is. I do this because it informs my view of research and anchors my approach to this thesis. In doing this I am guided by the whakataukī above: ehora te kumara e kōrero mō tōna ake reka. I talk about myself, my background and where I come from not to boast but to open a window to my world and to provide a glimpse at that world through my set of lenses. This will give the reader an idea of how I approached this thesis; more importantly, this is where I make a stand and claim the right to be a speaker and protector of our brand of knowledge.

Cultural and Geographical Positioning

When my ancestors left the shores of Hawaiki to journey across the great sea of Kiwa, the Pacific Ocean, “to the land of the Long-lingering-daylight”, the land of the long white cloud, they were advised by the priests who knew the “ancient ara moana or sea-paths of their ancestors” (Grace 1959: 36) who had made that same journey: (Emphasis added. Macrons not used in the original text)

“kia whakatau koutou ki a Atutahi ma Rehua;
ko Atutahi e whakatata nei ki te Mangaroa!”

Direct your course to Canopus by Rehua (Antares);
Canopus that is by the side of the Milky Way! (Grace 1959:36)

Thus I set my course: first by positioning myself geographically and culturally within the Māori view of the world; and second by positioning myself as a Māori researcher within the western academy guided by those well versed in the journey and well informed with Indigenous and Māori research methodologies.

Māori position themselves geographically and culturally in the world using a variety of methods such as whakapapa and pēpeha. Whakapapa is an important concept in the

---

2 Whakapapa: in its simplest form, whakapapa are genealogies. Williams (1985) describes whakapapa as the act of reciting genealogies and legends in proper order; a genealogical table, and to place in layers, one layer upon another

3 Pēpeha is more than a localised tribal saying. Pēpeha is often translated as the sayings of an ancestor or even a tribal saying or even a proverb. Pēpeha also refers to charms, witticisms, figures of speech, boasts and other sayings. According to Mead & Grove, Pēpeha reflect thoughts on many aspects of Māori culture including history, religious life, conduct, ethics, warfare, marriage, death and weather. They are
Māori world. It encompasses Māori notions of identity and is a framework for understanding the Māori worldview. It determines the cosmological connections to the heavens, the earth and all the living things within the environment. It is also the instrument whereby Māori derive their intimate connections to the land and how they articulate their sense of belonging to their sacred places, stretching back hundreds of years. It is the source of their rights to tūrangawaewae, their place to stand in the world, and their personal mana and tapu. The whakapapa that defines my geographical centre is encapsulated succinctly in a special pēpeha that defines my position within this world and is widely used by tribal members of the Taupō region:

Ko Tongariro te maunga
Ko Taupō-nui-a-Tia te moana
Ko te Heuheu tonu te tangata
Ko Ngāti Tūwharetoa te iwi

Tongariro is the mountain
Taupō-nui-a-Tia is the lake
te Heuheu is the chief
Tūwharetoa is the people

The notion of reciting pēpeha is a cultural paradigm that locates Māori ‘in a set of identities which have been framed geographically, politically and genealogically” (Smith 1999a: 126). This pēpeha describes features of the land clothed with names given to the region by ancestors who inherited the region. Inherent in each name is a sacred corpus of oral traditions that describe the deeds of the ancestors, imbue the land with character and shape the identity of the local īwi or tribe as a separate and unique people of Aotearoa, New Zealand; behind each name is a story. These are the oral traditions that position the author geographically and culturally as a member of the Tūwharetoa tribe and as a Māori within the Māori view of this world.

Four great ancestors, who shaped the cultural landscape of the Taupō region, are referred to in this pēpeha either directly as is te Heuheu or by inference as is Ngātoroirangi, who could be considered one of the most important founding ancestors often used in formal speeches heard on the marae and are used to convey timely lessons. See Hirini Mead & Neil Grove., (2003) Ngā Pēpeha a Ngā Tīpuna: The Sayings of the Ancestors. Victoria University Press: Wellington.

4 Mana and tapu: there are a lot of connotations associated with these two principles. Mana can refer to the power and authority of the ancestors, spiritual power and prestige, the enduring power of the gods. It has also been referred to as a spiritual force endowed from the gods (refer to: Salmond 1985, Barlow 1991, Marsden 1992). Tapu is derived from the power and influence of the gods. All living things are imbued with tapu; tapu equates to sacredness, respect and deference. (Barlow 1991)
of Ngāti Tūwharetoa, Tūwharetoa the eponymous ancestor of the tribe in the region and Tia after whom Taupō derives its name. It was Ngātoroirangi who laid the foundation for occupation of these territories by his descendants who eventually became known as Ngāti Tūwharetoa.

In the pēpeha, Tongariro is referred to as the sacred mountain of the Ngāti Tūwharetoa people of the Taupō region who derive their connection to the mountain through the tohunga ancestor of that tribe Ngātoroirangi. He was the tohunga (high priest) of Te Arawa canoe and from whom they, the Tūwharetoa people, primarily derive the mana or right to inhabit the Taupō region.

The Arawa canoe is reputed to have landed in Aotearoa around 1350 A.D guided by the esoteric knowledge of Ngātoroirangi the tohunga, captained by Tama-te-kapua his close kin with whom he had a strained relationship. On landing at Maketū on the east coast of Te-ika-a-Māui, the North Island of Aotearoa New Zealand, two important ancestors from the Arawa canoe set off inland to claim lands for their descendants. Both ancestors, Ngātoroirangi and Tia, became well known in the oral traditions of the Tūwharetoa people and are synonymous with the Taupō region (Ballara 2004, Grace 1959). The trek inland for both ancestors began at Maketū, where their canoe landed, and converged at a place called Taupō 135kms inland from Maketū.

Ngātoroirangi the tohunga and his followers travelled south from Maketū down the east coast of the North Island to the mouth of what is now the Tarawera River at Matatā. The original name of the Tarawera River was Te Awa-a-te-Atua (river of the god), conveying the esteem in which his followers held Ngātoroirangi. From here Ngātoroirangi travelled up the Tarawera River to Lake Tarawera where he climbed Ruawahia peak and saw Tauhara Mountain nestled to the east of Lake Taupō. He then traversed the landscape arriving at Tauhara Mountain where he erected a tuahu (altar) to ensure the gods would grant him safe passage. It was while he was on Tauhara that he spotted his relative Tia journeying around the lake. It was while on Tauhara he bespoke the lake for his descendants and to make his point cast his spear called Kuwha.
into the lake where it landed near Wharewaka; it is still there today in the form of a tree (Ballara 2004, Grace 1959).

It is at this point that Tia, his relative, enters the scene. Tia journeyed inland from Maketū to Rotorua where he and his party settled for a short period before moving on. His journey further inland from Rotorua to Taupō can be traced through a number of places that bear his name: Horohoroinganui-o-Tia ‘the great cleansing of Tia’ now known as Horohoro, Atiamuri indicating that Tia was following in someone else’s footsteps, Te Maroa-nui-a-Tia in reference to a ritual involving food, the Aratiatia ‘the stairway of Tia’, Oruanui-a-Tia ‘the great decision of Tia’, and Taupōnui-a-Tia often referred to ‘the great cloak of Tia’ (Ballara 2004).

Taupōnui-a-Tia derives its name from an incident involving Tia who noticed while he was at a place called Paka, now known as Hamaria, a high rocky cliff which resembled the cloak he wore around his shoulders.\(^5\) He established a post called Hikurangi just below the cliff to which he fastened his cloak. He then named the cliffs Taupō-nui-a-Tia. The name was subsequently given to the lake itself and the land surrounding the lake stretching from Atiamuri in the north, to the northern slopes of Tongariro Mountain to the south; from the Hauhungaroa ranges in the west to the Kaimanawa ranges in the east (Ballara 2004, Grace 1959).

When Ngātoroirangi descended from Tauhara Mountain he travelled south along the eastern shores of Lake Taupō setting up a series of tuahu or altars along the way at Rotongaio, Te Hatepe, Hamaria, and Motutere thus establishing his claim to the region. When he arrived at Motutere he spotted Tongariro in the distance and decided that he would climb that mountain. From Motutere he travelled to Tokaanu then on to Tongariro which derives its name from an incident involving his ascension (Grace 1959).

On his way up the mountain, Ngātoroirangi was overcome by the intense cold and on reaching the summit petitioned his ancestral spirits and his sisters Kuīwai and

\(^5\) This is one interpretation or story behind the meaning of Taupō-nui-a-Tia.
**Haungaroa** to send him fire to heat his cold body (Grace 1959:63-64): “Kuiwai e! Haungaroa e! ka riro au i te tonga, tukuna mai te ahi” The fire gods Te Pupu and Te Hoata came tracing a trail of fire from Hawaiki through to Whakaari or White Island, Moutohora, Okakaru, Rotoehu, Rotoiti, Tarawera, Paeroa, Orakeikorako, Taupō and Tokaanu right up to Ketetahi springs on the northern slopes of Tongariro Mountain. Tongariro derives its name from this incident “ka riro au i te tonga”; to be overcome or seized with cold. This is the story behind: *Ko Tongariro te maunga, Ko Taupōniatia te moana.*

*Ko te Heuheu te tangata: Te Heuheu* is one of those names that is synonymous with Tūwharetoa in the Taupō region and has been for several generations. The name itself was derived from an incident involving a plant known as a maheuheu, a brushwood which had grown over the entrance to a cave bearing the bones of an important ancestor known as Te Rangipumamao. One of the important chiefs of that period was Hereara also known as Herea. Herea’s wife Rangiaho, who was with child, decided that should the baby be a boy she would name him Heuheu in remembrance of her relative Te Rangipumamao whose burial cave was guarded by the maheuheu plant. Herea was also given the name Te Rangimaheuheu by his wife at the birth of their son. The name te Heuheu was subsequently taken as a family name and seven generations later te Heuheu is still the ariki or paramount chief of Ngāti Tūwharetoa (Ballara 2004:139-142, Grace 1959: 235, 236).

*Herea* was the first of the Heuheu line to become ariki of the Ngāti Tūwharetoa of Taupō. He was followed by Tukino later called Mananui, then Horonuku who was named after the incident that killed his father, Tureiti who was literally born late, then Hoani, Sir Hepi and Sir Tumu (Dr) who is the current ariki of Ngāti Tūwharetoa.

*Ko Ngāti Tūwharetoa te iwi: Ngāti Tūwharetoa* the iwi or tribe of the central north island region surrounding Lake Taupō derives its name from their eponymous ancestor Tūwharetoa who lived in and around the Kawerau region in the 16th century. They

---

6 Iwikau the brother of Mananui became ariki of Ngāti Tūwharetoa at the death of his brother
trace their origins back to the Arawa canoe which bore the famous ancestors Tia and Ngātoroirangi. Tūwharetoa himself did not occupy the Taupō region during his lifetime but his uri (descendants) did. It wasn’t until the time of Tūrangitukua six generations from Tūwharetoa that Ngāti Tūwharetoa began to establish their mana in the Taupō region.

Eleven generations later from Tūrangitukua and his contemporary Tūtetawha, I was born to Tūwharetoa parents. From here we must return to the point at which we began; to the pēpeha that illustrates who I am, where I come, and offers a small glimpse into my world; it also succinctly describes my geographical and cultural centre and firmly positions me at the point where I explore the notion of kaupapa Māori rangahau or research based on Māori concepts.

---

7 See table 1.1 for the whakapapa that traces the author’s descent from Tūwharetoa himself. The whakapapa is included to honour my ancestors and to provide a framework for defining my connections to my homelands.
Note: Tukino¹ from the Hinerangi & Turumakina lines is the same person. Likewise Mananui² from the Te Ranigiita & Turumakina lines is the same person.
Academic Positioning: Kaupapa Māori Rangahau

Whenever Māori engage in debate, discussion, or whai kōrero on the marae or within the ancestral houses or in research, whakataukī and pepeha are common tools used to engage, instruct and edify an audience. To engage this section and introduce the concept of kaupapa Māori rangahau, I refer a well-known whakatauki: “ā mua, i muri ō kōrero” or in other words: the decisions of the future reside in the past; for Māori, research is often thought of as a journey stretching back to the future (Turama Hawira, personal communication, Feb 2009).

Kaupapa Māori rangahau is research which adheres to “first principles” (Hawira 2009, personal communication). The term kaupapa translates as guiding principles (Marsden 2003). According to Rawinia Higgins in her doctoral thesis, a kaupapa Māori research paradigm “develop[s] values, actions, customs and reflections of realities that are intrinsic to Māori identity” (Higgins 2004:5). Paul Reynolds in his doctoral thesis Ngā Puni Whakapiri, describes kaupapa Māori research as an “Indigenous [way] of knowing and doing research” (Reynolds 2004:42) where the “validity of Māori knowledge and Māori cultural values are taken for granted” (Reynolds 2004:49). A kaupapa Māori research strategy is one that is derived from and located in te ao Māori (the Māori worldview) (Ka’ai 2005, Reynolds 2004, Walker et al 2006) encapsulates Māori values and cultural practices, and is a reflection of the “relationship Māori have to the land and the environment” (Ka’ai 2005:3). Charles Royal argues that Indigenous peoples need to express their interpretations of their worldviews and create their “own indigenous epistemologies and theories of knowledge” (Royal 2002:12). Linda Smith in her book Decolonizing Methodologies: Research and Indigenous Peoples provides an alternative approach to Indigenous research and researchers. Her book is a much sought after academic source for Indigenous scholars around the world. Kaupapa Māori research rejects the notion of outside control of ”authority and truth” and locates research within Māori "epistemological version of validity" thus firmly investing “the power [of research] within Māori cultural practices” (Bishop 1998:1) whilst locating Māori at the centre (Bishop 1998) in “control of the research agenda” (Walker et al 2006:334) rather than the outside. Thus Māori researchers refer to methodology as “kaupapa Māori research or Māori centred research” where “indigenous values, attitudes and practices” are at the core of their approach (Smith 1999a:125).
Graham Smith in his address to the Kaupapa Māori Symposium argues that a kaupapa Māori approach positions Māori at the centre having a transformative effect that encourages iwi Māori to:

reclaim the validity and legitimacy of [their] own language, knowledge and culture; to position [their] own ways of knowing as being relevant and significant in the `elite' knowledge production and reproduction `factories'.

(Smith 2003:4)

In effect, kaupapa Māori encourages Māori to take “responsibility for transforming their own condition” (Smith 2003:2) and to focus on what it is Māori want and what Māori are about (Smith 2003:3) or rather kaupapa Māori rangahau is research conducted “by Māori, for Māori and with Māori” (Walker et al 2006:333). As Reynolds argues, “the real strength in Kaupapa Māori Theory [is] its transformative nature” (Reynolds 2004:55).

Māori refer to tikanga as ethical behaviour; or in the case of research, an ethical and appropriate approach to research that demonstrates respect for tikanga, cultural practices and people (Cram 2001). Research into tribal knowledge requires an “ethical and respectful” (Smith 1999a:139) approach as it embraces tikanga practices or principles such as whanaungatanga or the responsibility to maintain respectful relationships (Hawira 2009) or as Russell Bishop articulates whakawhanaungatanga the concept of induction into the family (Bishop 1996). Whanaungatanga and whakawhanaungatanga both derive from the root word whānau (family); both terms imply connectedness between the researcher and the participants. For Māori, research into tribal knowledge within the inner sanctum of the Māori world carries with it a significant degree of social responsibility. This responsibility manifests itself in observing an ethical approach to research and carries with it an unspoken obligation to reciprocate by sharing knowledge (Hawira Feb 2009). The concepts of reciprocity and connectedness are a significant part of the researcher’s responsibility to “give back to the community” (Reynolds 2004:48).

Research into tribal knowledge also embraces kaitiakitanga, or the concept of active preservation and nurturing of all taonga (something of great value) tangible or intangible in a state of balance (Marsden 1992), and kotahitanga, the concept of
commitment to a common cause (Hawira Feb 2009). Research conducted using these “first principles” and observing tikanga often results in access to tribal lore through recognized knowledge holders who are often kaumatua (elders) (Walker et al 2006).

Tribal lore is often expressed in terms of whakapapa, karakia, mōteatea, whakataukī, pēpeha, and kōrero pūrākau and is underpinned by the concept of mana. Tino rangatiratanga is the expression of sovereignty, or the ability to exercise mana and underpins the concept of kaupapa Māori (Pihama, Cram, & Walker 2002). The purpose of kaupapa Māori rangahau is to maintain the mana or tino rangatiratanga of the tribe. Thus when Māori undertake research into tribal knowledge, the researchers’ job is to maintain the mana or sovereignty of the iwi (tribe) (Hawira Feb 2009).

Tribal knowledge has its roots in the sacred institutions or houses of learning known as whare wānanga. In some tribal areas, the whare wānanga derived its beginnings from the exploits of the atua Tāne-te-wānanga, or Tāne-nui-a-Rangi who climbed to the highest heavens and secured the kete wānanga or the sacred knowledge baskets (Smith 1913, Marsden 1992). Tāne also brought back the blueprint for the constructing the whare wānanga to house this sacred knowledge.\(^9\) Tribal knowledge is passed down in whare wānanga from generation to generation to those who are specifically chosen and trained in the disciplines of memory retention (Marsden 1992, Royal 1998). This systematic and meticulous transmission of tribal knowledge still exists today in some form in the Māori world. The mana of that tribal knowledge is maintained by key individuals that were known as tohunga in the ancient Māori world (Hawira Feb 2009).

To the initiated who understand the cultural conventions of the tribe, tribal knowledge can be found everywhere: within the intricate whakairo (carvings) of ancestral pātaka (food storehouses) and houses both inside and outside (Hawira Feb 2009) and in the place names (Davis 1990, Carter 2005) and stories embedded in the landmarks that make up the tribal landscape and ancestral domains (Davis 1990). Tribal knowledge is found in the oral archives of mōteatea, tauparapara, karakia, pakiwaitara, pēpeha,

\(^8\) Karakia are complex incantations. Mōteatea are traditional songs or chants
\(^9\) This is one version of the story of how the kete wānanga were bought to earth.
whakataukī, whakatauāki, whaikōrero and in the recitation of tribal whakapapa. Most of these sources of tribal knowledge, although recorded, cannot be understood unless one understands the cultural conventions and is then taught by one who is initiated; these offerings must then be carefully memorised and rehearsed thoughtfully by the uninitiated (Marsden 1992, Royal 1998, Hawira Feb 2009).

To return to the original enquiry: what is kaupapa Māori rangahau? And what place do principles occupy in research? Kathy Irwin (Smith 1999a:184) refers to “kaupapa Māori as research which is ‘culturally safe’, which involves the ‘mentorship’ of elders, which is culturally relevant and appropriate while satisfying the rigour of research, and which is undertaken by a Māori researcher, not a researcher who happens to be Māori” (Smith 1999a:184).

The position of a researcher is artificial wherein they are granted admission into the inner sanctum of tribal customary domain. In some tribal areas the highest level of esoteric, sacerdotal lore has never been spoken of to the uninitiated within the tribe let alone those from outside the tribe such as researchers. In some instances, sacred lore has never been uttered in any other languages except Māori (Winitana 2006:2). Tribal knowledge such as this is traditionally reserved for a chosen few usually blood-kin. To engage in research as an outsider involving some aspect of tribal knowledge is to be admitted as a rāwaho (outsider) into the inner circle of tribal customary domain. Therefore, there is an unwritten obligation as a researcher to observe certain principles of behaviour or tikanga. The sacred nature of traditional knowledge given, demands the reciprocation of respect and that knowledge is returned (Hawira, Feb 2009).

Given the sacred nature of tribal lore and the unspoken demands required of Māori researchers begs the question: why start this dissertation?

---

10 Chris Winitana: Ngāti Tīwharetoa WAI 575 Briefs of evidence Otukou, 11-20 October 2006, p2
Personal Positioning

There were essentially two reasons why I started this dissertation. One was to complete a promise uttered as a 15 year old youth following the passing of my grandmother. The other more primal reason was to fulfil an oath made at the graveside of my mother several years later. My grandmother, Mareikura, and my mother, Tereinamu, both had full whakapapa Māori ancestry and were speakers of their native tongue. Both were at ease in the Māori world where the metaphysical blended with the physical; where ancestors were revered and often thought of as living breathing entities. My grandmother particularly was gifted in karakia and mōteatea and spoke a language unique to that generation. She lived in an era and with a genre of great orators and exponents of mōteatea; an art that is reputed to be perhaps 2000 years old (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 1: xi). It was not uncommon for Māori in that era to sing or chant for three days without repeating a song or chant. Some could even ‘boast’ (in the kumara sense) to know several hundred songs. On her death bed my grandmother sang her last mōteatea in recognition of her ancestors who had come to take her home. My mother and my grandmother were shaped and influenced by a remarkably different world to mine, a world I am coming to appreciate as I sift through the mōteatea and as I am taught the karakia. This thesis is largely shaped by mōteatea which I will explore in terms of mapping ancestral landscapes.

If I think carefully about the principles that governed the life of my mother and that of my grandmother, they were crucial to the survival of their tribal identity. Thus, two of the most important and influential people in my life shaped the beginnings of what proved to be a monumental task without equal. This has been a largely selfish pursuit over several years of perfecting a set of research skills whilst compiling a substantial piece of self-indulgent writing. The strength of the PhD process is in the time it allows for one to both seek and unveil the font of wisdom. For this to occur requires time dedicated to ruminating and drawing deductions from divers and diverse sources. The number of years required for a PhD to mature allows for a slow acquisition of the wisdom within; and so it is in the Māori world as it takes time to grow a great tree in the forest of Tāne, the keeper of the forests.
Context of the research

Quite simply, this thesis is about our whenua, our land and how we as Māori are defined by the relationship and connections we have with that land. Our land is referred to in everything we do: the chants and songs we sing, the stories we tell, the food we grow and gather, the resources we harvest, the places that create a sense of awe and belonging, the battles our ancestors have fought and the cherished memories we have cultivated generation after generation. Whenua or land is the enduring element that binds our collective history and knowledge together into a single seamless continuous story. Those stories are still being told today; it is our legacy for the mokopuna, the following generations. It defines who we are in this world and as we of Tūwharetoa say:

Ko Tongariro te maunga, Tongariro is the mountain,
Ko Taupōnuiatia te moana, Taupōnui-a-Tia is the lake,
Ko te Heuheu te tangata, Te Heuheu is the chief,
Ko Ngāti Tūwharetoa te īwi. Tūwharetoa is the tribe.

I speak of the whenua as if it were a personal and intimate friend; one that I was introduced to when I was but a boy of nine walking hand-in-hand with my grandfather. His name was Raniera te Kuru; a man whose memory I cherish and have remembered since his passing many years ago. I mention my grandfather because it was he that took me crayfishing in the Tokaanu river that ran past his house. He took me to Ratana pā, to Maunganamu, to the hot pools, ngā wai koropupū, to the lookout above the homestead on Hirangi Road, to Piripekapeka urupā, to the moana, to Puhaorangi and Hirangi marae and to Rotopounamu nestled on the hill above Rotoaira and Otukou Marae. He took me to places that I still frequent today. More importantly, he ignited in me a spark that fuelled my thirst for learning about the whenua and by extension the Māori world.

As I grew older I began to explore the whakapapa, the mōteatea, the karakia, and the kōrero. I began to learn about the people who inhabited the land before my grandfather and his generation; my ancestors. I began to learn the mōteatea and haka composed by eminent ancestors that illustrated the lives of those ancestors. I began to learn various types of karakia that were a part of the ancient esoteric world of the ancestors. I also began to sift through and understand the stories of yore which added character to the
ancestors and meaning to the whakapapa. I sat with the elders and paid attention to what they were talking about on the marae and in private sessions with them. I would stand alongside my mother when we were at tangi and pepper her with questions. I began to forge relationships with kaumatua (the elders), both kuia and koroua that still exist to this day. I consider these relationships my personal pool of cultural knowledge which I continually draw from. Decades later, I feel that I have a relationship with the whenua and the ancestors and yet I am still learning.

But this dissertation is not about the whenua per se; nor is it about the relationships that Māori have cultivated with that land; neither is it about my personal journey of learning and cultivating a relationship with the land. Rather, it is about finding a way to blend this unique ancestral relationship to whenua with Geographical Information Systems (GIS) mapping technologies without any of the ‘stories’ losing any of its uniqueness or the land losing any of its integrity.

**Indigenous Context**
GIS is considered the current mapping tool of choice around the world for managing large disparate sets of data. It is currently being used by Indigenous peoples around the world for a variety of reasons such as supporting research conducted on tribal lands and economic development as in the case of the Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians located in Palm Springs CA; or tracking diminishing territories as in the case of the Coeur d’Alene tribe of Idaho; and mapping the original homelands consisting of hunting and trading areas as well as mound sites and village locations of the Chickasaw Nation of Oklahoma; in Alaska the Columbia river intertribal fish commission use GIS to display land ownership and the distribution of steelhead trout and to plan for future activities; ancestral boundary maps are delineated by the Confederated tribes of the Coos, lower Umpqua and the Siuslaw Indians of Oregon (Sappington 2008).

Another Tribal use of GIS was to create a map that tracked an inter-tribal canoe journey. The week-long 2007 annual inter-tribal canoe journey was hosted by the Lummi coastal Nation of Washington. This annual event recreates the traditional
highways of the ancestors. A similar event was hosted by the Muckleshoot Indian Tribe of Auburn Washington in 2006; in both cases, GIS was used to create maps featuring the traditional ancestral highways (Sappington 2008).

In other parts of the world such as Canada, the Lil’wat Nation of British Columbia use GIS to trace the impact of logging, mining, road building, and construction and recreation activities on their traditional territories over the last eighty years. Further south in México, GIS is being used to capture local knowledge of the Nahua community, Cuatlamayán. Participatory research mapping is being used to develop digital geography of indigenous México (Sappington 2008).

Further south in Guatemala, GIS has an interesting and innovative application: merging modern spatial information tools with a narrative form of geography or geography conveyed through stories, legends and traditions based on collective experience. This application has crucial implications for this thesis and forms part of the solution to the problem of merging Māori notions of land with modern spatial information technologies. It is known as the *Lienzo*, “the map that tells a story” (Ibárgüen2009) and is discussed in detail in Chapter Four.

**Focus of the research**
Māori have a similar approach wherein they convey their narrative form of geography based on the collective experience of successive generations. Narrative or cultural geography is communicated using *mōteatea* or classical chants and song, *tauparapara* which are part of classical speech making, *kōrero pūrākau* or stories and legends and other traditional arts. Storytelling is a huge part of the Māori cultural landscape wherein each place name has a story attached to it featuring ancestors, events and activities.

This thesis is similar to Indigenous or tribal uses of GIS in that it looks at blending modern spatial information mapping tools to geography conveyed by narratives; the cultural geography of Māori. The purpose of the thesis is to examine the use of GIS mapping technologies to record instances of those integral ancestral relationships with
the *whenua* in a way that does not undermine the *tapu* or integrity of that cultural geography. In order for this to occur, two critical themes need to be explored. One: the concept and content of the geography of narratives as articulated by Māori culture; and two: how to merge the geography described by a set of narratives with modern GIS mapping technologies. These two themes will draw together three important concepts that will potentially provide the solution to this thesis. Furthermore, the potential long-term benefit is the development a tribal Cultural Information System based on Indigenous or Māori paradigms.

**Critical Concepts**

The three crucial concepts that provide a solution for merging cultural space with geographic space is: first, the concept of the *paepae* in articulating a space created by blending two worldviews: Māori and Spatial discussed in Chapter Two. Second: the innovative application of GIS and other technologies merging with the cartography of history as depicted by the 500 year old *Liempo* in Guatemala discussed in Chapter Four. Third: the map biography method, also discussed in Chapter Four, promulgated by Milton Freeman (1976) in the early 1970s in Canada followed by the seminal volumes of Terry Tobias (2000 & 2009); a method that provides a means to translate the *mōteatea* into a sketch for projection into geographic space.

The map biography method for recording oral information about *whenua* relationships and ancestral territories can be adapted for use by Māori and implemented into GIS technologies. More importantly, this method is adapted and used in a case study; a *mana whenua* research report that requires maps conveying oral traditions.

**Breakdown of Thesis**

This thesis is broken down into eight chapters; this chapter, being the first, positions the author geographically, culturally and spiritually before exploring the *kaupapa Māori* principle of research. It sets the platform for engaging in academic research based on a *kaupapa Māori* approach that will blend tribal knowledge with the tools of GIS.
GIS mapping technologies is used extensively around the world by Indigenous and non-Indigenous groups, organisations and individuals to manage and manipulate large amounts of disparate geographical or spatial information. Its application renders down the real world into a series of $x, y, z$ coordinates that is considerably different to how Māori or Indigenous peoples view the world. Regardless, GIS technology has the potential for Indigenous peoples including Māori to manage their ancestral homelands based on their world view.

Chapter Two sets the platform for understanding the need for exploring a different approach to using GIS technologies; an approach that reflects the Indigenous view as expressed using narratives. Since narratives such as storytelling and song are used widely by Indigenous peoples, to achieve this we will first need to look at the nature of the Indigenous world view contrasted against the Western world view. Second, a discussion of the Māori world view as conveyed by cultural narratives will be explored. These two concepts are imperative to the foundation of this thesis. The worldview discussion will form the infrastructure for understanding the makeup of the ancestral landscape which in turn will help us create a method or model for implementing GIS technologies. Furthermore, Chapter Two will explore the role of the paepae in providing part of the solution to this thesis.

GIS technologies provide a powerful suite of tools for managing geographical or spatially organised data. Indigenous peoples have also developed a unique set of tools and methods over a long period of inhabitation that help them blend with the environment they call home. Chapter Three looks at how Indigenous peoples see their world, their land, their environment, their home, and their places of inhabitation. This chapter will look at the unique features that make up their cultural landscapes. GIS captures and displays data based on a mathematical portrayal of the surface of the earth, whereas Indigenous societies see that same space in terms of the relationships that exist between them and their environment. This fundamental difference is crucial to understanding how Indigenous knowledge about place can be merged with GIS mapping technologies.
GIS mapping technologies offers a range of tools that can assist Indigenous tribes to articulate their ancestral territories, tell their stories, map their biographies and protect their land. Chapter Four explores how Indigenous peoples are using GIS mapping technologies to articulate their notion of belonging to the landscape. Furthermore, this chapter provides the framework for exploring Māori concepts of belonging to the land. In particular, Chapter Four discusses two of the critical concepts that form part of the solution for merging Māori notions of geography as articulated by narratives with GIS technologies: the innovative approach of the Lienzo project of Guatemala and the map biography method of the First Nations of Canada.

Māori are part of a wider Indigenous group of people that inhabit various parts of the globe. Indigenous peoples are different in their language and customs but remarkably similar in their approach to describing their relationship with the land or whenua as it is widely known by Māori. Chapter Five delves into the Māori world with regard to the oral traditions that inform the makeup of their ancestral territories. Māori have developed their own unique way of describing their relationship with the land in a systematic way using narratives that create a unique cultural imprint on the landscape. This chapter is the infrastructure for developing the model that will be used to blend GIS mapping technologies with Māori narratives of geography.

Narratives are part and parcel of the cultural makeup of Māori and were used to clothe the land with a layer of information forming a cultural landscape that is unique to Aotearoa New Zealand. Chapter Six details the use of one of these narratives as a means of describing and creating a biographical sketch of the cultural landscape, based on the map biography method, as expressed by the ancestors. This chapter describes the methodology used to translate an oral tradition into a spatial tradition. It takes several mōteatea or traditional chants, and creates biographical sketches of each one; then uses GIS mapping technologies to express those biographical sketches in spatial context within a western framework.

Māori have a host of oral traditions that form part of the narratives that inform their ancestral territories. Of all the oral traditions available to use in this dissertation, I chose
to work with mōteatea. I did this on purpose largely because of my abiding interest in mōteatea, my grandmother’s influence, and because mōteatea contain a beautiful language imbued with metaphors and clues to the landscape; they also conceal hidden meaning lost to the modern world. Certain types of mōteatea contain references to whakapapa or genealogies, karakia or classical incantations and stories about battles and great deeds of bravery. Mōteatea also contain references to places, landmarks and well-known geographical features that envelop the landscape. Furthermore, mōteatea have a way of unfolding in your mind as you chant creating a virtual image of place; it literally brings the landscape into the mind’s eye. Thus if mōteatea can unfold the landscape in the mind, the challenge then is to create a biographical sketch based on the images generated in the mind. This biographical sketch of oral narratives can then be projected into geographic or cartographic space providing a solution to the problem of merging GIS technologies with cultural space or the Māori notion of cultural geography as articulated by oral narratives.

Chapter Seven transfers the theoretical basis of map biographies into a real world case study. It examines the application of the methodology within a real world case study that investigates mapping of oral traditions to support a mana whenua research report in Aotearoa New Zealand. It involves the creation of map biographies of living tribal informants, digitizing that information into an electronic format for inclusion into GIS and creating a series of maps that describe those living biographies. It also includes creating map biographies of select mōteatea that describe the uniqueness of that iwi rather than the cultural landscape.

This chapter tracks the actual experience of implementing a methodology; it looks at the pitfalls, the techniques, the resources, and the interviews. It also looks at how mōteatea provides a window into the depth of oral traditions of iwi Māori and how they hold the key to understanding the cultural landscape expressed by the ancestors of Māori. We discover that mōteatea is one of the keys to understanding the Māori world view; it is also one of the keys that stores and unlocks a host of information much like the function of a database in a GIS. As Jan Kelly (1999) comments, oral narratives and kōrero provide critical information that inform Māori maps in much the same way that a database holds critical information about data in GIS.
The last chapter reviews the content of the entire thesis. It commences by clarifying the need for research based on principles of kaupapa Māori, examines the potential of GIS for articulating geography based on narratives, and explores the challenge of translating an oral tradition into a spatial tradition based on the three critical concepts: the paepae, the Lienzo and the map biography. It concludes by evaluating the real-world implementation of the methodology described in Chapter Seven.

**Contribution to new knowledge**

The main contribution to new knowledge from this thesis is the creation of a new cultural-geographical space consisting of two world views brought together by three integrated concepts: the paepae, the Lienzo and the map biography. The paepae creates new space; the map biography converts the oral narratives into a sketch, the Lienzo projects cultural space into geographic space.

This thesis also describes the ancestral Māori notions of landscape embedded with narratives creating a geography based on narratives, a cultural geography. Furthermore, that new cultural-geographic space is used to blend modern spatial information technologies with the geography of narratives based on a Māori view of the world. Or rather, the solution is to project cultural space into geographic space without changing either; thus cultural space does not lose its cultural integrity and geographic space remains intact.

This thesis is based on a Kaupapa Māori philosophy which has been used as a basis for ancestral guidance, as a process of implementation, as a theory to examine modern and emerging spatial information tools and technology, and as praxis for implementing a new approach to merging GIS and cultural landscapes. It explores the cultural space created by examining mōteatea in an alternative way. This thesis contributes to new knowledge by examining ancestral landscapes embedded in mōteatea and presenting a method for creating biographical sketches of the mōteatea; thus creating a special space for ancestral voices to be heard without loss of integrity. This comes with the realization of the depth of knowledge in mōteatea and the depth of the sense of place exhibited by the early ancestors of Māori.
One final note on the content of this thesis is expressed aptly by Professor Linda Smith where she states: “So much of the ‘method’ used in this kind of empirical research gets written out that the voices of the researched become increasingly silenced as the act of organizing, analyzing, and interpreting the data starts to take over” (Smith 1999b:14). To ensure that this does not occur I have heeded the lessons I was taught growing up; in this respect I have ensured that the voices of the ancestors remain intact in the creation of the mōteatea maps that depict their worldview.

**Conclusion: mā te huruhuru te manu ka rere**

I began with two whakataukī that provided a small measure of insight into my approach; the first, “ehara te kumara e kōrero mō tōna ake reka”, was intended to keep everything in perspective and within the context and objectives of this dissertation. The second, “kia whakatau koutou ki a Atutahi ma Rehua; ko Atutahi e whakatata nei ki te Mangaroa!” was used to guide and direct the course of this dissertation by those who have sailed these ara moana or ancient sea paths; referring to the academic research pathway.

Research carried out by Māori academics or graduates within a Māori context is often scrutinized heavily by the Māori community notwithstanding the academic community; this dissertation will be no different. Any research which explores the Māori world in any way, even though this thesis proposes a method for creating biographical sketches out of oral traditions and translates that information into a GIS format, carries with it an enormous weight of social responsibility to my tribe and to Māori generally. Māori communities tend to view any research into their world in much the same way as a pig and the chicken create bacon and eggs; the pig is committed, whilst the chicken merely contributes (Personal communication Pip Pehi Feb 2010).

I am expected to get this right before it is committed to print; once it is in print, it tends to remain forever to be used and referred to by everyone and anyone who may not be well informed about the Māori world view. Hence I have made every effort to position myself at the outset of this dissertation and established my approach as kaupapa Māori; who I am, where I come from and how I approach this dissertation, as a Māori engaged
in academic research from a Māori point of view. I have made every effort to treat the Māori content including the whakapapa, the mōteatea and the karakia within the body of this work with the utmost respect. They were given to me with the expectation that I will uphold the mana and tapu.

As Tūwharetoa, born and bred in the Taupōnui-a-Tia region of the Tūwharetoa tribe, I claim the right to speak of and protect our brand of traditional knowledge. I do so knowing the limitations of my knowledge and understanding; I also do so knowing that other Māori graduates will follow in my footsteps. My upbringing as Māori has shaped and influenced my learning, my ideas, my way of looking at the world, my understanding of the land and my ideology. This is the platform from which I launch this dissertation; and I use this whakataukī from my tribal area to pave the way: (Grace 1959: 166-167)

\[
\begin{align*}
E \text{ Tuwharetoa e!} & \quad \text{Tuwharetoa, be careful} \\
\text{Kia ata whakatere i te waka nei,} & \quad \text{when launching your waka,} \\
\text{kei pariparia e te tai,} & \quad \text{Lest it be overcome by the} \\
\text{ka monehunehu te kura.} & \quad \text{tide} \\
\text{Ka whakamarotia atu ano,} & \quad \text{And its plumes drenched.} \\
\text{ka whakahokia mai ki te kapua} & \quad \text{It is well to advance and to} \\
\text{whakapipi} & \quad \text{stretch out,} \\
\text{ka mate kainga tahi} & \quad \text{But in the event of reverses,} \\
\text{ka ora kainga rua} & \quad \text{return to those left behind} \\
\text{where strength is reserved}
\end{align*}
\]

This was uttered by Tamamutu the son of Te Rangiita, the ariki or paramount chief of the Taupō region in his time that referred to te kapua whakapipi as the guardian clouds of the tribe. These clouds equate to unity as the foundation of their strength in times of stress, great need and critical decision making. I use this whakataukī in a similar fashion as a signal to walk with the ancestors as I dissect their voices in completing this task.

\[
\text{Heoi anō,} \\
\text{Mā te huruhuru te manu ka rere!} \\
\text{A bird flies because it has wings}
\]
Chapter Two: Translating an oral tradition into a spatial tradition
Introduction

This chapter sets the platform for understanding the need to explore a different approach to using GIS technologies; an approach that reflects the Indigenous view of the world as expressed by traditional forms of narratives.

Since narratives such as storytelling and song are used widely by Indigenous peoples, including Māori, to blend tribal knowledge with GIS technologies there is a need to look at the nature of the Indigenous world view contrasted against the Western world view. This will provide background for understanding the composition of and the thinking behind the Indigenous world. Furthermore, it will provide a glimpse at the makeup of the ancestral landscape which will in turn assist us to create a method for merging tribal knowledge with GIS technologies.

Merging tribal knowledge with modern spatial information mapping tools has enormous implications and benefits for Māori. Used within the proper cultural context and practices, GIS would benefit Māori in managing their cultural landscapes and seascapes, keeping pace with the requirements and demands of local and national governments, and managing or protecting future demands for development of their lands. Moreover, GIS may well provide Māori with the tools to exercise and express their mana\(^{11}\) over their ancestral territories.

The crux really is the retention and exercise of mana over whenua, moana, and tangata as articulated by the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi (Hayward, no date: pp. 493, 494).\(^{12}\) However, this thesis could support tribal mana in other

---

\(^{11}\) Mana can be described as the power of the ancestors, power and prestige, and the power of the gods; it also relates to spiritual power. Mana whenua has several applications. It is the power one has, to claim a territory defined by one’s ancestry with the right to rule that territory; with this mana comes the responsibility to care for the land and to procure a livelihood from the land. It also relates to the innate mana of the whenua that was planted by the gods within Papa\u0161\u0179\u0161\u010di\u0161uku the earth Mother. Given that the Earth is our Mother, Mana whenua is concerned with the respectful treatment of the whenua or land. Mana moana is the equivalent of mana whenua relating to aspects of rights to resources and respectful treatment of the moana or sea. Mana tangata is related to personal mana acquired by a person according to his or her ability and effort to develop the necessary skills to gain knowledge in particular areas.

significant ways such as: retaining tribal uniqueness as an Indigenous people whilst taking advantage of modern spatial information technologies to keep pace with global development; protection of sacred tribal landscapes using GIS technologies.

Thus it makes sense to explore the potential that modern spatial information tools offer for managing tribal landscapes. First by discussing the worldviews that govern the way we look at the world; second by exploring the issues surrounding translating an oral tradition into a spatial tradition; third by discussing the methodology for approaching the problem.

Section One: ka pū te ruha, ka hao te rangatahi
Aotearoa New Zealand is no longer an isolated group of islands perched below the equator in the lower South Pacific. Advances in information and communications technology, the speed and availability of the internet, coupled with the worldwide growth and acceptance of social networking and micro-blogging shrinks the world, metaphorically, to the size of a living room or even a small office. The internet, the World Wide Web coupled with the technology surrounding the proliferation of small inexpensive but powerful personal computers has accelerated the flow of information and communication around the world. The information and electronic age is clearly upon us.


13 Ka pū te ruha, ka hao te rangatahi, the old net is cast aside, the new net goes fishing. (Hakopa 1998)
14 Information and communications technology refers to a broad field encompassing computers, communications equipment and the services associated with them. It also includes the telephone, cellular networks, satellite communication, broadcasting media and other forms of communication.
15 The Internet is a global network of computers connected via a network of ISPs or internet service providers that allow computers to access and exchange information via the World Wide Web.
16 Social networking/media refers to the facility for building a network of online communities across continents and political boundaries. Social Networking sites typically allow the exchange and sharing of information instantly across the world. Facebook, Myspace, Bebo and Linkedin are examples of social network sites.
17 Micro-blogging is an online service that allows subscribers to post brief messages, typically 140-200 characters in length that is accessible by other subscribers of the same service. Posts can be written or received with a variety of computing devices, including cell phones. Twitter, Pownce, Tumblr, Jaiku are examples of an web-based micro-blogging services that connects subscribers around the world.
18 The World Wide Web, more commonly known as “the web”, consists of a network of computers from around the world that facilitates the exchange of resources including text, graphics, audio and video through hypertext transfer protocol.
Culture and technology

Indigenous peoples are not immune to the proliferation of information technologies. Thus, it is important to determine the role information technologies will play in supporting the aspirations of Indigenous peoples. Central to this, is the need to bear in mind the purpose, and the reason for their use; after all, technology is merely a tool that is only as good as its’ ability to meet the aspirations and objectives designed by its users.

Tribal language, knowledge systems and principles are inseparably connected with identity. It follows that these facets are associated with their ancestry and ancestral territories; this is what makes land so important. In this respect, Indigenous peoples are regarded as the exclusive owners of their cultural and intellectual property. This raises the issue of protecting Indigenous knowledge without compromising Indigenous values and Indigenous control.

Any response to the adoption of modern spatial information technologies must allow Indigenous peoples greater control over the process and the parameters for the definition, development, transmission and use of indigenous knowledge. Alongside this is the development of an Indigenous Framework for the protection of Indigenous knowledge.

Cultural survival and issues such as the continuation of micro-indigenous identity and the maintenance of micro-indigenous languages within the context of a rapidly encroaching global society are important to iwi Māori. This dissertation advances the notion that Indigenous frameworks are required to maintain control or tino rangatiratanga over indigenous cultural heritage. Furthermore, GIS mapping

---

19 The Treaty of Waitangi, considered the basis for British settlement and governance in New Zealand, consisted of a preamble, three articles and a postscript. The differences in the English and Māori versions of the Treaty have been the source of tension and frustration for Māori. The preamble set out Hobson’s main objectives of sovereign authority and a more settled form of government. The English version of article one states that the chiefs were to give up their powers of sovereignty over their tribal areas whilst the Māori version states that Māori were to cede (tuku) to the Queen (kuini o Ingarani) the government (te Kawanatanga) of all their lands (o ratou wenua). To Māori, kawanatanga did not represent sovereignty. Article two comprises two main issues: protection and pre-emption. The English version guaranteed Māori “the full exclusive and undisturbed possession of their Lands and Estates Forests Fisheries and other properties” whilst the Māori version guaranteed te tino Rangatiratanga (sovereignty)
technologies can be used within this type of framework to promote cultural survival and maintain indigenous identity.

For Māori, the adaptation of any new technologies should be viewed in light of principle two of the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi (Hayward p. 494) which espouses tino rangatiratanga and the protection of taonga such as land and language in order to survive as a unique culture within the context of a global community.

**Technology in Culture**
Cultures have always been influenced by three dynamic and interrelated components: sociological, technological and ideological. The sociological component consists of the customs, institutions and codes that make up a culture; the technological component comprises tools, weapons and techniques whilst the ideological component part embodies cultures concepts, ideals and belief systems. Sowell (1994) claims that cultural patterns affect the economic and social advancement of the human race. Furthermore, he adds that in this age of rapidly advancing technology, the key to a culture accepting technology is based largely upon that culture’s perception of how it should be employed. According to White (1975) it is the technological component that determines and varies the formation and structure of the other two components. Furthermore, White (1975) suggests that the maintenance and existence of cultural systems depend upon the technological component.

Indigenous societies are known to value their unique relationships with the environment and all its offerings. This in turn influences their cultural practices, their language and their identity. These, along with cultural survival, are important issues to Indigenous societies such as Māori. Fundamental to GIS design and development for Indigenous over their lands (o ratou wenua), their dwelling places (o ratou kainga), and all kinds of property or things of value (o ratou taonga katoa) (Orange 1987). Barlow (1993) argues that the term Arikitanga (which embodies the concept of supreme mana) is closer to sovereignty than Rangatiratanga. The pre-emption clause in Article two gave the Crown absolute right of first refusal to disposal of Māori land. Both versions of Article three offered Māori the rights and privileges of British subjects, whilst the Postscript contained a short statement acknowledging that the signatories to the Treaty understood its contents (Orange 1987).

---

20 A taonga is something of great value such as land or language.
societies is the need to understand their unique relationship with the environment, the supporting land administration systems and GIS technology.

GIS and other modern technologies can be used within an Indigenous framework to promote cultural survival and maintain Indigenous identity. However, in order to make this work this thesis advances the notion that Indigenous frameworks based on Indigenous worldviews are required to ensure that the cultural information that informs this framework remains intact without loss of meaning or its cultural function. In this manner and only in this manner will Indigenous peoples maintain control over their cultural heritage.

Spatial information technologies have an important role in environmental management and sustainable development and in cultural heritage survival. In this respect, spatial information technologies provide an appropriate framework for bringing together spatial information from diverse sources. For Indigenous societies this raises important issues to do with cultural integrity: issues such as data security, data access, data identification, data location and storage and data integration. It also raises many issues concerned with intellectual property rights, privacy and custodianship. In this regard, indigenous people consider themselves the custodians of their cultural knowledge including their ideas regarding spatial information.

For Māori, the concept of information technology offers an old challenge with new or innovative solutions or approaches. Kamira (2002) argues that conceivably, any system which is capable of storing, analysing and disseminating information can be considered an information system. The concept of an oral narrative with similar potential as an information system to store, analyse and disseminate information is critical to the makeup of a culture such as Māori. Within Māori society oral narratives such as whakapapa, karakia and mōteatea have been used for hundreds of years to store, analyse and disseminate their cultural knowledge, to keep their society intact and to maintain their notion of identity and of belonging to their part of the world. These techniques will be explored more fully in Chapters Three, Five and Six.
Section Two: Indigenous Worldview versus Western Worldview

Oral traditions
Pre-literate societies have a unique way of seeing the landscape. An oral tradition refers to the use of various oral techniques used by pre-literate Indigenous societies to accumulate, store and transmit their knowledge. Indigenous cultures throughout the world are known to have accumulated vast bodies of traditional knowledge through direct and intimate contact with their environment, which has kept them alive for many generations. This body of knowledge was more than often passed down in an oral fashion using song, dance and storytelling coupled with direct observation and active participation in their environment and, in the case of Māori, with the aid of visual media such as whakairo or carvings.

For the Yupiaq peoples of Alaska, elders passed on their knowledge using stories but also required younger members to participate in activities such as fishing and hunting. Participation was necessary in order to learn proper techniques and use of their technology, which was necessary for survival in their harsh environment (Kawagley 1995).

Another example refers to the hunter-gatherer San peoples who inhabited much of the Kalahari Desert in Southern Africa for several thousands of years. The San hunter-gatherers survived on knowledge and skills developed by longitudinal study and inhabitation of their unforgiving environment and were able to navigate across hundreds of kilometers of desert landscape without getting lost (Crawhall 2003:8).

Like the Yupiaq of Alaska, the San use stories in a similar way to illustrate key actions required for their survival especially in hunting which are often accompanied with realistic sound effects imitating the animals involved (Crawhall 2003). These two extreme examples, of the Yupiaq in Alaska and the San in the Kalahari Desert, demonstrate the clear use of traditional knowledge to interpret, understand and live with the environment.
Oscar Kawagley (1995) describes in his book *A Yupiaq Worldview*, the principles underpinning the Yupiaq worldview. These principles consist of maintaining a balance in nature, and the interconnectedness of all things in the universe. Their entire system of values was tied to maintaining balance and interconnectedness to all things. Balance in nature was maintained by rituals and ceremonies whilst the concept of connectedness, as Kawagley (1995:15) writes is “a common philosophical or ecological thread among all people” and among different races around the world. To understand this worldview, Kawagley (1995) adds that one must understand the key concepts embedded in the Yupiaq word *ella*.

Variations of this one word [ella] can be made to refer to weather, awareness, world, creative force or god, the universe, and sky. As a manifestation of their *ella*, the Yupiaq developed a body of values and traditions that would enable them to maintain and sustain their ecological worldview. (Kawagley 1995:15)

This concept of connectedness is not unlike that found around other parts of the world. Kawagley (1995) describes their understanding of this concept using a familiar shape in their world known as a tetrahedron, a pyramid shape with a triangle as a base. The tetrahedron represents a fishing tripod in their society. The apex in the structure represents the Yupiaq worldview; the points in the base of the structure represent the spiritual realm, the human realm and the natural realm. This structure represents the balance, cohesion, strength, support and connectedness of all the elements contained in the structure. The overarching concept of worldview is supported by all three other essential elements; hence the notion of connectedness of all things in the universe.

**Western traditions**

In contrast, western societies have developed advanced technology and techniques to help them interpret and see the same landscape. In the same way as Indigenous peoples understand the landscape through the accumulation of traditional knowledge; a spatial tradition has its own unique way of understanding and interpreting that same landscape. A spatial tradition refers to the use of maps and other related spatial information technology\(^{21}\) as a way of understanding and representing layers of information about

---

\(^{21}\) Spatial Information Technology Tools include: Aerial and satellite remote sensing imagery, Global Positioning Systems (GPS), and Geographic Information Systems (GIS)
the landscape. Spatial data is information that describes the physical location of objects and the relative relationships between those objects. A Geographic Information System (GIS) is a computer application used to store, view, and analyze geographical or spatial information. They are often referred to as mapping systems capable of linking attribute information and characteristics of an area to its geographic location. GIS combines two powerful information systems: a database of attribute information and a mapping system, which can display geographical location. This system can capture, store, and manipulate geographic or spatial data. Spatial data from such a database can be converted into images and reproduced in the form of maps.

Maps are one of the earliest forms of spatial representation of the earth. Early maps were drawn on stone, wood-bark, hide, and clay tablets. One of the oldest known maps unearthed in 1930-31 at Yorghan Tepe near Kirkuk is a clay tablet dating from c.2300 B.C (Millard 1987: 113-114). Several processes, including photoengraving, wax engraving, and lithography are now used to reproduce maps. Spatial information captured by modern information technology and tools such as aerial photography, satellite imagery and Global Positioning Systems (GPS) can be used to produce accurate digital maps of most parts of the world. Unlike paper maps, digital maps can be combined easily with layers of attribute information about geographical locations.

A map is one form of spatial information technology and a technique which is used widely around the world to display and convey information about various features of the landscape. It can be described as an abstract graphical document that represents a particular worldview of the natural world. A map employs graphic language in the form of symbols, shapes, lines and points to construct layers of information about the landscape and is the product of a particular society’s values and worldview.

Poole (1995a) comments that maps:

. . . have always been both symbols and instruments of power. After flag raising came the naming of places to express possession for the gratification of distant patron of exploratory expeditions. Now, a revisionist tendency is reasserting itself: indigenous peoples are using
maps to re-name and reclaim their lands. Their maps remain instruments of power, but a creative and restorative power . . . (Poole 1995a:1)

Pearce and Louis (2008:110) point out that all cultures including Indigenous cultures engage in some form of mapping and that mapping is a reflection of cultural conventions.22

**Indigenous worldviews versus western worldview**

Ka’ai (1995: 24) points out that “we all carry around our own sub-conscious culturally [and socially] conditioned filters for making sense of the world around us”; this is the premise for societal belief systems and tends to inform the communities we belong to. Ka’ai (1995) also points out that it is not until we are confronted by someone with a vastly different set of views that we begin to examine our cultural and social filters that we have taken for granted, in an attempt to make sense of them; it is also the point at which confrontation takes place between two contrasting worldviews.

Indigenous peoples around the world have a unique way of viewing the world. Knudtson and Suzuki (1997:13-15) provide insight and an analysis of the beliefs and practices of indigenous people from around the world. The following characteristics were identified as distinguishing their worldviews from the predominant beliefs and practices in western society:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indigenous Worldview</th>
<th>Western Worldview</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notions of Spirituality entrenched in all elements of the cosmos</td>
<td>Notions of Spirituality is embedded in a single Supreme Being</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human-beings have enormous responsibility for maintaining harmonious relationship with the natural world</td>
<td>Humans exercise unbridled dominion over nature for personal and economic gain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

22 Indigenous mapping is discussed in Chapter four.
Emphasis on reciprocity between human beings and the natural worlds; resources are viewed as gifts

Human beings are to honour Nature routinely through daily spiritual practice

Wisdom and ethics are obtained by direct observation and interaction with the natural world

The Universe is viewed as dynamic, ever-changing natural forces

The Universe is viewed as a holistic, integrative system underpinned by a unifying life force

Time is circular and characterised by a series of natural cycles that sustain all life

An acceptance that Nature will always contain profound mysteries

Tends to view human thoughts, feelings and communication as inextricably linked to all other processes of the universe

Emphasis on celebrating and participating in the orderly designs of nature

Honour and respect for elders is based on their demonstrating profound and compassionate reconciliation of outer- and inner-directed knowledge

A profound sense of empathy and kinship with other forms of life

Human relationship with nature are

Natural resources are seen as commodities for unilateral economic exploitation

Spiritual practices are based on convenience and usually set apart from daily life

Wisdom and ethics derived from human reasoning far removed from nature

Universe consists of a vast array of static physical objects

The Universe is reduced to small conceptual parts

Time is thought of as a linear escalator of "human progress"

A presumption that Nature is entirely decipherable to the rational human mind

Tend to view human thought, feeling and communications as separate from the world

Emphasis on dissecting the world for their own ends

Respect for virtually anyone based on material and academic achievement as well as chronological old age

There tends to be a sense of separateness from and superiority over other life-forms

Human relationship with
viewed as a continuous two-way dialogue nature is often a one-way, vertical dialogue

(Adapted from Knudston & Suzuki 1997:13-15)\textsuperscript{23}

Many of these Indigenous attributes can be found among Māori tribes of Aotearoa. To this extensive list offered by Knudston and Suzuki (1997) can be added: Māori are often reminded of the lore of reciprocity towards one’s own tribal affiliations in nurturing and growing the next generation and in being accountable for knowledge that is given to you. Furthermore, when engaged in academic research involving Māori, there is that underlying notion of \textit{mana} and \textit{tapu} associated with the knowledge that is given to you; there is a feeling that you need to get it right. Moreover, this is reflected in mapping the \textit{mana} of the ancestral landscape as described in Chapter Seven.

As demonstrated above by Knudston and Suzuki (1997) the Indigenous worldview is vastly different from the Western worldview. According to Ka’ai (1995) confrontation occurs when two worldviews clash over trying to make sense of the other’s world view using their own lenses.

\textbf{Mapping and the clash of worldviews}

Concerning clashes in worldview particularly in mapping, Pearce and Louis (2008) discuss the western concept of boundaries imposed upon the traditional Hawaiian \textit{ahupua’a} system of land resulting in what they call a distortion in their meaning and function (Pearce & Louis 2008:115). Translating the \textit{ahupua’a} boundaries into a western concept of boundaries neglected the:

\textit{. . . Hawaiian concept of boundary as inclusive and fluid. . . [Thus the Hawaiian concept of boundaries] was misrepresented as a nonindigenous concept of boundary as an exclusive, fixed line.} (Pearce & Louis 2008:115)

Furthermore, Pearce and Louis (2008) remark that mapping is a reflection of the ontological and epistemological structures of a culture and that:

\textsuperscript{23} Compare this with Clare Brazenor (Master thesis 2000:39) who highlights the fundamental differences between the way indigenous Australians (Aboriginals) see the land and the Australian cadastral system imposed upon their notion of land.
. . . different map traditions develop separately in different cultures and are the unique manifestations of needs for spatial tools in that particular time and place. When one society expresses spatial concepts by using the rhetorical structures of another society’s cartographic tradition, it is a process of cartographic translation in which information is inevitably lost. The history of the mistranslation and misrepresentation of indigenous cartographies into Western cartographies virtually defines the history of Western colonization and coercion of indigenous peoples. The roots of this mistranslation are evident when nonindigenous and indigenous cartographies are compared. (Pearce & Louis 2008:110)

To impose the western view of the world upon Indigenous peoples is to invite confrontation, distortion of the meaning and function of one culture and the negation of that culture to exist on its own values. In the case of early Māori, colonial surveyors began to impose their system of demarcating the land with boundary markers. Although the idea of boundary markers was not foreign to Māori there was a marked difference in the way Māori and the Colonisers regarded them. Māori regarded them as “historical and cultural artefacts” (Byrnes 2001:103); the British colonisers looked at them as “powerful symbols of British occupation” (Byrnes 2001:97). Article 31 of the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples is clear, that:  

Indigenous peoples have the right to maintain, control, protect and develop their cultural heritage, traditional knowledge and traditional cultural expressions, as well as the manifestations of their sciences, technologies and cultures, including human and genetic resources, seeds, medicines, knowledge of the properties of fauna and flora, oral traditions, literatures, designs, sports and traditional games and visual and performing arts. They also have the right to maintain, control, protect and develop their intellectual property over such cultural heritage, traditional knowledge, and traditional cultural expressions.

The problem facing Indigenous peoples and Māori in particularly is one of translating their notions of ancestral landscapes into something that can be used in GIS using their own worldview. Pearce and Louis (2008:123) reiterate this phenomenon:

The problem that faces indigenous peoples worldwide is to find a way to incorporate Western [geospatial technologies25] and cartographic multimedia while minimizing the mistranslations, recolonizations, and assimilations of conventional technoscience. [Otherwise we risk losing some of the information in the translation.]

---


25 Geospatial Technologies include: GPS or Global Positioning Systems, GIS or Geographical Information Systems, digital maps, and satellite images.
Pearce and Louis (2008:123) add that traditional wisdom can be merged with modern technology by making the:

. . . translation more accurate through a theoretically informed and innovative application of cartographic language, the combination of “traditional wisdom” with “modern technical know-how,” [thus] we can demonstrate the effectiveness of [Geospatial Technology] and multimedia as tools not only for protecting cultural sovereignty but also for articulating exemplary cartographic practices for the shared knowledge space of the transmodern.

At some point, the pivotal question must be posed: why would Indigenous peoples expose their traditional knowledge to the world by mapping their cultural information at the risk of being exploited? Crawhall (2003) offers this insight:

Mapping cultural landscapes is a powerful tool for mobilising indigenous peoples’ voices and knowledge in land claims, in community development, for intercultural dialogue and for creating new livelihood opportunities. (Crawhall 2003:10)

There are a host of reasons why Indigenous peoples should map their ancestral territories ranging from demarcating ancestral boundaries, to mobilising indigenous voices and knowledge in land claims, recovering traditional knowledge and histories to merely finding out what is there. For Māori, it is a matter of being able to maintain mana or integrity of their ancestral domains, to retain tino Rangatiratanga of their taonga which includes land, to retain their links to the land and thus maintain their identity. All these concepts of connection to ancestral places, and linking identity to land are explored in Chapter Three.

Furthermore, Crawhall (2003:10) asserts that the “use of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) by indigenous peoples is one way to explore, affirm and re-empower indigenous languages, cultures and knowledge systems.” To which identity can be added. The blend of information technology with the ways of the ancestors gives indigenous people powerful tools to negotiate the future of their people.

Thus mapping done with the consent and contribution of community members becomes more than a map product; it becomes a ‘process’ with an outcome or result. Of this phenomenon Tobias (2009:18) reflects on the map biography style of mapping as:
community based, comprehensive, informed by the experts and other First Nations, methodologically sound and trust-based. This is a true reflection of cultural values.

Section Three: Māori World View

_I te timatanga ko te kore_: in the beginning

Knowledge in pre-European Māori society was handed down from generation to generation using various oral systems common among Indigenous peoples; two such systems are _karakia_ and _whakapapa_. Both were used to detail how the Māori world came to be. There are various accounts of how that world began, but in one cosmological chant featured below, the world began with _te kore_, to the birth of all things, and in a series of genealogical stages eventually produced gods, land and people.²⁶ (Emphasis added)

---

*I te timatanga ko te kore, nā te kore i ai*
*Ko te kore te whiwhia*
*Ko te kore te rawea*
*Ko te kore te tāmāua*
*Ko te kore te matua e hua, e hua*

_In the beginning was _TE KORE_, from _te kore_, sometimes referred to as the state of ‘nothing’ all things in the heavens and the earth were manifest; this was the first creative phase a phase of unlimited potential_

---

The extract of the _karakia_ above, describe in the form of _whakapapa_ the creation of the heavens and the earth beginning with a state widely known as _te kore_ from which all things were born. Following this state was a period of time known as _te pō_ or various levels of darkness.

*He ioio nui, he ioio roa,*
*he ioio matua ka nguha*
*he ioio taketake ki taku aro tē nei au*

_Nā te kukune te pupuke*  
_Nā te pupuke te hihiri*  
_Nā te hihiri te māhara*  
_Nā te māhara te hinegara*  
_Nā te hinegara te manako*  
_Nā te manako te wānanga*  
_Nā te wānanga te matauranga*  

_From conception known as te kukune there began a swelling (pupuke), and an increase, leading to thought (māhara) and remembrance, to the consciousness (hinegara), to a strong desire (manako), to a state of knowledge (wānanga) and learning (matauranga)_

²⁶ This _karakia_ was sourced from a _Kaumatua_ (elder) of the Taupō region. It is currently taught to the children of _Te Kura Kaupapa Māori oWhakarewa i te reo ki Tūwharetoa_ in Taupō
The second creative phase was TE PŌ of which there were several forms; te pō nui, te pō roa, te pō uriuri right down to te pō tāhuri mai. This is known as the phase of darkness or ignorance.

Whakaea anga nuku, whakaea anga rangi
Ko Rangihauapa, ko Rangiwārō, ko Rangitakoto e hua, e hua
E hua tō tino, e hua tō aro
E hua he tipua ariki, he tipua atua, he tipua rangi e hua
Ka tāpapa atu a Ranginaonao ariki
Ki Rangimamao, Ko Rangitataara o tiritiri o Rangi, E Io ē
Takiritia te ara tipua, te ara atua, te ara rangi
E Io-taketake ē
Whakaheke i tua, whakaheke i tai

E tipu, e rea
He nihoniho, he rearea, he kateatea
Te pū, te more, te weu, te aka, te rea, te pō
Te wao nui

Thus emerged Ranginui the sky father and Papatūānuku the earth mother; from these two emerged the world of light or te ao mārama and the beginning of humankind.

The creation-whakapapa outlined in the karakia above culminated in the cohabitation of Ranginui (the sky father) and Papatūānuku (the earth mother) as described in the last part of the extract above. For most Māori, the union of Ranginui and Papatūānuku forms the basis for their perspective of the physical world, all living things therein, and how their ancestors interacted and related with it.
The idea of a sky father and earth mother are constant themes across many iwi throughout Aotearoa New Zealand. For example, in the region at the top of the North Island of New Zealand the Ngāpuhi worldview is illustrated thus (Royal 1998:70): (emphasis added)

He mea hanga
*Ko PAPATŪĀNUKU TE PAPARAHI
Ko NGĀ MAUNGA NGĀ POUPOU
*Ko te RANGI e titiro nei TE TUANUI
Pūhanga Tohorā titiro ki Te Ramaroa
Te Ramaroa titiro ki Whiria
Ko te paiaka o te riri, ko te kawa o Rahiri
Whiria titiro ki Panguru, ki Papata
Ki te rākau tū papata ki te uru.
Panguru Papata titiro ki Maungataniwha,
Maungataniwha titiro ki Tokerau
Tokerau titiro ki Rākaumangamanga
Rākaumangamanga titiro ki Manaia
Manaia titiro ki Tūtāmoe
Tūtāmoe titiro ki Maunganui
Maunganui titiro ki Pūhanga Tohorā
Ko te whare ia tēnei o Ngā Puhi.

A house is constructed.

PAPATŪĀNUKU IS THE FLOOR
The MOUNTAINS ARE THE POSTS and
RANGINUI IS THE ROOF.
Pūhanga Tohorā looks to Te Ramaroa
Te Ramaroa looks to Whiria
The root of anger, the proceedings of Rahiri.
Whiria looks to Panguru, to Papata
To the numerous trees that stand in the west.
Panguru Papata looks to Maungataniwha
Maungataniwha looks to Tokerau
Tokerau looks to Rākaumangamanga
Rākaumangamanga looks to Manaia
Manaia looks to Tūtāmoe
Tūtāmoe looks to Maunganui
Maunganui looks to Pūhanga Tohorā

Royal (1998) in his doctoral thesis provides several examples from around Aotearoa of the creation stories. Chapter 3 of his thesis, pages 37-48, provides examples from Māori Marsden of the Ngā Puhi region, Pei Te Hurinui of Waikato-Maniapoto, Nēpia Pōhūhū and Te Matorohanga of Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa, Mohi Ruatapu of Ngāti Porou, Teone Taare Tikao of Ngāi Tahu, and from Mātene Te Whwhi of Ngāti Toa & Ngati Raukawa.
In the Ngāpuhi tradition illustrated above, their tribal region is represented metaphorically by a whare (house) where Papatūānuku is the floor, Ranginui is the roof and the mountains mentioned in the pēpeha are the pou (posts) of the whare that separate heaven and earth and demarcate their significant tribal landmarks.

The Emergence of Te Ao Mārama: the Māori worldview
The separation of Ranginui and Papatūānuku is the starting point for Te Ao Mārama, the Māori worldview (Royal 1998:48) and the emergence of the ancestors of the Māori from darkness into the world of light eventually leading to the creation of Māori. Following the union of Ranginui and Papatūānuku many children were born to them, all male. Some of these illustrious ancestors played a major role in creating the world or te ao mārama, populating the world with their progeny, creating the first Māori ancestor and providing Māori with knowledge.

There is no single pan-tribal version of the creation tradition; differences occur from tribe to tribe (Royal 1998). What is evident though across tribes is the eventual separation of Ranginui and Papatūānuku by their children and the subsequent emergence of their children into the world of light, te ao mārama. According to The Lore of the Whare Wananga (Smith 1913), there were at least seventy children and foremost among them were Tāne-mahuta, Tāwhirimātea, Tangaroa, Tūmatauenga, Rongomātane and Haumiatiketike because of their roles in the world we live in.

The separation of the primeval parents is attributed to Tāne-mahuta,28 Ranginui their father was propped up into the heavens and became their sky and Papatūānuku remained as their earth mother and nurturer. Tāwhirimātea was opposed to the separation so he fled to the heavens to be with his father Ranginui. He became the

---

28 Tāne-mahuta has several names, as atua (god) of the forest he is known as Tāne-mahuta, having completed the heavens he became known as Tāne-nui-a-Rangi, he was also known as Tāne-te-wānanga because of his role in securing the baskets of knowledge (ngā kete wānanga), because of his role in creating humankind he became known as Tāne-matua, sometimes he is referred to as Tāne.
mighty *atua* of the winds and storms and once he was established waged war against his siblings and their progeny for their roles in the separation of their primeval parents.

He decimated the trees of the forests that were the progeny of *Tāne-mahuta* the *atua* of the forests, and disrupted the domain of *Tangaroa*, the *atua* of the oceans and seas, and his offspring. His mighty winds caused the children of *Rongomātane* (the *kumara* and cultivated plants) and *Haumiatiketike* (fern root and uncultivated plants) to flee into the bosom of *Papatūānuku*. Of all the brothers, *Tāmatauenga* was the only one who withstood the wrath of *Tangaroa*. Thus he became known as the *atua* of war epitomising the fierce and war-like nature of humankind; and because of his brothers’ failure to fight against *Tāwhirimātea* he turned against them.

He humiliated his brothers by turning all their progeny into food and eating them making them *noa* (to become ordinary or to free from *tapu*). Herein lies the rationale for humankinds’ dominant position over all things in nature (Walker 1978). Now the earth was ready to be populated by humankind.

The creation of the first woman *Hineahuone* is credited to *Tāne* who was assisted by his brothers. According to the stories *Hineahuone* was created from the *one* (red ochre) at a sacred place known as *kura waka*; once she was formed *Tāne* breathed life into her thus bringing to life the first of the Māori ancestors (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3: 4-5).

(Emphasis added)

---

ko te whare hangahanga tēnā
a Tāne nui a rangi
*K Te ONE I KURA WAKA*
I tātai ai te pūhi ariki
Te hiringa-matua
Te hiringa-tipua
*Te hiringa-tawhito-rangi*

This is part of one verse of a very long *oriori.* This particular verse is a *karakia* that refers to the birthing process. In this part the sacred place *kura waka* is mentioned as the birth-place of the first woman

---

29 *An oriori* is a classical chant used to instruct and edify children and young babies.
These incidents surrounding the union of *Ranginui* and *Papatūānuku*, their eventual separation and the emergence of the *atua* into *te ao mārama* who play a role in preparing the earth and creating the first ancestor of the Māori, connects Māori to the gods of the creation and to the natural elements who are their relatives. As Anne Salmond (1993:39) writes in her book *Two Worlds*, of the Māori of pre-European Aotearoa that they: “lived in a world where gods, people, land and sky, plants, birds reptiles, fish and other animals shared in a unity of being which was expressed in a language of common descent.” This phenomenon is reflected in the stories and *whakapapa*, especially the cosmological genealogies depicting the creation stories of *Ranginui, Papatūānuku* and their children who play a crucial role in the creation of mankind and the makeup of their view of the world.30

Marsden and Henare (1992:3) offer this insight on the Māori worldview as:

...the central systematisation of conceptions of reality to which members of its culture assent and from which stems their value system. The world view lies at the very heart of the culture, touching, interacting with and strongly influencing every aspect of the culture. In terms of Maori culture, the myths and legends form the central system on which their holistic view of the universe is based.

Charles Royal (2002) adopts this view adding that adherence to a particular worldview determines what an individual or group values in the world and how that value is manifest in the form of behaviour. He also adds that indigenous:

...is taken to mean those cultures whose worldviews place special significance or weight behind the idea of the unification of the human community with the natural world.(Royal 2002:2)

---

Two worlds in one country: The Paepae

Jahnke (1999:193-209) describes the paepae\(^\text{31}\) as a transitional zone that defines the line of negotiation between two co-existing, juxtaposed worlds in Aotearoa New Zealand; the customary world of the Māori as expressed by marae\(^\text{32}\) and mainstream New Zealand as depicted by currently accepted icons of New Zealand culture. In his article, he describes the elements that make up an Indigenous framework for blending disparate worlds in art. These are: kinship, ritual, a political and culture centre, or backbone, and a set of values. These elements combine to form a unique Māori identity within a largely Pākehā framework. Jahnke (1999) poses an interesting idea of juxtaposing the Māori world with the Western world in art that is worth exploring in terms of blending the notion of Māori ancestral landscapes with another widely recognised model of the western world; that of GIS mapping technologies.

The paepae occupies an important position and role within the Māori world on the marae between tangata whenua (home people.hosts) and manuhiri (visitors). The paepae is where two groups of people meet in a formal fashion based on kaupapa or a compelling reason and exchange greetings based on the kawa (formal procedures and

---

\(^\text{31}\) The Pae or paepae occupies an important position and role in the rituals of encounter on the marae between tangata whenua (home people/hosts) and manuhiri (visitors). The Marae is the cultural, political, social and tribal centre of Māori tribes (rural) and communities (urban). The marae complex includes at a minimum: a whare tipuna (a house that is the embodiment of an ancestor), a marae-ātea (a grassed courtyard situated in front of the whare), a whare kai (eating house), a tomokanga (entrance-way to the marae-ātea), and the paepae. The paepae is the area (usually a row/s of seats) set aside for kaikōrero (orators) who are generally kaumatua (elders) to sit for ceremonial occasions on the marae. Generally speaking, only acknowledged orators, those who possess tribal knowledge and lore are permitted on the paepae as speakers. There is always a paepae for the home people and a paepae for the visitors. Both paepae are situated opposite each other on either side of the marae-ātea; the host paepae will be to one side of the whare tipuna, whilst the visitor paepae will be near the tomokanga directly opposite and facing the host paepae. At a formal welcome (called a pōhiri or pōwhiri) a ritual of encounter occurs between the hosts and visitors. The visitors gather at the entrance-way and wait for the karanga (a call by a wahine or woman). Munuhiri (visitors) are first welcomed onto the marae-ātea with a karanga from the hosts welcoming the visitors to the marae. The visitors usually reply in kind with their own karanga. This process ushers the visitors through the entrance-way onto the marae-ātea where they gather and stand momentarily before being seated on the visitors’ paepae. The male kaumatua occupy the front row of both paepae (the speaking platform) where whaikōrero (formal speeches) take place between the host-paepae speakers and the visitor-paepae speakers. There is at least one speaker from each side, often more depending upon the nature of the gathering and the importance of the visitors. Following each speech a mōteatea/waiata (traditional song) is performed. The last speaker of the visitors lays down a koha (gift – usually money) signalling to the hosts that he is their final speaker. The visitors then exchange hongi (pressing of noses) with the hosts and are ushered into the whare kai for food. The process on the marae-ātea is tapu (sacred); once the visitors partake of the food, they become noa (free from tapu). The visitors now become part of the home people; enjoying the hospitality of the marae people and the kaupapa (purpose) of their visit proceeds.

\(^\text{32}\) See footnote 31 above
protocols) of the hosts. The paepae is where the platform is set between the hosts and the visitors before the visitors are welcomed into the bosom of the host’s whare tīpuna. Once this formal process is completed with the karanga, whaikōrero, waiata, giving of koha, hongi, and kai, the visitors become one with the hosts; a process Bishop refers to as whakawhanaungatanga (Bishop 1996). Until this takes place, no one can by-pass the paepae and enter into the bosom of the hosts; this procedure is set in place and must be observed to the letter.

In Jahnke’s (1999) example of the pae as the boundary between two groups of people, Pākehā and Māori who hail from different cultural worlds, the paepae becomes the starting point for negotiating the shape of the space between these two worlds based on kawa. According to Jahnke (1999), the pae or boundary between distinct cultures can exist as a discrete well defined line or as a well-defined spatial domain that encompasses elements of both cultures by negotiation between both cultures.

Another analogy worth exploring in the context of Jahnke’s (1999) paepae metaphor is that of the matapihi (window) of the whare tīpuna.33 The matapihi of a whare tīpuna can be used to describe an interface to the outside world. If the whare tīpuna embodies the Māori customary world, the matapihi has a two-fold purpose. The whare34 is a metaphor representing the body of an eminent ancestor of the host people and the window represents the eye of that ancestor. This allows Māori to glimpse and observe the outside world from inside the safety of their ancestral whare; it also allows the world to peek in through the window and glimpse the Māori world.

**Marae: the cultural centre**

In the analogy of the window above and that used by Jahnke (1999), both point specifically to what iwi Māori refer to as the Māori cultural and political centre; the marae, as the epitome of cultural expression. The paepae in Jahnke’s discourse symbolises the barrier of negotiation between visitors and host whilst the whare tīpuna embodies ancestral and celestial protection. In the analogy of the whare, the matapihi of that whare represents the eye of the ancestor or the window to te ao mārama or the

33 Whare Tipuna is an ancestral house which is part of the Marae complex.
34 Whare is house
modern world. In this thesis, the whare represents an Indigenous Māori framework from which iwi Māori can rationalise their position as members of the wider global world and examine alien processes and new technologies such as spatial information technologies. Likewise with the analogy of the whare tīpuna and matapihi, Māori are able to observe, examine and interrogate alien processes from the safety of their worldview, using traditional methods of examining and understanding the world around them.

The marae is an essential part of the Māori worldview and has been described as “te tūrangawaewae o te iwi” an enduring symbol of tribal identity, solidarity and uniqueness (Barlow 1991:71). Tūrangawaewae is the political and cultural centre for Māori. It is the place where kinship ties are recognised, and iwi affiliations are acknowledged. In Pre-European Māori society, tūrangawaewae would have been centred on the marae, which incorporated the surrounding mountains, rivers, lakes and other sacred places. Even today for many Māori tūrangawaewae is still centred in the marae; but for many more urban Māori, of several generations living remotely from their home-lands, the marae could well be a distant memory just like the ancient homelands of Hawaikinui, Hawaiiroa and Hawaiki-pāmamao. The cultural centre for urban Māori is not as “well-defined” as the rural marae set in a rural setting. Never the less, the marae still stands out as a cultural icon and centre piece within modern New Zealand society where the paepae is still the process by which all visitors and indeed new ideas are welcomed and interrogated.

**The paepae as a spatial domain**

The notion of the paepae offers an interesting solution with regard to bringing two diverse worlds together without changing either one. Each world maintains their independence and mana. To negotiate both worlds suggests an understanding and familiarity with both worlds. How do Māori who have been brought up in both worlds negotiate between the two? According to Jahnke (1999), there is a line between both worlds, which is defined as the paepae, the line of demarcation and negotiation. Rachel

---

35 The concept of Tūrangawaewae derives from the words: Tū – to stand, Tūranga – standing place, waewae – literally meaning the feet, thus Tūrangawaewae literally means “a standing place for the feet”.
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Rakena takes this further to suggest that this line can be expanded, metaphorically, to form a spatial domain which can accommodate aspects of both cultures (personal communication, 2005); it is within this space that Māori move from one end across that space to the other end quite comfortably. It is this concept that will be used to explore the possibility of blending modern spatial information technologies with cultural knowledge or the geography of narratives.

**The role of traditions beyond the paepae**

The aim of this thesis is to merge an oral tradition with a spatial tradition without diluting the integrity of the cultural information and without changing either tradition or imposing the Western worldview onto the Māori worldview. Both worldviews have a history and a set of values attached which influence behaviour, knowledge systems, and perspective on land and connectedness to that land. In terms of this thesis, it is worldview that influences the way we as members of different communities use spatial information technologies to engage with our landscapes; and it is technology, such as that offered by spatial information technologies, that simultaneously impacts and enhances Indigenous cultures and societies; thus either forcing or encouraging them to adapt to the introduction and influence of new technology on their own terms or someone else’s. If it is done on their own terms, it can be done without diluting the values that underpin their culture.

Previous sections above discussed the vital role technology plays in a cultural system. While cultures are influenced by three dynamic and interrelated components: sociological, technological and ideological, Sowell (1994) claims that the key to a culture embracing technology is based largely upon that culture’s worldview and perception influencing how it should be employed. White (1975) added that it is the technological component of a culture that profoundly maintains the existence of a cultural system. If a culture does not adapt or embrace the introduction of new technologies on its’ own terms and values then it will blend into the “Western Gaze” of observing the world.
Barbara Bender refers to the notion of “Western Gaze” where maps are considered as “part instrument” and “part result” of this phenomenon (Bender 1999:31). She refers to this concept as a way of “perceiving and experiencing the world” skimming the surface and surveying the land from an ego-centred viewpoint treating the land as a passive object (Bender 1999:31). This phenomenon is viewed as a discourse of power and control influencing the Western view of the world and creating maps that reflect the nature of that view. Hence, this Western view tends to create maps that “cover the surface of the world with a homogeneous Cartesian grid” providing a birds’ eye view of the world (Bender 1999:31) representing “place, boundaries and perspectives as abstract knowledge” appearing to have no regard for social connectedness to place (Bender 1999:41); a prominent feature of Indigenous worldviews.

Indigenous peoples view the world in a remarkably different way where their knowledge of their particular part of the world is seen as “accumulated observations of generations” (Allison 1999: 273), a replication of “social and political relationships” (Ayres and Mauricio 1999:314), as emanating from “ancestral beings [who emerge] from particular places, and [who act] upon an empty landscape to create all the features of the land and all the parts of the natural world” (Strang 1999: 208), as containing numerous “tribal narratives that recall their mythical importance” (Basso 1996:85), as a “connection to the āina (land)” and as their kaikua’ana (elder sibling) (Oliveira 2006:5-6), and as “landing spots of ancestral navigators, as locations where people emerged into the world” (Andrade 2009:2), as the sky Father and the earth Mother, tūrangawaewae and whakapapa, “connecting Māori in elemental ways to [the land]” (Smith 2001: 60). To map these Indigenous concepts of land is to use a different set of lenses or frame of reference.

Wood (1993:18) cited in Bender (1999:32) refers to maps as a ‘transparent window on the world’ to which Bender adds that the frame of reference of the “window isolates one view at the expense of another.” Or in other words, negating or ignoring the existence of an alternative, Indigenous view of the world who use a different frame of reference or lenses. On another note, maps are seen by Māori and other Indigenous peoples as a tool of resistance and as a means to express their sense or view of the
world wherein their historic stories, narratives, songs and identity are anchored spatially in the land (Bender 1999:41). In this respect, Claire Smith refers to the links between the “social constructions of the land” and Indigenous land use and identity wherein landscapes are shaped by human action and in turn landscapes shape human action (Smith 1999:189); and “social identity is constructed and reconstructed in relationship to place and ancestral associations, as people (in the Barunga region, northern Australia) move through their landscapes” (Smith 1999: 193). Furthermore, this sense of connection and identity to place is reinforced as people move about the land learning about the “relationships between place and their ancestors” (Smith 1999: 193).

Māori and indeed Indigenous peoples worldwide and the cultural patterns and traditions they observe play a vital role in the economic and social advancement of the human race (Sowell 1994) beyond mere adaption. Cultures are dynamic, fluid and flexible and are at ease with embracing new challenges that impact their societies. This is adequately demonstrated by Māori in their great Polynesian ancestors such as Māui, Tāne and Tawhaki; and more recently by the ancestors of the many waka who navigated the great ocean of Kiwa settled in Aotearoa. Thus, it comes as no surprise that spatial information technologies and techniques have become an additional tool for Māori and other Indigenous communities to advance their own community’s based on their own terms as will be discussed in following chapters.

Section Four: Translating an oral tradition into a spatial tradition

It has been demonstrated in the previous sections that it is unlikely that the meaning and function of a traditional Māori worldview can be translated into a western worldview without diluting the integrity of the cultural information that informs that view. For example, Pearce and Louis (2008) in their article discuss the notion of imposing a western concept of boundaries upon the traditional Hawaiian ahupua’a system of land resulting in a loss of cultural information. It has been demonstrated that it is worldview that defines, informs and underpins the very essence of an oral tradition. Since oral traditions are the preferred method for understanding, describing and transmitting knowledge about the makeup of an ancestral landscape and special places, clearly, oral
traditions must inform the way spatial information systems are used for capturing and delineating that cultural view of the landscape. Hence a spatial tradition is born out of a cultural worldview rather than one imposed onto it.

Indigenous peoples treat traditional knowledge with a great deal of respect. Because of the *tapu* or sacred nature of this knowledge, it is important that they control their own information. Bill Kemp and Lorraine Brooke of Strata360\(^{36}\) illustrate this point:

> The most important lesson learned from the Nunavik (Quebec) experience is that the indigenous peoples must first and foremost control their own information. It has also become clear over the years that the knowledge base of indigenous peoples is vital, dynamic and evolving. Merely “collecting” and “documenting” indigenous environmental knowledge is in fact counterproductive. These knowledge systems have been under serious attack for centuries and the social systems that support them have been seriously undermined. … It is not a question of recovery and recording indigenous knowledge, it is one of respect and revitalization.’ (Brooke & Kemp 1995:27)

Article 31 of the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples also endorses this notion.\(^{37}\)

**The problem**

The notion of protecting the *tapu* and *mana* of Māori cultural information is akin to those sentiments expressed above by Kemp and Brooke as well as in the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples.\(^{38}\) It is integral to the aim of this thesis which is concerned with is how to translate an oral tradition’s view of an ancestral landscape into a spatial tradition.

**Methodology for blending two information systems**

The methodology must be consistent with a cultural perspective that does not marginalise that culture’s belief systems. Crawhall (2003:5) argues that:

\(^{36}\) STRATA360 is a Montreal-based company that specialise in Indigenous and Traditional Knowledge mapping, web development, and graphic design; they also provide assistance for community mapping activities. http://www.strata360.com

\(^{37}\) See Section two

Mapping done in a western intellectual framework may further marginalise indigenous voices.

He adds further words of caution, that with:

...the wrong methodology and motivation, the mapping process can violate people’s sacred beliefs and leave people vulnerable to further exploitation and marginalisation. Mapping with a good methodology, that empowers communities and helps them to come to grips with the challenges of cultural resources management, can help to fight poverty and associated pathologies. (Crawhall 2003:10)

With this in mind, the methodology for exploring how indigenous notions of geography can be blended with GIS mapping technologies is framed from an Indigenous perspective. It is important to bear in mind that the process is as important to the Indigenous mind as the end product. The process will manifest itself within the makeup of an oral tradition that consists of baseline components that define each culture. Furthermore, the techniques that oral traditions use to record their brand of indigenous knowledge and in particular how their land is clothed with that intimate knowledge plays a pivotal role in the process and the makeup of the end product. Māori perspectives are examined to see how they nestle into a wider Indigenous perspective. Once that is established the oral techniques that Māori use to convey their sense of the world are explored forming the basis for the development of mapping and the use of mapping technologies among Indigenous peoples and among Māori. Moreover, this will lead to a discussion on how the geography of narratives using mōteatea can be mapped based on the Māori world view. Finally in Chapter Seven, these ideas will be tested in a real-world case study

Conclusion
The critical issues discussed in this chapter that form part of the solution for this thesis are: first, that Indigenous peoples are not unfamiliar with the concept of technology in their cultures; second, worldview is important in how technology is employed and how Indigenous peoples view their world with their own set of lenses; third, to impose another worldview onto Indigenous worldviews is to encourage loss and disintegration the of cultural way of doing things; and fourth, the role of the paepae in forming part of
the solution for designing a model to integrate GIS technologies with the geography of culture.

Indigenous peoples are not unfamiliar with the concept and use of technology as a vital component of their societies as demonstrated in section one. It is part of the makeup of every culture around the world which underpins the progress and advancement of those societies.

Section two discussed Indigenous notions of worldview and how they differ with those of the western worldview. It also examined the loss of cultural information experienced by implementing a western perspective of boundaries on top of an Indigenous style of traditional boundaries as articulated in the Hawaiian ahupua’a system. Furthermore, this section briefly referred to the map biography data collection method as a reflection of true cultural values; that is, the process of mapping is as important as the product of mapping.

Section three explored the concepts underpinning the Māori worldview and the way in which that worldview distinguishes the Māori view of land. We also examined Jahnke’s (1999) example of the pae or paepae as a boundary between two worlds and as a starting point for negotiating the shape of the space between these two worlds. This concept has repercussions for finding a way to blend ancestral landscapes as articulated by the Māori worldview with modern spatial information technologies. The pae or boundary between distinct cultures could conceivably act as a metaphor for blending the two worlds. In this way, the pae can exist as a discrete well defined line or as a well-defined spatial domain that encompasses elements of both cultures by negotiation between both cultures.

Section four explored the problem that this thesis is concerned with: how to translate an oral tradition’s view of an ancestral landscape into a spatial tradition using modern spatial information mapping tools without that body of cultural information losing any of its integrity or cultural impact. The problem will be applied to Māori narratives in Chapter Six.
The following chapter will discuss Indigenous sense or knowledge of place covering methods for storing knowledge leading to how place informs indigenous cultures. In the same way, Māori sense or knowledge of place is examined using specific techniques such as *karakia*, *mōteatea* and *whakapapa*. Finally, Chapter Three will look at the makeup of an ancestral landscape and contrast that with the makeup of a spatial landscape.
Chapter Three: Indigenous Sense of Place
Introduction
Indigenous peoples have a unique view of the world as discussed in Chapter Two. The way Indigenous peoples see and interact with their world, their environment, their home and their place is crucial to this chapter. It is this worldview that defines how they map the discrete elements that make up their world and informs their cultural and ancestral landscapes.

The intention of this chapter is to illustrate how Indigenous peoples see their world, their land, their environment, their home, and their place. In some small way, to understand the unique features that makes up their cultural landscapes. Spatial information systems capture and display data based on a mathematical portrayal of the surface of the earth, whereas Indigenous societies see that same space in terms of the relationships that exist between them and their environment. This fundamental difference is crucial to understanding how Indigenous knowledge about place can be incorporated into spatial information systems without compromising their view of the world.

This chapter is divided into three sections: the first dealing with Indigenous sense of the world; the second, dealing with Māori sense of the world; and the third looks how spatial information systems make sense of the world.

Section One: Indigenous Knowledge of Place
Introduction
For Indigenous peoples, features of the land are more than three-dimensional points, lines, polygons, locations and relative positions. The Indigenous worldview describes their relationship to the heavens, the earth and the environment they inhabit as one seamless world within which they have occupied a unique position among all other living things for many centuries. They perceive and inhabit this world in terms of relationships. Their relationship with the heavens and the earth is determined by their creation stories. This relationship with the land and with the environment sustains their cultures and determines who they are. Land for Indigenous peoples is linked to their
identity; land is essential to their survival; land is pivotal to the continuation of their distinct culture.

Indigenous peoples throughout the world have similar characteristics that form the basis of their distinctive cultures. Each culture draws from a huge storehouse of knowledge, passed down in an oral fashion from generation to generation in various forms that inform the origin of their existence. Typically, stories, songs, chants, poetry, dance and various art forms constitute some of the techniques and systems used to store, recall and convey knowledge from generation to generation. Common among Indigenous cultures is their unique understanding of the cosmos, their position within the cosmos, their intimate relationship with deity and their connection with the enduring environment they inhabit. It is because of their reliance on, respect for and relationship with the environment that Indigenous peoples clothe the landscape with song, story, history and poetry permeating and influencing their view of the world. These are some of the characteristics common among Indigenous peoples that form the basis for their identity as a distinctive culture and describe their intimate relationships to their place of habitation.

The Mayan people of the maize
An understanding of and an intimate relationship with the environment is fundamental to the survival of any subsistence culture. Every culture has a storehouse of knowledge built up over several generations detailing their relationships with the environment. Stories such as myths and legends are widely used as a means of communicating ideas and passing on knowledge. Myths hold deep cultural meaning for every socio-cultural group on earth. Creation myths, in particular, represent their understanding, relationship and orientation to the cosmos. For the Maya peoples, their creation myths reveal how maize is not only the material that formed the first humans but also the staple, which nourishes humans. Creation myths also provide a window into Maya daily life and show how important subsistence agriculture is to their existence (Huff 2006).
The Maya of Guatemala are known as “the people of the maize” (Huff 2006:81) and have a strong tradition of storytelling. Maize is pivotal to their society and is vital to their history and traditions. Their stories inform them that they were made from maize. According to their stories, the maize comes from the Stone Mountain in the land of the Jakaltek. The secret location was revealed to the first father by the ant whom he had seen carrying a kernel of corn. When the first father went to the Stone Mountain he could not open the mountain to retrieve the corn so he called upon the lightening to assist him. Inside the mountain were the seeds of all the staple foods including corn, squash, chilli peppers, beans and cacao which the first father shared with all four corners of the earth (Huff 2006).

Maize has a key role in Maya daily lives by providing sustenance and is a tangible reminder of their traditional spiritual and cultural beliefs and social activities. As a subsistence culture revolving around the cultivation of maize, families and communities were involved in the cycle of maize production and consumption. This constant cycle of nature over several generations represented a Maya attachment to land and place. This implied a special relationship to land, lake, and mountains in order to maintain their daily existence. Thus maize and land are essential to Maya culture, identity and sense of place which is conveyed using their strong “oral storytelling tradition” (Huff 2006:83).

**Maya storytelling**

Stories have a powerful role in the Maya civilisation. Their brand of stories describe their ideas about the origins of the universe, illustrate their worldview, reflect their cultural practices and behaviour, transmit their cultural identity and communicate the Maya sense of place. Their stories also explain the importance of maize in their culture and lives and how they became known as “the people of the maize” (Huff 2006:81).

---

39 The *Jakaltek* people are a *Mayan* people of Guatemala who have lived in the foothills of the Cuchumatanes Mountains in north-western Guatemala since pre-Columbian times
Maize is a central theme in all types of stories in the Maya culture. Their stories help to shape and inform their identity. For example, Maya mythological stories hold deep cultural meaning for them and refer to their creation myths that feature maize as the means by which the first father constructed the human body; maize also represents sustenance for them. Their myths also give accounts of the deeds of gods and heroes, and contain rites of harmony between the universe, themselves and others (Huff 2006). Another form of story known as Cuentos Mayas, feature prominently in everyday Maya lives and are often used to clarify their values and shifting cultural beliefs. Cultures are known to be in constant motion and dynamic. These types of stories are often used to provide insight into specific cultural behaviour and to the constantly shifting forms of cultural practices. They also help to understand cultural institutions that govern everyday Maya lives (Huff 2006).

Leah Huff (2006) describes the Maya sense of place as a “human experience” (Huff 2006:81) in a specific location developing a connection to the landscape through meaningful “spiritual, cultural, communal, and emotional” interaction with the land over several generations (Huff 2006:82). The way in which humans dwelt on the land imbued the land with a unique identity based on their relationships with place (Basso 1996, Huff 2006). Their perceptions of knowing the landscape intimately through generations of association and cultural knowing supported their social constructs and provided meaning and context for their view of the world (Huff 2006).

The heart of the Maya view of the world and understanding of place lies in the life cycle of maize (Huff 2006). Maize is sacred to Maya life and is featured in every part of their culture, philosophy, and their creation stories involving the first father and the discovery of corn from which they derive their source of sustenance (Huff 2006).

---

40 Popular stories help to shape and inform identity, forms connection to land, and shapes a sense of place within the person (Huff 2006)
The Yupiaq ways of knowing

In another part of the world, the Yupiaq peoples know all too well the harsh realities of surviving in the unpredictable environment of south-western Alaska. Over centuries of living close to nature, they have developed an immense empirical knowledge base reflecting their understanding of the natural environment (Barnhardt & Kawagley 1999). As a result, they possess a number of survival strategies that help them cope during times of need. Yupiaq (also known as Yup’ik) Elders use stories to pass down knowledge from generation to generation thus preserving traditions, which were vital to their survival. Encouraging children to observe and work alongside parents, grandparents and older siblings whilst fishing, hunting, and food gathering also reinforced knowledge and skills crucial for their survival.

Kawagley (1995) noted that Yupiaq people view the world as being composed of five elements: earth, air, fire, water, and spirit. The incorporation of spirit in the Yupiaq worldview shows an awareness of the interdependence of humanity with the environment, a reverence for and a sense of responsibility for protecting the environment.

Indigenous Sense of Place

The Director General of United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (Mayor 1994) defines traditional knowledge in the following way:

The indigenous people of the world possess an immense knowledge of their environments, based on centuries of living close to nature. Living in and from the richness and variety of complex ecosystems, they have an understanding of the properties of plants and animals, the functioning of ecosystems and the techniques for using and managing them that is particular and often detailed. In rural communities in developing countries, locally occurring species are relied on for many - sometimes all - foods, medicines, fuel, building materials and other products. Equally, people’s knowledge and perceptions of the environment, and their relationships with it, are often important elements of cultural identity.

---

41 The Yupiaq (also known as Yup’ik people) are Indigenous peoples of western and south-western Alaska
Indigenous people are unique in that their knowledge systems form the corpus of an oral tradition, which is often enshrined in legend and cloaked in mythology. Oral techniques are the primary method used to preserve their identity clothing the land in stories that are passed down from generation to generation. Over the course of several generations of sowing the land with their unique brand of culture, in the form of stories, chants, poetry, art forms and song, the land becomes an intimate part of that culture, is integral to their identity and essential to their survival. The depth of their knowledge is embedded in the long inhabitation of a particular place wherein they become one with the land.

**Yupiaq knowledge systems**

Indigenous cultures around the world have cultivated, preserved and passed on their distinctive worldviews and associated knowledge systems for many centuries. This depth of knowledge was acquired over many generations of direct experience with the natural environment. In the day-to-day rigour of living, their laws and lore were developed and continually tested to ensure their survival. The First Nations people known as the Yupiaq are acquainted with the harsh reality of surviving in the inhospitable conditions of Alaska.

Indigenous knowledge is derived from a long and sustained inhabitation of a particular place over many generations, which reflected their unique way of looking at and relating to the world and the universe. Experience in the natural environment was their teacher, from whom they developed their lore and laws vital for their survival. It was in this context that Yupiaq peoples developed the immense knowledge base, innovative technology, empirical skills and techniques necessary to sustain their communities (Barnhardt & Kawagley 2005). Through longitudinal observation and empirical study, they formed an understanding of, a respect for and a bond with their environment that allowed them to develop advanced methods and technology to co-exist with nature.

Technology was a vital part of the growth and development of every Indigenous culture. Yupiaq knowledge is clearly demonstrated in the technology they employed. Their technology springs from their observation and extensive study of their sub-arctic environment and their need to survive in its harsh conditions. For example fishing is a
typical water based activity recognised throughout the world. However, the Yupiaq had to adapt their technology and techniques to catching fish in rivers, under ice, on the shores of a bay and in the open ocean. Each item of fishing gear, such as fish traps and nets, is made specifically to suit different conditions. Yupiaq fishermen needed to understand the nature and behaviour of each body of water and the patterns of behaviour of each species of fish. They also needed to understand the tidal patterns and how the water flowed in rivers. On land, they needed to understand the habits and movements of birds and wildlife including seals, walrus, whales, moose, the caribou and many species of waterfowl developing a wide range of hunting gear to suit. An extensive knowledge of a wide variety of edible plants to supply the body with necessary vitamins was required as was knowledge of medicinal plants and other local wild plants. Part of their survival skills required knowledge of how to prepare clothing from animal skins and how to prepare and preserve food for long-term storage. Elders of the Yupiaq use storytelling as a means of passing on their traditional knowledge to younger generations (Kawagley 1995).

Storytelling is a universal and ancient art form used widely around the world to influence and inform the lives, experiences and dreams of peoples in every part of the globe. It is no different for Indigenous peoples. Stories are an integral tool used by Indigenous peoples throughout the world to store and transmit their knowledge from generation to generation preserving their worldview. Indigenous stories reflect their ‘lore’ and rules dictating their behaviour and conduct with the land, the environment and every living thing therein. Maya storytelling, discussed in the previous section, has a pivotal role in Maya civilisation and describes their ideas about their worldview and how their sense of place is communicated.

Hawaiian sense of place
Genealogies, central to the Hawaiian sense of place, refer to an intimate connection of people to the land and vice versa. And like other Indigenous peoples, knowledge is revered in place and reflected in the names that are given to places. Place in *kanaka*
Maoli\textsuperscript{43} thought is very much akin to that of their Polynesian relatives the Māori of Aotearoa. Kapa’a Oliveira highlights the importance of place as:

. . . connection to the ‘āina (land). In ancient times, Kanaka Maoli lived in harmony with their environment. Their great respect for the land and sea was an extension of their general belief that the ‘āina was their kaikua’ana (elder sibling). Therefore, Kanaka Maoli had an obligation to love and respect the ‘āina, who in turn provided for the every need of its younger sibling. The Hawaiian language does not have a word meaning, “to own land.” Rather, Kanaka Maoli, like other Indigenous peoples generally believe that the ‘āina is embodied with a spiritual essence; it is alive and they are related. Because every life form and finally Kanaka Maoli are genealogically related via cosmogonic accounts, everything has mana (spiritual power). Therefore, it is the role of the people to serve as stewards of the ‘āina and the resources of all realms of the natural environment. (Oliveira 2006:5-6)

Oliveira asserts that Kanaka Maoli identity is tied to a specific place; not just any place, but the place where one comes from. In this sense, identity is informed by where they come from.

The study of place is important for determining who Kanaka Maoli are as a people. . . One’s identity is undeniably linked to one’s place. . . individuals identified themselves with the island that they were from. Therefore, people living on the island of Maui would not consider themselves to be Hawaiian or he Hawai‘i. Instead, such a person would say, He Maui au, “I am from Maui.” A person from O‘ahu would likewise be called he O‘ahu rather than the collective term, “Hawaiian,” as applied today to people from any island. (Oliveira 2006:8)

Carlos Andrade in his book: Hā‘ena: Through the eyes of the ancestors, examines the importance of place, and asserts that named places are considered sacred to Hawaiian people. They form an enormous repository of ancient knowledge derived from the collective memories of numerous generations, provide a foundation for the identity of the native Hawaiian and permit a glimpse at the ancient world through the eyes of the ancestors.

Hawaiian traditions pinpoint places as landing spots of ancestral navigators, as locations where the people emerged into the world, or as arenas in which

\textsuperscript{43} Kanaka Maoli is used by Kapa’a Oliveira in her PhD (2006:1) dissertation to refer to Native Hawaiians. Kanaka Maoli means, “real people”.
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they lived, fought battles, engaged in love affairs, and buried the dead. 
(Andrade 2009:2)

Oliveira (2006:6-7) writes of this phenomenon of place, linking the *mana* of place to the act of naming:

> By studying places and their names, one is able to better understand the worldview of a people. Through place names, features of the landscape, resources of the land and sea, and events of the past are revealed and attached to particular places. Place names, and knowledge of specific places and their resources is a form of Hawaiian epistemology. Knowledge is ‘rooted in place’.

Renee Louis, in an article with Margaret Pearce (2008:114), agrees with the notion that place is a repository for cultural knowledge and that:

> Hawaiians understand place as a multidimensional metaphysical continuum that ranges from the heavenscape through the landscape on to the oceanscape. (Pearce & Louis 2008:113)

Part of this article examines the potential for expressing cartographic language in Hawaiian. She asserts that knowledge of place is not only understood and absorbed via the five human senses but also by what she refers to as the ‘abstract senses’ of:

> . . . intuition, place, time and connection to the past, present and future. (Pearce & Louis 2008:114)

Which she asserts forms a:

> . . . critical part of Hawaiian spatial-knowledge acquisition, symbolization, and transmission and can only be accessed through various cultural practices, including prayer, ceremony, visions, and dreams. (Pearce & Louis 2008:114)

She then expresses a view similar to those of the Māori of Aotearoa wherein the land is a living entity, all natural elements are interrelated and significant and that Hawaiians are connected genealogically to the land and that the act of naming honours the land. This she calls ‘depth of place’.

> From a Hawaiian perspective, all natural and cultural resources are interrelated and culturally significant. They believe the ‘āina (land) is alive,
embodied with a spiritual essence, and that they are genealogically linked to it as their *kulāwi* (homelands). Hawaiians honor the *mana* (spiritual power or essence) of their *kulāwi* through the act of naming. Hawaiians named all parts of their environment, thus making their individual attributes of each known. (Pearce & Louis 2008:114)

Furthermore, Hawaiian depth of place is expressed through what she and Oliveira (2006) refer to as performative mapping practices which include:

. . . *mo‘olelo* (narrative historical accounts), *‘ōlelo no‘eau* (proverbs), *mele* (songs), *hula* (dances), *ki‘i pōhaku* (petroglyphs), *kalaina* (carvings), and *lei* (garland) making, *kapa* (bark cloth), and *kākau kaha* (tattoo) design. It is also expressed in mapping on the landscape through the traditional political boundaries of the *ahupua‘a*. (Pearce & Louis 2008:114,115)

Oliviera (2006) adds that these performative mapping practices create the Hawaiian landscape and Hawaiian identity. Her dissertation, nestled in geography, examines "Hawaiian sense of place" as constructed by Hawaiians in a Hawaiian way. The unique aspect about her dissertation is that she constructed her ancestral domains and cultural maps without the use of conventional means of map construction.

**Western Apache links to place**

In other parts of the world, the practice of linking stories, ancestors and names to significant places is evident in the lives of the Western Apache peoples of east-central Arizona. Keith Basso in his article “Wisdom Sits in Places” encounters the Western Apache practice of employing stories linked to significant places to teach lessons and instil wisdom. When stories are linked to significant places, those places act as a concrete reminder of ancient wisdom that emanate from those stories.

“Wisdom sits in places. It’s like water that never dries up. You need to drink water to stay alive, don’t you? Well, you also need to drink from places. You must remember everything about them. You must learn their names. You must remember what happened at them long ago. You must think about it and keep on thinking about it. Then your mind will become smoother and smoother. Then you will see danger before it happens. You will walk a long way and live a long time. You will be wise. People will respect you.” (Basso 1996:70)

In order to absorb the wisdom of places a great deal of reflection and thinking about place-centered narratives often occurs as Basso (1996) clarifies:
[The Apache are always thinking] of place-centered narratives, thinking of the ancestors who first gave them voice, and thinking of how to apply them to circumstances in their own lives. (Basso 1996: 80)

Eventually the narratives are consulted as guides for what to do and what not to do in specific situations. In this respect, place or landscape is central to the mental and social development of an Apache person where the narratives are viewed as an important repository of knowledge and where:

. . . features of the Apache landscape, their richly evocative names, and the many tribal narratives that recall their mythical importance are viewed as resources with which determined men and women can modify aspects of themselves of themselves, including, most basically, their own ways of thinking. (Basso 1996:85)

To sum up, as Apache peoples drink from places:

. . . they acquire knowledge of their natural surroundings, commit it to permanent memory, and apply it productively to the workings of their minds – they show by their actions that their surroundings live in them. (Basso 1999:86)

And it is this landscape, the landscapes of their imaginations that “most deeply influences their vital sense of place” (Basso 1999:86).

Understanding the way in which Indigenous peoples around the world are connected to their land is crucial for coming to grips with Māori notions of connectedness to the land. Māori share similar oral traditions that embed their genealogies, histories and stories in the landscape. This is the key to understanding the importance of place to Māori which will be discussed in the following section.

### Section Two: Māori Knowledge of Place

#### Introduction

The purpose of this section is to help develop an understanding of traditional Māori perspectives on land, which involves identifying key cultural values and beliefs in
relation to land. It also involves looking at the narratives that describe the origins of knowledge, which will provide an appreciation of some of the key cultural values and the feeling Māori have towards knowledge embedded in land. This in turn will provide some basis for exploring alternative approaches to mapping ancestral landscapes and the use of modern mapping technologies such as GIS. This section covers these cultural values and beliefs in sufficient detail to provide an overview of the Māori perspective and an insight into the thinking behind the Māori view of the world and the way information, or rather knowledge, about land is revered and understood.

This section is discussed in three parts: part one provides a breakdown of the origins of knowledge, and the cultural concepts and values that underpin the traditional Māori society and their perspective of land; part two looks at how these traditional concepts informs Māori sense of place and knowledge of place; and part three investigates how Māori knowledge of place governs the way Māori interpret land and the mapping of ancestral territories.

**An insight into the Māori world**

A discussion about traditional values and concepts about land provides the foundation for establishing whether Māori had a clear method for understanding and interpreting their landscape. The following section will examine the principal values and concepts that govern Māori interpretation of their ancestral landscapes. These will include the role of kōrero tawhito (ancient traditions), whakapapa the framework for understanding how the Māori world was ordered and organised, the tapu associated with all forms of knowledge, and mana associated with whenua (land).

Pre-European Māori organised their society based on a system of values or principles rather than a set of rules. The purpose for exploring these traditional concepts is to develop an understanding of how Māori relate to their whenua. This will be a cursory glance and not a definitive discourse of these principles. It is felt that a reasonable level of competency in te reo (Māori language) is required for a full comprehension of traditional Māori concepts. As Paul Temm (QC) of the Waitangi Tribunal (1990:36)

---

44 Tapu refers to the state of sacredness
states: “(t)o understand the people, the culture and the values, one must understand the language.”

However, this section will provide a background for understanding Māori concepts and notions about land. Furthermore, it will provide an insight as to how those concepts can be interpreted by maps and mapping technologies. The following section will use the language of karakia and mōteatea to illustrate the Māori world, as it is felt that the language is the vehicle for conveying the depth of understanding about culture.

**Kōrero tawhito**

*Kōrero tawhito* were a crucial part of Māori society and are shrouded in myth and legend stretching back to the ancient homelands of *Hawaiki* (Ministry of Justice 2001). They have been described by Dr Ranginui Walker as a reflection of the ideology, principles and behaviours of a society. He also states that:

> Sometimes a myth is the outward projection of an ideal against which human performance can be measured and perfected. Alternatively, a myth might provide a reflection of current social practice, in which case it has an instructional and validating function. (Walker 1978:20)

The primary purpose of *kōrero tawhito* is to convey knowledge from generation to generation; and like most Indigenous cultures without a script to record their histories, Māori employed several oral techniques to achieve this. *Mōteatea, karakia, kōrero pūrākau* and *whakapapa* are just some of the oral techniques that were used for this purpose. *Kōrero tawhito* begins with the mythical creation stories involving *atua* (deity) and *tīpuna* (ancestors).

**Creation of the Heavens and the Earth**

The early Māori ancestors lived in an era where *atua, tāngata* (people), the heavens and the earth and all living things therein shared a common cosmological descent (Salmond 1991) that are expressed in *karakia*. There are many accounts of how this occurred and who the main actors and events were but in the cosmological account depicted in Chapter Two section three, the universe began with *te kore*, winding its way through various genealogical stages to the creation of the sky Father, *Ranginui,*
and earth Mother, Papatūānuku; the precursor leading to the creation of the world we live in and the creation of people.

Karakia are often used to depict the creation stories. The karakia used in section three of Chapter Two contains the elements that illustrate the creation of the heavens in three phases: phase one, te kore described as void, nothingness or potential to be; phase two, te pō or the periods of darkness; and phase three te ao mārama, the emergence of the world of light with the separation of Ranginui and Papatūānuku and the subsequent emergence of humankind.\(^\text{45}\)

Another example of how oral techniques were used to pass on knowledge, and in this instance, about the creation myth is found in the following mōteatea. This chant is a takitaki that recites various genealogical stages from te kore leading to the creation of the heavens and the earth, the creation of people down to the arrival of the early ancestors of the Māori to Aotearoa:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Takina takina ki runga} & \quad \text{Recite the genealogies above and below,} \\
\text{Takina takina ki raro} & \quad \text{beginning beyond te kore and through the} \\
\text{Ki tua i te kore} & \quad \text{various phases of te kore} \\
\text{Ko te kore whiwhia} & \quad \text{This is the phase known as te pō, or darkness} \\
\text{Ko te kore rawea} & \quad \text{The heavenly bodies such as the stars te} \\
\text{Ko te kore tamaua} & \quad \text{mango o te rangi, Mapuhahana, Matariki and} \\
\text{Ko te pō, ko te pō nui e} & \quad \text{Maraurumawera} \\
\text{Ko te pō, ko te pō roa} & \quad \text{as well as planets, Tarewa} \\
\text{Ko te rikoriko e} & \quad \text{which is also known as Marewa, and the sun} \\
\text{Ko te manga o te rangi} & \quad \text{or Tamanuitera} \\
\text{Mapuhahana rā Matariki Maraurumawera} & \quad \text{This section refers to the Rangi and Papa, the} \\
\text{Ko tarewa i te pō} & \quad \text{sky father and earth mother and their} \\
\text{Ko marewa i te ata} & \quad \text{separation as ko te pana ki runga} \\
\text{Ko tama tama ki runga} & \quad \text{Taku ara ki runga refers to the pathway of} \\
\text{Ko te ao tū e} & \quad \text{Tāne. This is followed by his brother} \\
\text{Ko te rangi ko te papa} & \quad \text{Tūmatauenga} \\
\text{ko te pana ki runga} & \quad \text{and takapau or birthing} \\
\text{Taku ara ki runga} & \quad \text{mats of the heavens or Rangi and the earth or} \\
\text{Ko Tūmatauenga} &
\end{align*}
\]

\(^{45}\) Charles Royal in his doctoral thesis, 1998, Chapter 3 Te Ao Marama provides whakapapa and kōrero about the creation of the heavens and the earth and the emergence of te Ao Marama, the Māori worldview.

\(^{46}\) Composed by Pare-tu-ki-te-rangi, of the East Coast, Te Ika a Māui. This takitaki was sourced from a wānanga that the writer attended in April 2004, Dunedin region.
Me ko tikiahua mai i Hawaiki
Ko Io Ko Ira ka tū ko Hawaiki
Ko te nui, ko te roa, pāmamao e

Ko te tokowha e
Te hianga rā e
Te moana pukepuke ko te ika rā e
Ko te hiku o te rangi
Kukumea mai rā ki roto ki te rua

Kātahi ka rongo e
Nā wai te tangata ka rapa te whai e
Ka kī i e rā kā kī i e atu e
Ki te ao mārama ki te rangi ki runga
Ka kī i e ai e
Nā aku īpuna, nā Ruatепpupuke
Ko te whai, ko te wawe
Te wawata rā e
Ko te mara pūwha
Takina takina mai rā
Takina takina mai e
Ko te whai wawe wawe a Māui tikitiki a Taranga
Ka aue te aue
Kaati au nei ē ī

47 Full name: Te Whai wawewawe a Māui tikitiki a Taranga

This mōteatea is full of whakapapa, hence the beginning takina takina ki runga, (recite the genealogies above) and takina takina ki rarō, (recite the genealogies below) and then it launches into the whakapapa of the heavens and the earth. This particular takitaki is often accompanied with whai or traditional string manipulation or figures depicting each phase of the creation story from te kore, through te pō, the creation of te rikoriko (the family of stars) and other heavenly bodies such as tarewa and marewa as well as tamanui te rā or the sun. It also traces the beginning of humankind, the ancient homelands of Hawaiki and to the discovery of Aotearoa by Māui our famous Polynesian ancestor. This entire story is told by chant and reinforced by whai. In this instance the whai is the storyboard depicting each figure whilst the chant or takitaki provides the detail or kōrero illuminating the story.
Both the karakia and the mōteatea illustrate the creation myths of the Māori; and both include Ranginui and Papatūānuku. From these two, several children were born. These children were born into a world of darkness trapped between the embrace of their parents. The third phase of the creative process, te ao mārama, occurred when one of the sons, Tāne, separated their parents. Ranginui was thrust high into the heavens, and Papatūānuku remained as the earth mother.

Creation of Humans
The emergence of humankind is another part of the kōrero tawhito attributed largely to Tāne who was assisted by some of his brothers. He moulded the female form out of the earth taken from a sacred place called kura waka and breathed life into her. Again, parts of this story are captured in the oral traditions such as the following oriori, a special type of mōteatea:48 (Emphasis added)

ko te whare hangahanga tēnā
a Tāne nui a rangi

*ITE ONE I KURA WAKA
I tātai ai te puhi ariki
Te hiring-matua
Te hiringa-tipua
Te hiringa-tawhito-rangi

This is part of one verse of a very long oriori. This particular verse is a karakia that refers to the birthing process. In this part the sacred place kura waka is mentioned as the birthplace of the first woman.

Another mōteatea that portrays part of the creation of humankind is one composed by Rangiuia49 for his son. This portion illustrates the procreative act:50

Ka tū te ringaringa
Ka tū te waewae
Ka tū te māhunga
Ka toro mai tōna ure ki roto i te tipuaki e
Koia te tatea
Ka tapa tū ki roto ki te kanohi ko te karu tēnā
Ki te pongaipu ko te kea tēnā
Ki te waha rā ina ko te mare tēnā
Ki te kēkē rawa ko te rikoveravera
Ka hāngai i te tara me ko hinemanuhiri

This is part of a single verse of a very well-known mōteatea composed by Rangiuia for his deceased son Tuterangiwhaitiriao of te Aitanga a Hauiti. It refers to the formation of the female form: the limbs or ringaringa and waewae and the head or mahunga. It also refers to the bodily fluids that ooze from the eyes, the ears, the mouth and armpits; then the mōteatea refers to the female genitals or tara.

The first woman moulded by Tāne is known as Hineahuone or Hinehauone. These names refer to the maiden formed out of the earth, as the name Hine-ahu-one suggests

---

49 Rangiuia was a contemporary of Te Kani a Takirau of the Uawa region, East Coast North Island.
50 Rangiuia composed this epic mōteatea for his first born son who was killed by mākutu or curse.
while Hine-hau-one refers to the maiden formed from the earth (represented by the word one) and life (hau) was breathed into her.

In the takitaki used above to illustrate the creation of the heavens and the earth, the takapau rangi and the takapau nuku are mentioned. These are the birthing mats of the heavens and the earth. The next few lines of the mōteatea mention Io and Ira. Io is short for Io-wahine which is another name for the first woman. Ira refers to te ira tangata or the human element.

Taku ara ki runga
Ko Tūmatauenga
Ara TAKAPAU RANGI, TAKAPAU NUKU

Taku ara ki runga refers to the pathway Tāne used to climb to the highest heaven to retrieve the baskets of knowledge. This is followed by his brother Tūmatauenga (Atua of war) and the takapau or birthing mats of the heavens or Rangi and the earth referred to as nuku.

Me ko TIKIAHUA mai i Hawaiki
KO IO KO IRA ka tu ko Hawaiki
Ko te nui, ko te roa, pāmamao e

Tikiahua is sometimes referred to as the first male. The rest of this section refers to te ira tangata or the birth of humankind, and their inhabitation of the ancient homelands of Hawaikinui, Hawaiiroa, and Hawaiki pāmamao

Te ira tangata is what makes us human and is mentioned in other oral prose or tauparapara such as the following.51

Tēnei au, tēnei au
Te hōkai nei i ōku tapuwae. . .

Ka puta te IRA TANGATA
ki te whai ao, ki te ao mārama
Tihei mauri ora!

Humankind burst forth into the world of light!

Ranginui and Papatūānuku had many children, some of which play a vital role within the environment. Six in particular are well known including Tāne52 who is the progenitor of mankind; he is also the tipuna53 or atua of forests. Tāwhirimātea is the

---

51 See the following page for the full version
52 Tāne is known by many names: Tāne-nui-a-Rangi because of his role in completing the creation of the heavens, Tāne-mahuta because he was known as the atua of the forest, and Tāne-te-wānanga because of his role in retrieving the baskets of knowledge from the highest heavens.
53 Tipuna or Tīpuna: in this instance taken to mean atua or godlike-ancestor
tipuna or atua of the winds, Tūmatauenga is the tipuna or atua of war, Tangaroa is the tipuna or atua of the oceans, Rongomātane is the tipuna or atua of peace, the kumara and cultivated plants, whilst Haumiatiketike is the tipuna or atua of the aruhe and uncultivated foods.

The role and importance of Whakapapa

Whakapapa is an important concept in the Māori world which determined connections and relationships within Māori society and determined one’s membership within recognised kin groups. In the most basic sense, whakapapa refers to genealogies and shows descent from an eponymous ancestor as depicted in Table 1.1, Chapter One where the author traces his own whakapapa from Tūwharetoa, the eponymous ancestor of Ngāti Tūwharetoa, through a series of generations to himself. Whakapapa is also the concept Māori use to “define [their] cultural spaces and [their] perceptions of place within the environment” (Carter 2005:8). Everything has whakapapa as depicted in the creation stories linking every part of the environment, the heavens, the atua and Māori together: the trees, the mountains, the birds, the fish, the plants, the earth mother Papatūānuku, and the sky father Ranginui, the different levels of the heavens as portrayed in the karakia.54 Whakapapa has been described as a mental construct for encoding and recording Māori knowledge of the origin of the universe, the emergence of te ao mārama through the separation of the primal parents and their understanding of the world and their place in it (Roberts et al, 2004:1).

Everything has an origin to which its whakapapa can be traced: the creation of the heavens and the earth, the emergence of humankind, all the elements of the earth, the source of all knowledge, and the migration to and inhabitation of Aotearoa. He Pātaka Kupu, published by Te Taura Whiri i te reo,55 is a Māori language dictionary in Māori. All the words in this dictionary are assigned an atua to which they derived their origin and trace their whakapapa to.56 The word whakapapa traces its descent back to

---

54 Karakia portraying the creation of the heavens and the earth and the emergence of humankind is described in section 3 of chapter 2.
55 Te Taura Whiri i te reo is the Māori Language Commission set up in 1987 to promote the use of te reo (the Māori language) to ensure that te reo is not lost but becomes a living treasure in Aotearoa
http://www.tetaurawhiri.govt.nz
56 He Pātaka Kupu: te kai a te rangatira, Ngā Atua, p.ix
Tūmatauenga and the primeval parents Ranginui and Papatūānuku (Te Taura Whiri i te reo 2008:115). In terms of the aims of this thesis, whakapapa is the framework which Māori use to determine their relationships and their connections to the land. As Lyn Carter (2005:8) writes: “when Māori look at the landscape they ‘see’ kinship relationships.” Whakapapa not only pervades the landscape, it also pervades the oral narratives of mōteatea and karakia.

Mōteatea such as oriori illustrate the importance of whakapapa such as the one composed by Hinekitawhiti for her grandchild Ahuahukiterangi. The purpose of this oriori was to educate and instruct the child in her chiefly ancestry, sacred places and associated histories. It begins with whakapapa, contains a body of knowledge referring to sacred geographical places and ends with symbols of the child’s chiefly lineage. The extract below is part of the opening lines of the mōteatea: (Ngata & Jones 2006, Part 1:4) (Emphasis added)

Kia tapu hoki koe nā Tuariki, e!
Kia tapu hoki koe nā Porouhorea!
Kāti nei e noa ko tō taina ē!
Whakaangi i runga rā he kauwhau ariki ē,
Koi tata iho koe ki ngā wahi noa.
Whakatāria te tira hei Ngāpunarua,
Tāhuri o mata ngā kohu tapui, kai
Runga o te Kautuku, e rapa ana hine ī
Te kauwhau mua i a Hinemakaho
Hai a Hinerautu, hai a Tikitikiorangi,
Hai konā rā korua, ē!

May you be set apart, as is fitting for a descendant of Tuariki;
May you be set apart, as is fitting for a descendant of Porouhorea;
Let only your younger relative be free from restriction.
Soar gracefully on high, O chieftainess,
And do not descend too near to the common places.
Project your journey to Ngāpunarua
Then turn your eyes to the interlaced mists,
Which float above Kautuku; for the maiden
Seeks the first-born line from Hinemakaho,
Such as Hinerautu and Tikitikiorangi.
And there you will be with your elder.

The opening lines begin with the whakapapa or genealogy that defines the child’s status in her society with reference to her illustrious ancestors Tuariki and Porouhorea. The chant then connects the child to several significant places on the East Coast such as Ngāpunarua and Te Kautuku both of which have defining roles in the history of the east coast. Other nobles of the east coast region are referred to including: Tikitikiorangi, Hinemakaho and Hinerautu who are all associated with ariki whakapapa.


**Source of knowledge**

The source of knowledge is illustrated in the story of Tāne and the kite wānanga which were retrieved from the highest part of the heaven, the sacred realm of Io. The importance of seeking knowledge from the sacred baskets is illustrated in the oriori composed by Tuhoaroiki for Tuteremoana\(^57\) a child born of ariki status. The following extracts from this oriori provides an example of this; it also describes the means by which Tāne acquired the sacred baskets (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3: 6): (emphasis added)

Haramai, e mau tō ringa ki **TE KETE TUAURI**, 
Ki **TE KETE TUATEA**, ki **TE KETE ARONUI**

Tuteremoana is instructed to take hold of the three baskets of sacred knowledge known as te kete tuauri, te kete tuatea and te kete aronui

Tāne-nui-a-rangi climbs to the highest heaven known as Tikitiki-o-rangi with the help of the children of Tāwhirimātea the tipuna of the winds: Ka eketia ngā rangi ngāhuru mā rua i konei

---

In another verse of the same oriori we note that the child Tuteremoana is encouraged to follow the footsteps of Tāne in acquiring knowledge. This same verse also illustrates the role of Tūmatauenga in the quest for the three baskets of knowledge: (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3: 6)

Haramai e tama, i te ara ka takoto i a Tane-
matua
Kia whakangungua koe ngā rakau mataurua nā Tu-mata-ungua
Ko ngā rākau tēnā i patua ai te Tini o Whiro i te Pae-rangi;
Ka heke i Tahekeroa,
Koia e kume nei ki te pō tangotango
Ki te pō whāwhā o Whakarua-i-moko, 
E ngunguru rā i Rarohenga,

Tuteremoana is encouraged to follow the pathway of Tāne
Tūmatauenga fights the hordes of Whiro, an elder brother of Tāne, who tries desperately to stop Tāne from climbing to the highest heaven to retrieve the three sacred baskets of knowledge. It is at te Paerangi that a great battle is fought and the hordes of Whiro were confined to Tahekeroa and finally to Rarohenga

---

In another verse, *Tuteremoana* is again encouraged to seek for sacred knowledge:

(Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3: 8) (Emphasis added)

> Haramai e tama puritia te aka matua
> Kia whiritere ake ko te *Kauwae-runga*, ko te *Kauwae-raro*,
> kia tāwhia, kia tāmua, kia ita i roto a Rua-i-te-pukenga, a Rua-i-te-horahora, a Rua-i-te-wanawana, a Rua-matua takeake o Tāne.

In this next verse, the *oriori* points out the sacredness of knowledge by referring to the realm of *Io-matua-te-kore*, the most sacred of all the heavens: (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3: 8) (Emphasis added)

> Whakarongo mai e tama! Kotahi tonu te Hiringa i kake ai Tāne ki TIKITIKI-O-RANGI
> Ko te hiringa i te māhara.
> Ka kitea i retra ko IO-MATUA-TE-KORE anake
> I a ia te Toi-ariki, te Toi-uru-tapu
> Te Toi-uru-rangi, te Toi-uru-roa;
> Ka whakaputa Tane i a ia ki te WAITOHI
> Nā Pu-hao-rangi, Nā Oho-mai-rangi,
> Te wai whakaata nā Hine-kau-orohia

*Io* is described as all powerful, all sacred and the source of the universe.

The story of the *kete wānanga* is also captured in the following oral prose known as *tauparapara*: (Ka’ai 1995:36) (Emphasis added)

> Tēnei au, tēnei au
> Te hōkai nei i ōku tapuwae
> Ko te hōkai nuku, ko te hōkai rangi
> Ko *TE HŌKAI A TAKU TĪPUNA A TĀNE-NUI-A-RANGI*
> *I pikitia ai ki te RANGITŪHĀHĀ*
> *Ki TE TIHI O MANONO*
> I rokohina atu rā
> Ko Io Matua Kore anake
> I riro iho ai
> Ngā kete o te wānanga
> *Co TE KETE TŪAURI*
> *Co TE KETE TŪĀTEA*
> *Co TE KETE ARONUI*
> Ka tiritiria, ka poupoua ki Papatūānuku
> Papā te whaititiri
> Hikohiko te uira
> Ka kanapu ki te rangi
> I whētuki ki raro rā
> Rā ana te whenua e
> *KA PUTA TE IRA TANGATA*
> *KI TE WHAI AO KI TE AO MĀRAMA*

This *tauparapara* begins by describing the journey of securing the baskets of knowledge as *te hokai a taku ōku tapuwae a Tāne*

This section refers to a place called *te Rangitūhāhā* the highest heaven also known as *te tihi o Manono*. It was here that the ancestor *Tāne* met *Io matua kore* (*Io matua te kore*) who resides at this most sacred place. He retrieves the sacred baskets: *te kete tuauri, te kete tuatea* and *te kete aronui*

Humankind is brought forth into the world of light
Karakia, mōteatea, tauparapara and whakapapa were some of the classic oral techniques used by Māori to convey knowledge from one generation to the next. The above examples have been used to demonstrate how the Māori world came into existence, how humankind was created and how the baskets of knowledge were given to humankind.

These ancient themes feature prominently in the Māori worldview and provide a window by which the philosophy known as Kaupapa Māori can be appreciated. Because knowledge came from celestial sources, it is regarded as tapu and the process of acquisition of said knowledge is cloaked in ritual. Furthermore, knowledge or information has enormous spiritual and cultural significance for Māori. Thus, since information regarding land has cultural significance this has implications for storing cultural knowledge in systems such as GIS mapping technologies; this must be carefully thought through so that the tapu of the cultural information is respected and maintained.

Māori connection to place
The relationship between Māori and Aotearoa is rooted in their worldview stretching back through their cosmological whakapapa to the creation myths from whence their ancestral gods emerged into the world of light, through the separation of their sky father Ranginui and their earth mother Papatūānuku and to the creation of humankind by their ancestral gods. These beliefs have been transmitted from generation to generation through the spoken word in the form of karakia, whakapapa, and mōteatea wherein value systems were interwoven into those accounts. These values were the foundation of their interactions with others and the environment. These systems of knowledge regulated behaviour and perpetuated norms of Māori society. The combination of cosmological beginnings and connectedness to the Māori world through various stages of whakapapa or complex genealogies creates a sense of attachment which Māori

58 Māori attachment to land is well documented by Buck (1950), Firth (1959), Sinclair (1981), Yoon (1986), Asher and Naulls (1987), Kawharu (1977) and many others.
have towards their environment cemented in place by observance to ritual and ceremony.

The significance of place is connected with ancestral events which occurred at specific locations within the tribal domain. There are numerous well-known stories depicting ancestral events that occurred at specific locations around Aotearoa and within specific tribal territories leaving behind place names as historical signposts of those events. As Sir Tipene O’Regan writes:

> The names in the landscape were like survey pegs of memory, marking the events that happened in a particular place, recording some aspect or feature of the traditions and history of a tribe. (Cited in Davis 1990: xiii)

At the arrival of the some of the canoes to Aotearoa around 1350 AD, the ancestors of those canoes began naming and claiming land as they went. One of those canoes was the Mataatua which landed at Moana a Toi te Huatahi in the Bay of Plenty. While the canoe was moored in the bay it managed to slip her moorings and began to drift out to sea. None of the men were around at the time but Wairaka, a woman of chiefly descent, decided to do something about it. She uttered her famous words “me whakatāne au i ahau”, “I will make myself a man” and dragged the canoe back to the beach. The place at which this event occurred bears the name Whakatāne in remembrance of that event (Davis 1990: 4).

The names embedded in the landscape contain a huge amount of information about the land and reflect the relationship of one place with another. They are also signposts that point to the presence of human habitation and ancestral events. When the early ancestors arrived they brought with them from their ancient homelands a vast history which they replanted in their new territory by giving the new landscape old names thus transplanting that ancient history in new settings (Davis et al, 1990: 5).

The Māui names are an example of this occurrence as discussed in Chapter One. The Tainui waka on landing embedded names in the new landscape from Hawaiki, the old
homeland, such as Motu Tapu at Waiatemā, and Waihāhā and Waihihi near Ōtāhuhu (Davis et al, 1990: 4).

The famous east coast ancestor Paikea brought many names with him from his old home island. The account given in He Kōrero Pūrākau details his journey from Ahuahu down to his arrival at Whangarā-mai-tawhiti on the east coast where he began to name places after those in his old homeland. (Emphasis added)

“Rite tonu tēnā ki PAKARAE; tēnā ki WAINGUTU; tēnā ki TOKA KUKU; tēnā ko te rite ki RANGITOTO; tēnā ki TE UHIA I RAKAU; ko te rite tēnā ki PUKEHAPOPO; tēnā ki WAIPEPAE; tēnā ki WHAKAKINO; tēnā ki AHI RARARIKI; tēnā ki AHI RARAIHE; rite tonu tēnā ki TU TAPUNINIH; tēnā ki TAHU TU O TE RANGI tēnā ki TE WARUHANGA A HINE; ēnā ki PUKEHORE me TE RERENGA. Ko ēnei ingoa he ingoa nō taku kāinga i Rangiātea moutere.” (Davis 1990: 49-53)

Many more examples of naming exist in Māori history stretching back to Kupe who is recognised widely in the Hokianga region for naming several places; he also named many places in and around Te Whanganui-a-Tara, and the top of the Te Waipounamu the South Island, (Davis 1990:8-17). Tōhē is known for naming places around the Hokianga (Davis 1990:18-23); Kahupeka (Davis 1990:24-29) named places around the middle of Te Ika a Māui as did Tia and Ngātoroirangi when they left Maketū on arriving on the Arawa canoe and headed inland to Taupō59 (Grace 1959: 58-68).

The combination of cosmological beginnings coupled with complex genealogies gave Māori a great sense of attachment to their land; the added concept of transplanting history and embedding names into the landscape gave further meaning to their connections to the landscape. Furthermore, the Māori concept for land is embedded in the word whenua which also means afterbirth of a newborn child. Given that Papatūānuku is described as the Earth Mother, She had a nurturing role in Māori lore from which all drew sustenance from. Thus connection to place is tied to genealogy, cosmological beginnings, transplanted history, ancestral events, plus an emotional tie with their Mother transcending geographical boundaries.

59 Many more examples are given in Davis, Te A., (1990) He Kōrero Pūrākau.
How does the Māori notion of naming compare or contrast with that of Pākehā (Non-Māori) New Zealanders? Of this difference between the way Pākehā New Zealanders and Māori see the same landscape, Ailsa Smith (2001) writes:

The significant difference between Pākehā and Māori lies in whakapapa which connects Māori in elemental ways to this landscape and stretches back through mortal and godlike ancestors to the earliest ages of the world. (Smith 2001: 60)

Lyn Carter (2005) argues that English discourses have always rendered Māori in a temporal context within their own country rather than a spatial context that firmly establishes:

. . . Māori in another time, occupying some distant past. [Thus rendering] their stories of occupation and ongoing relationships with the landscape as seemingly irrelevant in a contemporary situation. (Carter 2005: 8)

The act of naming places to commemorate ancestral events, as was the case with Tia and Ngātoroirangi, or after parts of the body, imbues the land with mana and with tapu; it also embeds knowledge into particular places. Place names embedded in landscape identify specific geographical regions or areas which are significant to Māori. Places and their names attached to particular places reveal the Māori worldview and unfold the history of the landscapes. Hence knowledge is rooted in place.

Knowledge of place is found in the rich oral traditions of the Māori, especially that of mōteatea. Mōteatea is a rich oral tradition comprising a collection of knowledge, histories, customs and values which are passed down the generations. They give us special insight to the minds and lives of the ancestors and are couched in a metaphorical language incidental to their era. They form works of epic poetry that use distinctive tunes and uncommon rhythms that facilitate retention. They evoke emotion and stir inner memories that transform into vivid images of people, of past histories and of place. In addition to this, mōteatea remind us of the whakapapa, the ancestral events, the old stories, and the historical landmarks thus restoring the tradition of connecting people to their special places. This is the reason why mōteatea is chosen to test the notion of blending spatial information technologies with cultural knowledge.
Section Three: Ancestral Landscapes within the Spatial System

Maps: a reflection of worldview
Maps are techniques which have been used by preliterate and modern societies from the dawn of civilization to communicate their understanding of the makeup of their territories. Lyn Carter (2005: 9) describes maps as instruments to “locate human actions in spatial context.” Furthermore, she describes maps as:

. . . ideology-based perceptions of the world, [which] contain the values and beliefs of a people [and] illustrate [different] ways of knowing how the world was shaped. (Carter 2005:9)

Robert Rundstrom (1990) describes mapping as a process that is fundamental to lending order to the world. He adds that maps are a reflection of worldview and that:

By transforming a given way of thinking into material reality, maps simultaneously reflect and reinforce the world view or spatial thought of a culture. (Rundstrom 1990: 1)

Terry Tobias (2000) reiterates the ease in which First Nations people navigate their homelands by carrying:

. . . maps of their homelands in their heads. For most people, these mental images are embroidered with intricate detail and knowledge, based on the community’s oral history and the individual’s direct relationship to the traditional territory and its resources. (Tobias 2000: 1)

For Indigenous peoples, maps both reflect and reinforce cultural values and beliefs of the people who make them (Rundstrom 1990). Whether maps are created on the ground with a stick, or on clay tablets, cloth, paper or more modern spatial information technologies such as GIS, the power of the map rests with those who control the maps.

In terms of the aim of this thesis, understanding what underpins spatial information technologies is crucial to understanding how Māori ancestral landscapes can sit within or beside spatial information systems without compromising their worldview. What follows is a discussion of the origins and whakapapa of GIS and spatial reference.
systems; the foundation of any geographical or spatial dataset commonly seen on maps or in GIS. A spatial reference system allows us to accurately and unambiguously identify locations and features on a map using a framework defined by a coordinate system. This is crucial for understanding how land information is treated through the western or spatial lens. From this we can work out how to use spatial information technologies to record instances of Māori ancestral landscapes as articulated by their worldview.

The *whakapapa* of GIS

Geographical information systems (GIS) have been in use in Aotearoa New Zealand for at least twenty years although earlier systems for mapping forests were cumbersome and difficult to use. Its *whakapapa* or origins evolved from a long history of map making stretching back to the time of Rameses II of Egypt and even further back to “Babylonian cuneiform tablets” (Bernhardsen 1992:1). However, it was the Greeks that were thought to have created the first set of “realistic maps” employing the first known “rectangular co-ordinate system” around 300 B.C (Bernhardsen 1992:1). While it was the Romans who first employed the concept of a cadastre to record and register properties, it was the Greeks who came up with the idea of the cadastre and cadastral. As societies evolved, so too did the techniques and skills for delineating property, coastlines, countries, navigational charts, topography, the location of towns and the natural features of the earth’s surface in the form of maps (Bernhardsen 1992).

However, as discussed above, maps were a reflection of worldview and did not favour Indigenous notions of landscapes; the same can be said of the origins and structure of GIS.

The term GIS was coined as far back as the 1960s by Roger Tomlinson (Wright, Godchild & Proctor 1997). While GIS can be thought of as a collection of tools and techniques for creating digital maps, it is a very powerful tool in the way it has revolutionised how spatial data is handled and used. It is essentially an information system that derives spatial data from interpreting features of the earth and representing them symbolically within an information system. GIS performs operations on spatial and non-spatial data and includes a set of sophisticated tools which have "the ability to
store, manipulate, analyse, represent", display and connect geographical and attribute information (Hakopa 1998:51). Furthermore, GIS has the ability to "store and analyse complex and integrated layers of spatially referenced information that include cultural information and Indigenous knowledge" (Hakopa 1998:51). GIS has a host of applications and is seen in many aspects of our daily lives such as Google Earth, urban and regional planning applications, wildlife management, tourism, electricity and roading networks and many other applications that support the development and growth of modern societies. Thus, spatial data and its accompanying attribute data can be thought of as an asset having value; commercial value that can be traded for a price (Openshaw & Goddard 1987).

While spatial data can be viewed as a commodity with real commercial value, any form of information, about landscapes is seen by Indigenous peoples, Māori included, as having intrinsic value; to Māori it is a taonga. Nancy Obermeyer voiced this concern that the:

... people who developed GIS worked within specific institutional environments (largely white males employed in academic and governmental institutions in North America and Europe) that forged the boundaries of their task. Moreover, existing technology, software logic and specific spatial theories influenced and sometimes limited their choices as they worked. These, in turn, shaped the kind of GIS that are available today. (Obermeyer 1998: paragraph 5)

Harris and Weiner support the notion that GIS imposes its conception of space:

GIS imposes a way of knowing and of representing nature and society spatially. The cartographic metaphor is a recent consequence of the academization of geography that has privileged the map and the map-based (and, thereby, GIS-based) conceptualization of space. (Harris & Weiner 1998: paragraph 24)

Furthermore Brodnig and Mayer-Schönberger sought solutions for bridging the gap between spatial information technologies and traditional knowledge and noted that:
“the adoption of science-based innovations and technologies by local people has often been stifled by their perceived incompatibility with traditional value systems and cultural practices”. (Brodnig & Mayer-Schönberger 2000: 2)

**The Western Gaze**

GIS has a history nestled within what Bender refers to as the "Western Gaze" (Bender 1999:31). It is not culturally neutral and given the impact it had at its introduction to Indigenous peoples over 20 years ago, it posed both risks and opportunities (Rakai 1994b:51). In the early 1990s, Tonga looked at the potential for adopting GIS for improving its land management systems. Savai Latu sounded this warning that the "way in which the Tongans [manage] their affairs should be studied with great care before introducing the alien technologies" (Latu, Benwell & Davies 1996:212). Furthermore, "several unsuccessful attempts were made in the past to introduce land information technology into the country" which failed "because the users were not aware of the benefits and the developments usually followed the line of thinking of the analysts" (Latu et al 1996:212). Duerden and Keller add that traditional decision making is "the most appropriate approach . . . where GIS derived solutions are sequentially discussed, evaluated and modified in community meetings" (Duerden and Keller 1992:14).

Putting aside the obvious tension that exists between the two world views and epistemologies, and the perceived “hegemonic power relations embedded in GIS” (Brodnig and Mayer-Schönberger 2000:8) as well as its inherent origins in the “western gaze” (Bender 1999:31) GIS does offer powerful options as a tool and a means to accomplish the aims of this thesis if it is used appropriately within the context of traditional values. The real value of GIS is not in the way it manipulates spatial and non-spatial data, but in its ability to meet the cultural aspirations of iwi and other Indigenous communities around the world.

**Cartesian coordinate system**

GIS uses a series of points, lines and polygons to represent surface features of the earth which easily fit into an X,Y and Z coordinate system such as that provided by the Cartesian or rectangular coordinate framework (Galati 2006). A Cartesian coordinate
system uses a framework of axis known as X, Y and Z to determine the position of features on the surface of the Earth (Blick 2007:11, Galati 2006). Both the positive X and the positive Y axis lie in the Equatorial plane. The X axis passes through $0^\circ$ longitude, while the Y axis passes through $90^\circ$ East longitude forming a right angle with the X axis. The positive Z axis runs from the centre of the earth along the Earth’s rotational axis passing through $90^\circ$ North latitude (Blick 2007:11). All three axes originate from the centre of the earth.

**Map Projections**

In contrast a projected coordinate system uses a two-dimensional coordinate system to represent relative positions of features on the surface of the earth. Map projections make allowances for the curvature of the ellipsoid and permits surface features to be projected on to a flat sheet of paper such as a map (Blick 2007:17, Galati 2006). Local coordinate systems work well if the area being mapped is small and distance, direction and area are at such a scale that the curvature of the earth is not a factor in the computations. One of the problems with map projections representing a curved surface on a flat surface is distortion. The amount of distortion, however, can be calculated and compensated for.

Map projections use a rectangular grid coordinate system where the coordinates are described in terms of metres east and metres north of a designated origin. The origin is often termed the false origin. The main map projection system used in New Zealand is the New Zealand Transverse Mercator 2000 Projection which is used for the 1:50 000 topographical maps (Blick 2007). The other map projection is the New Zealand Map Grid (NZMG) for the 260 series of topographical maps published by Land Information New Zealand (LINZ).  

Geographic or spatial reference systems provide a stable network that is able to integrate geographical datasets from multiple sources into a single geographical reference framework. Mapping tools such as GIS portrays features of the earth and rely on a stable spatial reference system to integrate and display that data consistently. The
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60 LINZ is a New Zealand Government organisation responsible for land titles, maintaining the geodetic and cadastral survey systems as well producing topographic information
power of a GIS lies in its ability to draw together diverse datasets into a single framework using a system of layers representing different features. For example, separate layers of information might include a network of roads, a subdivision of lots, underground services such as water, electricity, gas, and telecommunications network. GIS is able to bring all these datasets together in a meaningful way due to the ability of a spatial reference system to define each of these datasets in terms of a set of coordinates (Blick 2007:30,31). Thus, a spatial reference framework provides a means by which all the data in a GIS can be inter-related geographically.

The challenge would be to test the ability of spatial reference systems to integrate knowledge or information regarding cultural space or rather the geography of narratives as described by mōteatea. It is evident that spatial reference systems provide a stable network for integrating geographical datasets but clearly, cultural data such as that described in section two above does not fit easily into this type of reference system without losing its cultural intent and integrity. This is further explored in Chapter Five and Six.

**Conclusion**

It has been demonstrated that western worldviews cannot translate the meaning and function of a traditional worldview without diluting the integrity of the cultural information that informs that view. That is simply unacceptable for it is worldview that defines, informs and underpins the very essence of an oral tradition.

For Māori, oral traditions have been the preferred method for understanding, describing and transmitting knowledge about their landscapes and special places; in this respect, oral traditions characterise their cultural and ancestral landscapes. Juxtapose that with modern spatial information systems for capturing and delineating that same view of the landscape and a spatial tradition is born out of that worldview.

Like other Indigenous peoples around the world, Māori have a unique way of viewing the world based on their interpretation of the origins of that world. In that understanding, they are related to all things in the creation. To the *atua* (gods) who organised every aspect of the world, to all the trees and animals and plants that inhabit
the world, and to the environment that offers up that which ensures their survival, and to the land that records their footsteps and connects each generation together in one seamless archival record.

This chapter has looked at the unique way in which Indigenous peoples look at land and everything associated with land. It is called worldview: their understanding and rendering of the world and environment around them. This perception of the world determines how Indigenous peoples see the places they inhabit and how all the discrete elements that make up that place blend into a single seamless and enduring landscape; their ancestral landscape. It is this landscape that is central to this thesis.

Modern Spatial Information Systems and techniques are widely acknowledged in many parts of the world as the leading edge tool for managing any form of land information. Any landscape including those acknowledged by Indigenous peoples as ancestral can be captured and displayed using these tools. However, this type of representation is far too narrow and incapable of rendering the fullness of the makeup of an ancestral landscape.

Why should Indigenous peoples use GIS to capture ancestral landscapes? To give full power and capacity to Indigenous peoples to maintain, manage and retain their notions of landscape.

How can this be done? The next chapter will look at Indigenous attempts to create maps of their ancestral landscapes, the origins, rationale and purpose behind mapping, the interpretation of the mapping tools and techniques, and the development of the GIS amongst Indigenous communities.
Chapter 4: Indigenous Mapping: Tools for Interpreting their World
**Introduction**
Indigenous peoples interpret their places in a rather unique way reflecting their view of the world they live in. Chapter Three discussed how Indigenous peoples see their world, their land, their environment, their home, and their place; it examined the unique features that make up their cultural landscapes. Understanding this interpretation of place is crucial to finding appropriate ways to blend Indigenous knowledge of place with spatial information systems. Conceding this, the platform is now set to explore Indigenous mapping.

Indigenous mapping is an interpretation of place, of history, of identity, of relationships. This chapter will examine how Indigenous peoples map their interpretations of place. Special attention is given to the map biography method as it underpins the technique used in Chapters Six and Seven. Furthermore, this chapter will look at how Indigenous peoples have adopted modern mapping techniques and sophisticated information technology and more importantly, the reasons or motivation behind the use of these tools.

The reasons are many if not varied but render down to the Indigenous sense for belonging to, rather than ownership of, their land and pressing their claims for land, resources and territory. In particular, the most important reasons for mapping is for reclaiming their ancestral domains, demarcating their traditional territories, identifying and mapping their cultural resources and assets, and revitalising their cultural identity in the face of an ever encroaching global and amorphous society.

This chapter will approach the subject of Indigenous mapping in two sections: the first section will examine cultural mapping. It will introduce this issue by looking at the origins of mapping, the reasons behind mapping leading into the motivations behind Indigenous adoption of mapping; then it will explore cultural mapping. Section two will examine Indigenous GIS and the stories behind them. It will look at several studies that reflect the innovative ways Indigenous peoples have adopted spatial information technologies.
Section One: Cultural Mapping: a tool for interpreting Indigenous landscapes

Map or Be Mapped
‘Map or be mapped’ a phrase borrowed from Karl Offen (2003) in his article narrating place and identity; a paper that highlights the Miskitu land claims in North-eastern Nicaragua. Offen (2003) asserts that during the colonial period, mapping served as a tool for dispossession of native lands and was a reflection of the colonial period.

Western mapping technologies have not been favorable to indigenous peoples. During the colonial period, maps materially and symbolically initiated the ongoing process of native land dispossession. As knowledge systems and didactic devices, maps helped create the reality they purported to represent and, thus, shaped and reflected the colonial project. (Offen 2003:384)

Thomas R. Berger, QC in the foreword of Living Proof (Tobias 2009) echoes this sentiment:

. . . to indigenous peoples around the world, [maps] resonate with a dark ferocity and foreboding. For five centuries, maps were used to codify, to justify and to sanction an often bloody – and always cruel – colonization of the New World. Maps almost were used as instruments of empire, human constructs imposed as a means of converting chunks of the earth’s surface into real estate, bought and sold by non-aboriginal people. (Tobias 2009:8)

Mac Chapin (2006) adds that:

. . . one of the uses of maps has been to stake out and claim land. This function was put on dramatic scale during the European Age of Exploration and Colonization from the 15th through the 19th centuries. As empires expanded, cartographers were enlisted to transform huge areas of the globe into real estate. (Chapin 2006: 2)

Following the colonial period, mapping was used to support sovereignty:

In the postcolonial era, new states reinforced their tenuous nationhood by deploying maps as logos to legitimize their territorial sovereignty and to spatialize a national identity. (Offen 2003:384)

Again Berger (Tobias 2009) contends that:
[with] a few simple strokes of the cartographer’s stylus, governments attempted to alienate indigenous peoples from their territories. (Tobias 2009: 8)

David C. Nahwegahbow, of the Whitefish River First Nations near Manitoulin Island, Ontario reflected on a conversation he had with a Crown Minister:

Just a few years ago, I remember talking to a provincial cabinet minister about forestry operations that were going to have a serious negative impact on Algonquin lands and the Algonquins’ ability to sustain themselves. The minister said, “Prove it to me!” Clearly, words were not sufficient. That was a seminal moment in my life, and in my work. (Tobias 2000: vi)

Maps have also been used more recently to secure rights to resources as Berger (Tobias 2009) writes:

Government lawyers continue today to exercise the power of maps to legitimize claims over lands and resources. Maps have also been enlisted by multi-national companies to gain concessions over commodities such as oil, minerals and timber. (Tobias 2009: 8)

Conceding this, Offen (2003) describes the resilience indigenous peoples displayed in using western mapping technologies to create:

. . . their own maps about themselves and their lands . . . [to] re-present native toponyms, cultural landscapes, and territorial claims . . . [and] to retain [their] cultural and territorial viability as a people. (Offen 2003: 384)

Berger (Tobias 2009) also describes the resilience of Indigenous peoples following the colonial era and the effect it had on the Indigenous landscape:

Colonial maps, however, could not erase the knowledge of the land held by indigenous peoples. (Tobias 2009: 8)

To locate the early Māori experience, in the 19th century, in the context of creating new survey maps of their homelands, former chief surveyor James McKerrow in 1889 declared that the new colony had no landmarks and that they would have to “create boundaries in the unoccupied wilderness” (Byrnes 2001:95). That McKerrow would
refer to Aotearoa as an “unoccupied wilderness” was contrary to how the early Māori ancestors viewed their land rendering them invisible allowing, as Byrnes remarks, the colonial surveyors “to extend the space and hegemony of the settler community” (Byrnes 2001:95).

The creation of survey maps required placement of boundary markers. Māori were not unfamiliar with boundary markers. Like other Indigenous peoples, Māori had a rich naming tradition from the time of the first arrivals of the early ancestor up to the arrival of the British colonial surveyors and settlers. They often established boundary markers to delineate their domains from contiguous tribes. As Byrnes observes:

For Maori, land was the basis of tribal economy and community life. Land was identified through whakapapa and a system of rights and privileges that often relied on boundary markers. . . Boundaries. . . [included]. . . natural features like hills, coasts, rivers, and even cliff faces. . . In the absence of natural features, markers would be made on the ground: a pile of stones, a post or a hole dug into the ground. These signifiers, and the narratives that accompanied their making, would then be committed to memory. (Byrnes 2001:100-102)

Thus the early Māori attached enormous significance to boundary markers and looked upon them as “historical and cultural artefacts” (Byrnes 2001:103) imbued with mana. In contrast, Byrnes records that survey boundary markers used to delineate land, represented “powerful symbols of British occupation” (Byrnes 2001:97). Surveyors focussed on creating straight boundary lines with little regard for well-established significant cultural sites such as kāinga, pā, urupā and mahinga kai (Byrnes 2001). Hence the creation of new boundaries by surveyors and their theodolites represented to Māori loss and alienation from their traditional domains (Byrnes 2001). Thus the whole idea of colonisation is the “stripping away of mana and an undermining of rangatiratanga” (Smith 1999a:173). This thesis argues that mapping must be a process that involves maintaining mana and restoring rangatiratanga.

Although maps were used primarily to alienate Indigenous peoples from their natural environments, the new maps could not erase the history etched into the landscape by the generations that had inhabited those areas. Nor could those maps erase the
memories and passion their Indigenous inhabitants had for their homelands. However, without maps in the modern world, Indigenous peoples have found it increasingly difficult to defend their ancestral territories from annexation and appropriation of its natural resources.

Grand Chief Stewart Phillip, President of the Union of British Columbia Indian Chiefs (UBCIC) reiterated the burden placed on Indigenous peoples to create maps of their own territories:

As Indigenous Peoples, we have continually and vigorously resisted being forcefully removed from our traditional territories. We have done so in the face of rapidly changing times and demands, at all times rooted in our spiritual connection to and knowledge of our responsibilities to our respective territories. With the continuing exploitation of the many resources of our territories come relentless, ongoing attempts to negotiate by government and/or industry. When those attempts fail, it often leads to litigation whereby we bear the burden of proof in court to demonstrate the harmful impacts to our territory, our culture and to our communities. (Tobias 2009:7)

To this Berger adds that:

During the last 30 years, in defense of aboriginal title and rights, indigenous peoples have used maps to convey their histories and express their knowledge of the land. These maps have played an important role, not just as an expression of knowledge, but also as an important tool for effecting change in Canadian jurisprudence. (Tobias 2009:8)

He also reiterates that:

. . . in the past indigenous peoples lacking maps of their own territories have had great difficulty defending their rights in the face of official government maps. (Tobias 2009:8)

In Australia, Aboriginal groups fighting for recognition of native title are required to prove continuous and undisturbed occupation, use and connection of their ancestral territories in accordance with traditional lore and customs. The Honourable John Von Doussa, QC writes that claimants are required:

. . . to establish that they hold rights and interests possessed under traditional laws acknowledged, and traditional customs observed, by them substantially uninterrupted since the time of colonization, and that by those laws and customs they have a connection with the land or waters. Proof of their continuing connection with land or waters through use and occupation in
accordance with traditional laws and customs has led to long and complex litigation. (Tobias 2009:9)

However, the principal problems faced by the claimants are the challenges to the reliability:

. . . of their oral evidence as to their continuing use and occupancy of the land and waters claimed, and objections taken that “expert evidence” based on general enquiry amongst members of the claimant group does not meet the requirements of the laws of evidence to be admissible in litigation. (Tobias 2009:9)

Von Doussa (Tobias 2009) acknowledges that this could have been alleviated if there had been land use and occupancy mapping carried out. Nancy Peluso (2005:280) warns that “given the alternative futures – of not being on the map, as it were, being obscured from view and having local claims obscured, there almost seems to be no choice.”

In contrast to the early experiences of mapping in Aotearoa undertaken by the colonial surveyors to impose British control, maps are now an essential tool for Māori to articulate their claims to mana whenua (rights of occupation), established by iwi prior to the Treaty of Waitangi, to the Waitangi Tribunal.61 A Mana Whenua Report to the Tribunal is usually accompanied by a series of maps depicting significant cultural sites such as kainga, pā sites, urupā, marae, mātaitai sites and so on, as evidence of use and occupation by iwi and their ancestors. These maps form what O’Regan calls “oral maps” (Davis 1990: xiii) which is supported by Kelly (1999) who writes that these “oral maps are still the validation for land claims, many of which are being resolved only now through the detailed work of the Waitangi Tribunal” (Kelly 1999:26). Mana whenua mapping is detailed in Chapter Seven.

Pearce and Louis (2008) urge informed use of mapping asserting that:

. . . geospatial techniques and technologies are not inherently inappropriate for indigenous cartographic representation; rather, we perceive them as flexible and capable of being adapted to suit traditional indigenous cultural geographies if used in an informed way. We suggest that informed use can
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61 The Waitangi Tribunal, set up under the Treaty of Waitangi Act of 1975 and its subsequent amendments, was established as a Commission of inquiry “to make recommendations on claims relating to the practical application of the Treaty and to determine whether certain matters are inconsistent with the principles of the Treaty” (The Treaty of Waitangi Act 1975 p.908).
be achieved through an emphasis on cartographic language, that is, by focusing on the structures of the map and the mapping process and finding ways to shape those structures in order to convey the structures of indigenous cartographies. (Pearce & Louis 2008: 109, 110)

Cultural mapping is a double-edged sword: on the one hand it documents the oral traditions of Indigenous peoples; on the other hand it changes the nature of that information it purports to protect and preserve. Pearce and Louis (2008) comment on this notion that:

[Cultural mapping] overwhelmingly apply Western cartographic language by using [Geospatial technologies] to represent indigenous cultural knowledge. (Pearce & Louis 2008: 109)

Pearce and Louis (2008) argue strongly that Indigenous cultural knowledge is often assimilated into the conventional western map and urges caution:

Methodological approaches to indigenous mapping have varied depending on the particular political and cultural context in which they arise, from the traditional land-use studies of Canada and Alaska to the participatory mapping programs of Asia and Africa and the implementation of large-scale tribal GIS programs in the United States. Issues of ontological and epistemological differences in cartography and map symbolization between indigenous communities and those who design, market, and provide instruction in GT (including GIS software) generally have not been addressed. As a result, indigenous cultural knowledge is often distorted, suppressed, and assimilated into the conventional Western map. This practice of locating cultural knowledge without expressing the spatial meanings and interrelationships of that knowledge preserves “only a superficial cultural diversity through its products, ceremonies, and performances whose meaning will be diluted through secular decontexted performances.” (Pearce & Louis 2008: 109)

Although maps are widely recognised in the Indigenous world as having been used as a tool of oppression of Indigenous peoples and of dispossession of their ancestral territories, maps are now being used by Indigenous peoples as weapons for reclaiming their lands and tools for interpreting and articulating their notions about land. Indigenous peoples around the world are reclaiming their histories, their toponyms,
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62 Geospatial technologies (GT) include digital maps, satellite imagery, GPS (Global Positioning Systems) and GIS (Geographical Information Systems)
their cultural landscapes, and their viability as people through the articulation of their ancestral landscapes; by simply sketching lines on a piece of paper to create maps.

**Mapping Cultural knowledge**
The simple process of sketching a series of lines and symbols onto a piece of paper to create maps has become a crucial tool for Indigenous people to record and document their cultural knowledge and reclaim their place in the world. The process of committing cultural knowledge to paper using mapping tools is often referred to as cultural mapping; a term that often carries with it a host of connotations. Cultural mapping has been described as a method for Indigenous peoples to demarcate and protect their traditional boundaries, to have their rights in their lands recognised, to lay claim to and defend their land and natural resources, to gather and guard their traditional knowledge, to manage their traditional lands and resources, and to exert their self-determination.

Traditional ecological and cultural knowledge and practice are the basis of Cultural maps, which form what Poole (1995:1) calls “packets of environmental data”. Indigenous peoples are known to use these types of maps to defend their traditional territories or to reclaim their historical places by renaming them in their own language. Environmental data captured by GPS technology is often compared or linked to other environmental databases captured by the same means.

A fundamental right in the cultural mapping process is the right to retain their cultural knowledge. For Māori this equates to *tino rangatiratanga* and the protection of cultural treasures or *taonga* as articulated in Article 2 of the Treaty of Waitangi. Young (2003) supports this notion of retaining cultural knowledge in his keynote speech to the ASEAN symposium. Pearce and Louis (2008) add that protecting Indigenous cultural knowledge and cultural sovereignty is a common challenge among Indigenous peoples.

---

63 As opposed to committing cultural knowledge to memory as is the case with oral traditions
65 ASEAN, is the Association of Southeast Asian Nations, and Inter-Government Organisation formed in 1967, designed to advance economic, social, cultural progress and regional peace.
that continues to unite them. Furthermore, the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples declared in Article 31 that:66

Indigenous peoples have the right to maintain, control, protect and develop their cultural heritage, traditional knowledge and traditional cultural expressions, as well as the manifestations of their sciences, technologies and cultures, including human and genetic resources, seeds, medicines, knowledge of the properties of fauna and flora, oral traditions, literatures, designs, sports and traditional games and visual and performing arts. They also have the right to maintain, control, protect and develop their intellectual property over such cultural heritage, traditional knowledge, and traditional cultural expressions.

Indigenous peoples are the acknowledged repositories of intangible knowledge and are the key to locating their tangible assets. It is they who determine the types of maps required, and methodology employed to manage their resources. This in effect gives the community control over their cultural resources and assets.

Cultural mapping is used to document traditional knowledge thus building up a repository of local knowledge and resources. Pearce and Louis (2008) maintain that as a result:

. . . indigenous mapping has emerged since the 1970s as a movement that utilizes the power of maps for visually explaining and defending issues that arise from cultural use of territory, including land claims, natural resources, and sovereignty. (Pearce & Louis 2008: 108)

Many Indigenous communities have been displaced from their traditional territories for generations and have lost their link to the land, cultural practices and heritage. It is essential to document local traditions and information about historical sites to instil pride and identity and a sense of belonging before they are completely lost.

UNESCO67 describes cultural mapping as both a technique and a tool. It is a technique for building community capacity and a tool for safeguarding cultural diversity towards

---

67 UNESCO: United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organisation
“social and economic development” (Clark, Sutherland & Young 1995). Cultural mapping tools, techniques and instruments are employed to identify and record cultural resources, activities, as well as current and historical community cultural practices. Poole (2003), on the other hand, draws a distinction between tenure maps and cultural maps citing that whilst the content may be the same, they differ in several ways. The tenure map was primarily for security of tenure whereas the purpose of the cultural map was cultural revitalisation.

Chapin (2006) interprets the purpose of mapping in terms of primary and secondary reasons:

The primary purpose of mapping of this sort has been, and will continue to be, to claim and defend land and natural resources. Secondary goals include strengthening political organization, recording traditional history and culture, developing education programs on a variety of topics (such as the environment), and planning for economic development. (Chapin 2006: 1)

Whilst Pearce and Louis (2008) advocate the necessity for:

. . . indigenous communities to adapt Western mapping techniques for the representation of local knowledge [which is] essential to both the preservation of indigenous cultural diversity and the realization of indigenous self-determination in the face of global change. (Pearce & Louis 2008:109)

Maps are now fundamental to indigenous self-determination and perceived to be essential tools for portraying indigenous environmental, political, cultural, and socioeconomic landscapes. (Pearce & Louis 2008:108)

Aboriginal scholar Professor Langton (1994) asserts that the purpose of cultural mapping is for “social, economic and cultural development.” She goes on to say that intangibles such as:

Subjective experiences, varied social values and multiple readings and interpretations can be accommodated in cultural maps, as can more utilitarian 'cultural inventories'. (Langton 1994: 19-20)
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68 Keynote speech given by Clark, Sutherland and Young in 1995, see the UNESCO website: http://www.unescobkk.org/culture/our-projects/cultural-diversity/cultural-mapping/
She also emphasizes that ‘place’ has value and is pivotal for social development:

The identified values of place and culture can provide the foundation for cultural tourism planning and eco-tourism strategies, thematic architectural planning and cultural industries development.” (Langton 1994:19-20)

At a global scale Young (2003) suggests that there are three good reasons for cultural mapping: first, understanding and sharing culture and cultural diversity; second, re-thinking history; and third, promoting creativity and development. UNESCO agrees that mapping natural and cultural landscapes is crucial to protecting cultural diversity. Young (2003) adds that cultural mapping as a “tool of mutuality”, and a “tool for creating togetherness” in a world full of diverse cultures, thus making them visible to the world.

Young (2003) also argues that cultural mapping has a key role in a nation re-thinking its history. Furthermore, he contends that cultural mapping allows a nation to re-discover itself by uncovering hidden heritage and histories. Moreover, he asserts that re-working the past and exploring cultural diversity are brought together through cultural mapping to leverage cultural and social capital.

Preliterate Indigenous societies relied on oral methods for transmitting cultural knowledge from generation to generation. Louis (2007:134) asserts that for Indigenous communities, oral histories, narratives, and spiritual practices and rituals are an important avenue for transmitting their cultural knowledge. They are embedded with cultural nuances that can only be understood and translated by one who is inducted into that inner sanctum and cultural circle of understanding.
Indigenous peoples have a fundamental right to ensure their cultural knowledge is protected as articulated in Article 13 by the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. 70

Indigenous peoples have the right to revitalize, use, develop and transmit to future generations their histories, languages, oral traditions, philosophies, writing systems and literatures, and to designate and retain their own names for communities, places and persons.

Thus mapping their culture will allow Indigenous Societies to pass these cultural assets from one generation to the next using a different medium. Their maps will form a unique expression of their understanding of the world and reflect the tangible and visual expressions of their brand of cultural knowledge, their values, and spiritual connections to the heavens and the earth; these maps will stand as living proof and as a testimony of their identity in a world consumed with absorbing them into a single global culture.

**Use and occupancy mapping**
Maps inscribed on paper or generated in the mind are not new to Indigenous peoples. According to Fox (1998:1), maps have been used for “thousands of years for defining the boundaries of their homes.” Hugh Brody (1981:45,46) in his book *Maps and Dreams* reiterates how the elderly amongst the Beaver Indians of Northern British Columbia were able to literally dream up maps in their heads depicting trails used for hunting. He also describes the native intuition of knowing the location of the animals and fish at certain times of the year and the routes that were required to navigate to those locations. Since their survival depended on their ability to locate food sources across their territories, it was important to create accurate maps in their heads. This section looks at the origins, emergence and development of a technique pioneered in Canada that was used to create maps depicting how indigenous peoples used and occupied their landscapes.

---

According to Chapin (2006:2), the creation of maps for “political purposes” was carried out in the 1960s and 1970s in Canada and Alaska by geographers and anthropologists in an “attempt to document the occupancy and use of land”; whereas maps created for Indigenous peoples, by Indigenous peoples around the rest of the world commenced in the late 1980s and 1990s (Chapin 2006:2-7). Often recognised by some as a form of Participatory GIS or PGIS, (Chandler et al, 2006:51) the Inuit Land-Use and Occupancy Project of the 1970s was the first known documented study of its kind. Hundreds of Inuit were interviewed in this project resulting in some two-hundred plus maps depicting “seasonal subsistence activities”; since then, further studies have emerged throughout Canada. The land use and occupancy study conducted by Milton Freeman in 1976 for the Inuit of Canada became the method employed by the Indigenous peoples of Canada for negotiating the recognition of their rights to their traditional territories, providing documental evidence of those rights and documenting the knowledge of their elders (Tobias 2000: xii). For Indigenous peoples, the recognition of traditional rights and ownership to ancestral territories and lands is the first step towards assuming responsibility for their lands.

The central aim of Land-Use and Occupancy Projects has been to preserve the traditional knowledge and ways of life of the elders, and ensure that this knowledge is passed on to future generations (Tobias 2000). Given that when elders pass away, a library of unique information is lost, thus elders are important to the cultural survival of the people (ANSC Website).

The amount of information compiled from these projects has provided a rich source of traditional ecological and cultural knowledge crucial for the survival of each unique culture. This storehouse of cultural information provides a valuable tool for local communities to demarcate the extent of their ancestral territories and locate areas of historical and cultural significance such as hunting sites, fishing and trapping resources and burial sites. These studies are often useful on many levels such as establishing co-
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71 Chapin provides a brief overview of the introduction of mapping for and by Indigenous peoples in his article Native Lands in 1980s working with Indigenous peoples in Central America: the Mosquitia of Honduras, the Darien of Panama, Brazil, Nicaragua, and Guatemala
management agreements with outside agencies, assisting with land-use planning decisions, and addressing land claim issues (Tobias 2000: xii).

**Map Biographies**
In his book Hugh Brody (1981) presents the idea of ‘map biographies’ prepared for several Indigenous groups in the Canadian Northwest including the Ojibwa, Yukon, Inuit, Naskapi-Montagnai, and Dene. The technique is based on collecting information from Elders, hunters, trappers, fishermen and gatherers about how they used and occupied the land; the map biography represents a single person’s life history describing the extent of the use and occupation of their ancestral lands. Fox (1998:1) asserts that this method of documenting map biographies virtually became the sole method for documenting claims to ancestral lands in Canada. Tobias in his two books (2000 & 2009) details how this method is applied and simply refers to land use and occupancy mapping “as the geography of oral tradition, or the mapping of cultural and resource geography” (Tobias 2000: xi). In contrast, O’Regan thinks of mapping traditional landscapes as “oral maps” (cited in Davis 1990: xiii).

Tobias (2000), in his guidebook to land use and occupancy mapping, describes the hunter-gatherer subsistence lifestyle of aboriginal communities and the visible evidence of their existence etched into the landscape; he also points out that some activities leave no footprints, no “visible evidence”. However, as Tobias (2000) writes:

> Instead, they etch themselves in the minds of those who travel their homeland in search of physical and spiritual sustenance. (Tobias 2000: 1)

Tobias (2000) then refers to mental images and maps of their homelands carried around in their heads:

> First Nation peoples carry maps of their homelands in their heads. For most people, these mental images are embroidered with intricate detail and knowledge, based on the community’s oral history and the individual’s direct relationship to the traditional territory and its resources. Land use and occupancy mapping is about documenting those aspects of the individual’s experience that can be shown on a map. It is about telling the story of a person’s life on the land. Over time individual experience becomes part of the collective oral traditions, a story of much grander proportions. In this
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73 Tobias (2000) thinks of land use and occupancy; land occupancy and use; traditional use; traditional land use and occupancy; current use; cultural sensitive areas as the same type of mapping.
respect, use and occupancy mapping is a means to help record a nation’s oral
history. (Tobias 2000:1)

**Participatory Mapping**

Participatory mapping is another mapping method that has been employed in various
local communities around the world for a wide variety of uses including the mapping
indigenous and cultural knowledge (Pearce and Louis 2008). This method differs from
use and occupancy mapping and the creation of map biographies in that it employs any
number of different techniques ranging from simple hand-drawn maps, the creation of
three-dimensional modelling\(^{74}\) that show the relationships of villages, forests, and
swiddens through to using more complicated tools such as GIS. Both participatory
mapping and land use and occupancy mapping are concerned with mapping the
geography of oral traditions common among Indigenous peoples.

Spatial information technologies include an array of techniques such as simple hand-
drawn sketch maps to more complex methods including the creation of three-
dimensional models, the use of remote sensing software, GPS and GIS (Fox 1998:1).
Sketch maps can be drawn with a stick in the sand or on bare earth, with a pencil or
charcoal on paper, or even with blood etched into boards. As Fox (1998) writes:

> Spatial information technology can help demonstrate a close and continuing
> connection between a community and their land by illustrating the spiritual,
> economic, and residential dimensions of human-land relations such as
> ethnohistory, folk taxonomies of flora and fauna and other natural features
> and processes, place names, myths and legends, etc. (Fox 1998: 2)

**Cultural Mapping**

UNESCO identifies cultural mapping as an essential tool, methodology and technique
for preserving and safeguarding the “world’s intangible and tangible cultural” resources
and assets.\(^{75}\) These assets range from historical and culturally sensitive sites, local
traditions and knowledge of resource management, ancestral territories and seasonal
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\(^{74}\) According to Jeff Fox (1998:2) participatory mapping is being used in Northern Thailand villages, and
in the Kayan Mentarang Nature Reserve in East Kalimantan, Indonesia. Giacomo Rambaldi, Julius
Muchemi, Nigel Crawhall & Laura Monaci (2007) *Through the eyes of the Hunter-Gatherers: participatory 3D
modelling among Ogiek indigenous peoples in Kenya*. Information Development. Vol. 23, Nos. This article describes participatory mapping in Kenya

movements and activities. Cultural mapping is more than the cartographic representation of land, but includes other “cultural resources and information recorded by alternative techniques.” (UNESCO\textsuperscript{76}) Cultural mapping projects have emerged among the Kalahari San Bushmen (Crawhall 2003), the Maya (Huff 2006) and Inuit (Freeman 1976) peoples since the 1970s.

Peter Poole (2003) provided a detailed report for UNESCO regarding the origin and purpose of cultural mapping\textsuperscript{77}. He argues that Indigenous peoples tend to look at mapping as having a specific function. Cultural mapping (referred to as tenure mapping by Poole 2003) was used primarily for cultural revitalization and is recognised as a vital instrument for:

- recovering control of lost territory, or negotiating access rights to traditional resources or of defending recognised territories against indiscriminate industrial resource extraction. (Poole 2003: section 2.5. fourth para)

Professor Marcia Langton, one of Australia's leading Aboriginal scholars describes cultural mapping as a means to identify and document Indigenous cultural resources (Langton 1994:19-20). She adds that cultural mapping can also record cultural practises “as well as other intangibles such as their sense of place and social value.”

Renee Louis (2004:8), Indigenous Hawaiian cartographer describes how Indigenous peoples produce maps in their own language, which are “sensitive to their own cultural and spiritual traditions.” Louis (2007:131) insists on Indigenous methodologies being applied to geographic research where she describes Indigenous methodologies as “alternate ways of thinking.” (Louis 2007:133)

For Māori, maps of their ancestral landscapes “carry a huge amount of information” about those landscapes and about the “relationship of one place to another.” (Davis 1990: xiii) Although a map does not tell us anything about the place names embedded


\textsuperscript{77} Cultural Mapping and Indigenous Peoples: A report for UNESCO. Peter Poole, March 2003
in the landscapes, those names carry with them a huge amount of meaning and are a signpost to the human element evident in an oral tradition (Davis 1990:xiii). Often, the meaning of names can only be understood through their association with other names and other places connected through an ancestor or a series of memorable events and epic stories. These stories are repeated often and carefully passed down to each successive generation; thus keeping the history alive and in the present reinforcing the meaning of the names embedded in the landscape. It is the collection of these stories, events and ancestors connected to the names embedded in the landscape that form what Sir Tipene O'Regan refers to as “Oral Maps” (cited in Davis 1990:xiii); a living breathing map, a map that tells a story.

To create maps depicting these living landscapes requires the presence of the human element; that which breathes meaning into the map. It is possible that these stories of place and people can be unlocked through cultural mapping; a process which interprets the elements of Indigenous landscapes. It is critical, then, for Indigenous peoples and for Māori engaged in mapping their ancestral landscapes to adapt these methods in a manner consistent with traditional thinking and doing, or worldview, and more importantly, sensitive to their spiritual and cultural traditions.

Mapping Indigenous Landscapes
If maps are a graphical representation that describes the physical attributes and environs of landscapes and their spatial relationships; then maps have always been a part of the cultural world of Indigenous communities. Indigenous peoples are now using modern mapping tools for a variety of reasons. From the mapping of significant cultural and geographical features in the Amazon to the demarcation of communal territories in Nicaragua to secure tenure, Indigenous peoples find their voice in maps. As discussed below, the Ye'kuana tropical forest dwellers of southern Venezuela use maps to demarcate their traditional territories whilst the Darién of the Panama use maps to illustrate how they use the lands and the natural resources. The San Bushmen of the Kalahari Desert found it imperative to use mapping technologies to aid them in reclaiming their native homelands.
The Kalahari San  
The South African San Institute (SASI) project of the mid-1990s concerned with mapping the reclaimed homelands of the San peoples of the Kalahari Desert provides some insight into valid reasons why Indigenous peoples should look into using maps or mapping software to map their cultural or ancestral landscapes.

For many years, the San were a group of “displaced, socially fragmented Indigenous people” who were occupying the least productive parts of their original homelands (Crawhall 2003: 10). In 1994, a group of San peoples, representing the ‡Khomani San, launched an attempt to reclaim their land under a new South African law. Their claim was for the return of their ancestral rights in and to their traditional land in the Southern Kalahari Desert.

SASI, in cooperation with Strata360 and Open Channels,78 were enlisted to assist the ‡Khomani San community to create maps of their land claim and in the process revive their cultural heritage (Crawhall 2003:10). As a traditional oral culture the San elders had many stories of their region passed down from generation to generation but the opponents to their claim challenged the San to provide more compelling evidence to prove that they were the original occupants of that region (Crawhall 2003:10).

SASI enlisted the assistance of Dr Hugh Brody, anthropologist, and Bill Kemp, a geographer, both known for their vast experience in mapping parts of Northern Canada. The Indigenous peoples of Northern Canada were able to produce “complex maps showing the migratory patterns of animals and marine life” (Crawhall 2003: 10) and because they had maintained a continuous connection to and tradition of hunting throughout their ancestral territories, they were able to “map hundreds of kilometers of their territory from memory” (Crawhall 2003:10). The major difference between the San and the First Nations people of Northern Canada was that the First Nations peoples had undisturbed possession and use of their homelands; the San, on the other hand, had been removed from their homelands and lost cultural contact with their Indigenous

78 OPEN CHANNELS is a Media NGO from the United Kingdom
practices for several generations. Brody and Kemp helped the San understand how valuable their oral traditions were to supporting their claim and “how the experiences, stories and knowledge of the old people could be rendered into a textual [or] visual form.” (Crawhall 2003: 10) Furthermore, by:

\[ \ldots \text{using maps, the intangible heritage of the fKhomani San could be transformed into a medium that would be meaningful to the owners of the knowledge and also to the other stakeholders…} \] (Crawhall 2003: 10)

A review of San cultural knowledge and practices was undertaken involving the collection of stories, recording of views of the elders, and timelines were constructed to show the movement and historical occupancy of the region. Genealogies, affidavits and oral histories were also recorded. However, “it was the mapping that opened up… a new dimension where the indigenous people’s voices and cultural framework could be converted into a meaningful medium” (Crawhall 2003:10).

**The Indigenous rural communities of the Amazon**

Smith et al (2003:25) describes maps as the creation of “mental images” that humans use to navigate through the maze of geographical space. Like other Indigenous peoples who depended upon their intimate working knowledge of their natural habitats for their survival, the rural Amazonian peoples were acutely aware of their natural surroundings and the spatial relationships between the important features of their environment. They created mental images of their surroundings which they used to find their way around their territories (Smith 2003). Orientation came naturally to them within their natural surroundings and they were able to determine direction and distance from their homes to important physical and social features of their environment. This became an important facility when they began to map their world using modern methods and tools (Smith 2003).

The ability to carry mental images of their natural surroundings in their heads was crucial to the survival of the Indigenous rural peoples of the Amazon. Although these images were not expressed in a graphical manner, it allowed them to read and retain the
landscape in their minds and navigate through the maze of geographical features to locate food, plants, cultivations and animals essential for their survival. Furthermore, because of this facility for holding images of their territories in their minds they adjusted quickly to reading modern maps which helped them map their significant sites (Smith 2003).

Smith (2003) sites two examples where maps were created to define specific territories linked to Indigenous communities. The first example involved a number of Indigenous communities in the northern part of the Peruvian Amazon who wanted to protect their natural resources in the headwaters of three rivers; the Ampiyacu, Apayacu and the Algodón from outside poachers. The second involved the Amuesha people who wished to reestablish their historical and cultural ties to an area in the central jungle region of Peru.

Northern Peruvian Amazonians
The first example involved working with leaders from community organisations and consulting with members of twenty-five communities to identify the location of gardens, hunting and fishing areas, forest resources, streams, other features and important natural resources on transparent base maps which were later verified using GPS. The local Indigenous populations used the base maps and satellite images of their territories to orient themselves and to validate the resource-use areas. Sites of cultural significance were also marked on the base maps resulting in 25 separate community maps illustrating their resource use (Smith 2003).

Information from each community map was then digitized and loaded into a GIS from which a draft composite map of all 25 community maps was produced. The Indigenous communities then verified the information contained on the composite map checking the location of resource use, areas of cultural significance and new geographical features. Coordinates for actual hunting and gathering sites were collected using GPS units and were added to the composite map. The composite map was then corrected and used alongside satellite images to mark out the boundaries of a proposed communal reserve in 2001 (Smith 2003).
The Amuesha Peoples
The Amuesha peoples who occupy a narrow corridor of land in the Amazon rain forest extending from San Ramon in the south to Pozuzo in the north were slowly squeezed out of their ancestral territories by an “influx of Andean and European settlers” beginning in 1860 yet managed to retain connection to their ancestral lands through their oral histories (Smith 2003:23). Their oral traditions contain a wealth of knowledge pinpointing special places, mountains, rivers, pools, caves and other geographical features that make up their ancestral and cultural landscape. Their system of toponyms coupled with their extensive storehouse of oral histories referred to important historical figures that were linked to places within their indigenous territory providing a means to map their landscape.

The Amuesha’s strong cultural memory of place and history was the critical factor in mapping their ancestral territory in the 1990s. Their oral storehouses are known to contain several hundred oral histories wherein they were able to identify and georeference with names, stories and songs just over 100 mountains (Smith 2003).

Two other vital sources of information that contributed to their cultural maps included current working knowledge of geographical features and historical documents dating back to the late 16th century. These local indigenous communities can still “identify and name geographical features, historical sites” and significant cultural sites within their communities (Smith 2003:24). Some of the historical documents dating back to the 16th century recounted information about place whereas data from the 19th and 20th century contained hydrographic information connected to Amuesha toponyms (Smith 2003).

The data gathered was extensive; pinpointing areas that indicated past connections to the region. Data included place names, associated histories and commentaries, GPS locations of historic places plus a huge amount of hydrographical data including “rivers, streams, springs, waterfalls”, and pools of water. Other data included old trails, “caves, dwelling sites, nesting sites, salt licks, and sacred sites, including former temple
sites” (Smith 2003:24). All this data was loaded into a GIS and processed producing overlays to the base maps containing community boundaries.

Both of these examples illustrate how Indigenous communities are using modern maps and mapping tools to define their cultural landscapes. Smith (2003) describes both examples as pivotal to reclaiming and defending their ancestral territories, organizing information about their traditional territories, defending their cultural rights, reclaiming their histories, and for managing and developing their ancestral territories into the future.

**The Caribbean Coastline**

The Caribbean coastline of Nicaragua is home to several Indigenous groups including the Creole, Rama, Mayangna (Sumu), Garifuna, Mestizos and the Miskitu. The Miskitu (or Miskito) people of the Caribbean coast of Honduras and Nicaragua are the largest Indigenous group in the region and have been prominent in fighting for territorial rights for the Indigenous peoples of the Nicaraguan Atlantic Coast.

The Miskitu people inhabit an area known as the Mosquito Coast along the Caribbean-Atlantic coast of Nicaragua, Central America. This region is made up of lowland coastal areas, savannas and rainforests. The north-eastern part of Nicaragua, known as the Moskitia, was the subject of the Indigenous Miskitu community land claim in the 1990s. The Indigenous communities wanted control over their assets which included forest and fishery products, mineral deposits and seafood. Control over their ancestral territories and its resources were crucial to them. Although part of the Mosquito extends into the east coast of Honduras, only the Nicaraguan area was the subject of this mapping project (Offen 2003).

Offen (2003) describes the lack of titles the Indigenous communities of the Mosquitia had to their lands. The participatory mapping project of 1997 was designed to address this issue by providing an opportunity for the local Indigenous and Creole communities to demarcate their territories to secure tenure. Furthermore, this mapping project
provided a means for the Indigenous communities to articulate their own concepts of land and resource use, ownership, control, and historic rights to land.

The Nicaraguan mapping project of 1997 was a joint effort involving the Center for Investigation and Documentation of the Atlantic Coast (CIDCA),79 led by the Central American and Caribbean Research Council (CACRC) and funded by the World Bank. In 1996 CACRC was able to buy inexpensive, low-precision GPS receivers, train residents in the council’s mapping methodology, and encourage those indigenous societies to map their own territories (Offen 2003).

Although GPS receivers were employed in the mapping project, magnetic compasses were used from time to time to determine boundary points by taking compass bearings and estimating distances (Offen 2003).

The role of Miskitu narratives and history
The Miskitu mapping project relied heavily on a rich source of narratives, held by the “community intellectuals”, containing historical accounts that informed the Miskitu community of their cultural rights to land as Indigenous peoples (Offen 2003:387). The Miskitu community intellectuals are considered as the keepers of the narratives and are regarded as the next generation of elders-in-the-making. Community intellectuals are experts at narratives and referred often to Miskitu geography using “metaphorical language steeped in commonplace landscapes, traditional parables and biblical allegories” (Offen 2003:387) and sketch maps of the Mosquitia region.

Cultural landscapes form an essential part of Indigenous identity which include all manner of traditional lore and beliefs used to define who they are. The Miskitu ancestors left their footprints embedded in the landscape in the form of place names forming an essential theme in the narratives. Their cultural landscapes included “petroglyphs, certain humanized plant distributions, hunting trails,” and special places permeated by spirits (Offen 2003:388). Mappers gathered ethnographic data about the

79 Nicaraguan research institute
communities, emphasizing the past and present land use, to provide evidence of historic and current land claims.

Mosquitia is home to several Indigenous communities who speak different languages; each language has their own place names for the same place. The final maps completed in 1998, contained 90 sheets that represented 100 communities and identified most markers with a single name agreed to by community members who were active in the mapping project. These maps allowed the Indigenous communities to protect, as well as profit from, their properties and assets.

The Ye’kuana people of southern Venezuela
The Ye’kuana people of southern Venezuela are tropical forest dwellers of the Amazon and the Orinoco Basins that have lived as hunters and slash-and-burn horticulturists in that region for around 4000 years (Arvelo-Jiménez & Conn 1995, Lauer 2005). Over that time they developed a storehouse of knowledge consistent with, and an understanding of, the tropical forest ecosystem they inhabited, living on produce from their gardens, fish and game, wild fruits, nuts, insects, worms and frogs (Arvelo-Jiménez & Conn 1995, Lauer 2005). Ye’kuana means "people of the canoes”80 or “people of the curiara (dugout canoe)” derived from the importance of the canoe in their culture and their ability to navigate a wide river territory covering some 30,000 square kilometers.

The Ye’kuana were forced to demarcate their boundaries in defense of their traditional territories. Their ancestral territories were exposed to political intrusion amounting to invasion by national and regional governments that established a biosphere and national park on their lands without consultation (Arvelo-Jiménez & Conn 1995, Arvelo-Jiménez 2000, Lauer 2005). Nelly Arvelo-Jiménez (2000:738-739) describes the convergence of three political crises that threatened the continued existence of Ye’kuana culture forcing them to reclaim their ancestral territories and address their cultural survival. First: the Ye’kuana had waited for twenty-two years for the

---
81 A Biosphere Reserve is an international conservation area designated by the UNESCO to protect and preserve the natural resources and systems of an area that is threatened by development.
Government to address the issue of ancestral land property and “produce an unequivocal definition of indigenous land rights”. Second: the creation of conservation areas that extended into their ancestral territories imposing foreign ideas about conservation and restrictions on their way of life. Third: frequent land invasions of their ancestral territories by “small-scale gold miners” of neighboring countries, which lead to violence and death. Thus, in 1993 a formal claim to their lands was launched requiring the physical self-demarcation of their ancestral territories (Arvelo-Jiménez & Conn 1995, Arvelo-Jiménez 2000).

The Ye’kuana physical self-demarcation project of their ancestral lands had several key benefits including (Arvelo-Jiménez 2000, Arvelo-Jiménez & Conn 1995): the defense of their territorial boundaries to encroachment and invasion by outsiders; the restoration of their traditional culture, the reconstruction of the first steps taken by their ancestor Kuyujani in demarcating the original boundaries of their lands; and the ability to interact with the modern world in a way that strengthens their indigenous culture rather than diluting it. This process also allowed them to protect the natural resources within their territories and recreate the “maps of their minds” for the world to see.

The Ye’kuana approach to demarcation was a unique blend of modern and old technology. It included the use of modern GPS technology to collect data for the production of technical maps, the scripting of documents depicting elements of Ye’kuana culture and belief systems and the recitation of oral histories to retrace the footsteps of their illustrious ancestor Kuyujani and his original demarcation.

Ye’kuana oral histories had a pivotal role in laying out the historical and cultural foundations of the lands claim. Their oral histories allowed the Ye’kuana to redefine the very first demarcation of their lands and were important in restoring their traditional culture. From their oral histories, the Ye’kuana were able to retrace the first steps of their founding ancestor Kuyujani, a cultural hero, who at the beginning of time conducted the very first demarcation of their lands which he left in trust to the Ye’kuana. He also explained the meaning of each landscape feature and left instructions regarding the use and care of the land, and then vanished with a prophecy that he would return (Arvelo-Jiménez & Conn 1995). This act formed a sacred bond.
between the Ye’kuana and their ancestral lands. For the Ye’kuana, their land means physical and cultural survival for them (Arvelo-Jiménez 2000, Arvelo-Jiménez & Conn 1995); an ancestral gift worthy of being protected.

Ye’kuana villages in the upper Orinoco held a number of general meetings to discuss the most effective strategies to protect their ancestral lands. Part of that strategy included documenting their histories. The people chose wise man José Félix Turón to document aspects of the sacred history used to support and legitimize their claim to their ancestral territories. This sacred text embraces their worldview and encompasses some of their most sacred religious, historical and cultural elements and beliefs. It deals with three main themes: first, the Ye’kuana version of the origin and creation of the Earth; second, the Ye’kuana worldview featuring the intimate relationship between the tree of life and the origin of agriculture as well as the role of the cultural hero Kuyujani in forging the link between the Ye’kuana and the cosmological order; and third, the Ye’kuana cultural belief systems and way of life that give meaning and identity to them as a people (Arvelo-Jiménez 2000).

The physical self-demarcation project of their ancestral lands documented their sacred history as part of the overall strategy to support a formal claim to their ancestral lands. Two maps were produced, demonstrating traditional land use activities such as fishing and hunting as well as highlighting places of economic and cultural significance, to support the historical document which was delivered to the government (Arvelo-Jiménez & Conn 1995, Arvelo-Jiménez 2000).

**The Darién of Panama**
The Darién region of Eastern Panama is, historically, the territory of three Indigenous peoples; the Kuna, Emberá, and Wounaan. It is also the site of a biosphere reserve and two indigenous *comarca* homelands (Herlihy 2003). The Darién region is a hot, humid area of tropical rain forest, which serves as a natural barrier between Panama and Colombia. The Darién National Park established in 1980 was declared a World Heritage Site in 1981 and a Biosphere Reserve in 1983 (Herlihy 2003).

82 *Comarca* is a traditional region or administrative division
Peter Herlihy (2003) describes one of the first Participatory Research Mapping (PRM) projects undertaken in Latin America, in the Darién region to address what he calls “the most inaccurately mapped province in the country” even though the Indigenous peoples had “fought for recognition of their land rights in the face of encroaching outsiders”. The Indigenous leaders understood the power of maps and readily “embraced the idea of a mapping project to document their expanding settlements and natural resources” (Herlihy 2003:315).

Herlihy (2003) describes PRM as a new way of developing geographic knowledge, combining the disciplines of cartography and ethnography, where the mapping process engages with local cognitive geographic knowledge. The project involved collecting detailed cognitive spatial knowledge the local Indigenous people’s had of their surrounding lands and resources and transforming that body of knowledge into standard maps, graphics and descriptive information.

For centuries the Wounaan and Emberá people were semi-nomadic forest dwellers who lived as hunter-gatherers and fishermen. Typically, they developed an extensive storehouse of knowledge of their environment and all its inhabitants helping them to adapt and survive in their unique environment. The Wounaan and Emberá people understood the natural rhythms and patterns of the rainforest and all its inhabitants, including wildlife, incorporating them into their stories, dances and cosmological beliefs. Their technology reflected their understanding of the environment and their subsistence culture.

The PRM project had two objectives: first to create maps illustrating the Indigenous peoples use of the lands and natural resources in the Darién region; and second, to present those results to a national forum on the Darién and its Indigenous peoples (Herlihy 2003).

A series of workshops and field work sessions were held to gather relevant subsistence activity, land and resource-use information across the study area divided into twenty zones. Questionnaires, drafted at workshops, were designed to gather subsistence land-

use information such as the location of natural resources, fishing and hunting areas, forest resource areas, fruits and medicinal plants, timber for canoes and areas frequented by outsiders gathering resources. Significant landmarks and toponyms were also collected (Herlihy 2003).

Community members, who held relevant geographical information covering the twenty zones, were selected for interviews. The prepared questionnaires acted as guidelines for gathering information regarding areas of subsistence activities. These were accompanied with simple sketches illustrating community “cognitive geographical information” thus locating these subsistence areas within a spatial context (Herlihy 2003:321).

Three sets of different media were used to create twenty different base maps which would be populated with the cultural information gathered in the interviews with local knowledge holders. Simple base maps covering all twenty zones containing the location of settlements, hydrographic features, mountains and other geographical features were produced. Six of the base maps were created from the outdated cartographic maps. A further eight base maps were produced from a series of archival aerial maps that were labelled with relevant geographic information. The final six base maps were prepared from the Panamanian census maps.

All the cultural landscape data gathered from the local communities that represented “thousands of place names and resource-use sites” were plotted onto the twenty zonal base-maps (Herlihy 2003:322) producing the first set of draft maps of their territory. The draft maps were edited and verified by the communities from which the final set of maps was produced at a scale of 1:50,000. This project was an exercise in transforming local cognitive geographical knowledge into standard maps that could easily be understood by themselves and outsiders (Herlihy 2003).
Conclusion
It is the nature of all Indigenous peoples to tell stories as is the case with all the mapping projects described in this section. For the Darién region of Panama and the semi-nomadic forest dwellers that lived as hunter-gatherers and fishermen, it was to collect and transform their detailed cognitive spatial knowledge of their surrounding lands and resources into maps, graphics and descriptive information. For the tropical forest dwelling Ye'kuana people of the Amazon and the Orinoco Basins of southern Venezuela who had developed a storehouse of knowledge consistent with the tropical forest ecosystem, it was to demarcate their boundaries by retracing the first steps of their founding ancestor, Kuyujani, who conducted the very first demarcation of their lands which he left in trust to the Ye'kuana; this was done in defense of their traditional territories against political invasion and intrusion by their regional government. For the Miskitu people of the Mosquito Coast it was to demarcate and secure tenure to their communal territories and thus provide a means for the Indigenous communities to articulate their own concepts of land and resource use, ownership, control, and historic rights to land. For the communities of the Peruvian Amazon, it was to use modern maps and mapping tools to define their cultural world in order to reclaim and defend their ancestral territories, their cultural rights, their histories, and for managing and developing their ancestral territories into the future. And for the Kalahari San peoples, it was to claim their ancient rights in and to their traditional land in the Southern Kalahari Desert.

Another mapping project that discovered the power and importance of modern mapping tools and methods to help preserve and promote their unique culture was the Lienzo of Guatemala. The Lienzo project employed an innovative and novel approach to blending an ancient narrative of their geography with GIS mapping tools to bring to life a 500 year old cloth map that told the epic story of conquest.
Section Two: The Lienzo Project

The map that tells a story
One of the most compelling and innovative projects that draw attention to blending the Indigenous technique of narratives with modern web and GIS technologies is the *Lienzo* project of Guatemala (Ibárgüen 2009). The *Lienzo de Quauhquechollan* is known as “the map that tells a story.” Compiled almost 500 years ago, the *Lienzo de Quauhquechollan*, considered the first known map of Guatemala, was painted on handwoven cotton cloth between 1530 and 1540 and depicts the story of how the Quauhquecholteca, allied with the Spanish, conquered Guatemala between 1527-1530. What is so unique about this project is that the *Lienzo* is considered part of the historical cartography genre; where history is tied to geography (Ibárgüen, 2009:1-3).

The history depicted by the *Lienzo* is conveyed using a series of unique symbols and pictograph images; the full meaning of the map is conveyed with oral narrations. In other words, the *Lienzo* is a map with a story wherein the map acted as a storyboard and the full meaning was conveyed to an audience by a trained Quauhquecholteca storyteller (Ibárgüen 2009).

In our world [the *Lienzo*] belongs to the genre of historic cartography. In the pre-Hispanic world, it belongs to the Mesoamerica tradition of documenting stories of migrations and conquests within their geographical contexts (known as codices). The principal difference with contemporary maps is that, in indigenous documents, geography does not exist without history; nor is history always linear. For the indigenous artists, what was important was to evoke a specific experience, not just to describe a territory. The narrative gave life to the map, not the other way around.

Another difference between the *Lienzo* and western maps and [sic] is that the “reading” of a lienzo was a unique experience. Lienzos were presented as part of a community ritual, perhaps stretched out on the ground in plazas or marketplace where members of the community could admire them and learn about the stories they told. Instead of being approached in silence, they were always accompanied by an oral narration told by a trained storyteller, who used the lienzo as a storyboard as he regaled the audience (Ibárgüen 2009:3-4).
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86 The *Lienzo de Quauhquechollan*, measures 8ft 5” x 10ft 6”, painted onto hand-woven cotton cloth, dated somewhere between 1530-1540 and depicts the story of how the Quauhquecholteca conquered Guatemala in alliance with the Spanish in 1527-1530. Digital restoration began in 2007, dynamic web mapping in 2009 [http://www.lienzo.ufm.edu/](http://www.lienzo.ufm.edu/) accessed May 2010
Digital conversion and Web mapmaking

The Lienzo de Quauhquechollan was digitally restored over a period of almost nine months in 2006 by an extensive team of experts in anthropology, archaeology, pre-Columbian iconography and epigraphy, ethnobotany, digital technology, graphic design, history, lighting, photography, storytelling and textiles. Once all fifteen sections had been restored, the story was scripted along the lines of the Quauhquecholteca storyteller, and animated with a new musical score and sound effects to accompany each segment of the story.87

The next step was to blend modern mapping technologies with the geography of a narrative so that it could be displayed on the web. Luis Fernandez (Ibárgüen 2009) explains their innovative approach: (Emphasis added)

> Our goal was to find a way to MERGE MODERN CARTOGRAPHIC TOOLS WITH THE CONCEPT OF “LIVING GEOGRAPHY” through which the

87 See [http://webmaplienzo.ufm.edu/lienzo/](http://webmaplienzo.ufm.edu/lienzo/) to view the restored product, accessed May 2010
... we set out to create a web mapping application that would not only relate the places identified on the Quauhquechollan canvas with an actual geographic location, but also with the events that took place... Our solution was to develop a **TIMELINE TOOL** that assumes the role of the “narrator,” and allows the user to relate historic events with geographic places—enriched by information—by navigating on the *Lienzo*. (Ibárgüen 2009:3-4)

The idea of using a timeline to replace the role of the narrator in deciphering the context of the map is an innovative approach. This is an approach that could be adopted on a static map which merges modern technologies with the geography of narratives. A timeline tool could be used in the same way to replace the role of a narrator or complement the narrative itself; the map would assume its cartographic role in depicting the geographical location of the places and the people that are part of the history.

![Image](image_url)

*Figure 4.2: The above image shows the juxtaposition of the modern geographic map and the Lienzo map. The timeline allows the user to navigate through the Lienzo and the modern map depicting the places on the Lienzo simultaneously. Used with the permission of the UNIVERSIDAD FRANCISCO MARROQUIN (Guatemala).*

The *Lienzo* has no spatial reference; it is a story board that merely recounts the events of
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88 See Chapter Six for an example of how a timeline tool would replace the role of the narrator or narrative
the conquest as it unfolded in a continuous and seamless path weaving through both time and place. Although the Lienzo identifies specific towns, routes and events it does not give spatial location. Thus the developers had to give a spatial reference to the Lienzo in order to load the map into a map service.

With the Lienzo positioned in geographical space the user is able to navigate though the modern map and the Lienzo simultaneously using the timeline. The timeline allows the user to zoom to the exact location on both the Lienzo and the modern map and access descriptive information about each location; in this manner the timeline assumes the role of the narrator.

Figure 4. The above image shows a zoom-in view of the Lienzo & the modern map. Used with the permission of the UNIVERSIDAD FRANCISCO MARROQUIN (Guatemala).
Conclusion
The groundbreaking Lienzo project merging traditional culture with modern mapping and web tools offers some direction in solving the objectives of this thesis. This thesis is concerned with merging traditional oral narratives with modern mapping technologies in such a way that the mana or integrity of the cultural knowledge is not compromised in any degree. Recognising this, the Lienzo project’s ingenious approach to mapping oral narratives confirms that oral narratives and modern technology can work symbiotically together. The use of a simple timeline tool may provide the link to interpreting the landscape articulated by oral narratives when blended with mapping and web tools. This concept is explored further in Chapter Six.

Section Three: Indigenous GIS

Indigenous Mapping
The Indigenous Mapping Network\(^{89}\) (IMN) is an organisation of professional GIS volunteers who work with and for Indigenous or Native communities and whose

\(^{89}\) The Indigenous Mapping Network's (IMN) is a conduit for native individuals and groups to meet and build relationships, and assist one another in accomplishing sovereignty goals. Their goal is to bridge the gap between traditional “mapping” practices and modern mapping technologies. (See the IMN mission statement, URL: http://indigenousmapping.net/ accessed May 2010.)
mission is to “empower native communities by connecting them with the tools they need to protect, preserve, and enhance their way of life within their aboriginal territories.” One of ways in which the IMN accomplish this mission is by hosting an annual conference for Indigenous and Non-Indigenous individuals and organisations who work with and for Indigenous and Native communities to share their research and projects.

The conference provides a forum for building and strengthening relationships, a vital element in working with Indigenous peoples, and bridging the gap between traditional mapping practices and modern mapping and spatial technologies. The principle of building relationships is the foundation of conducting mapping research with Indigenous groups such as iwi and is explored and articulated further in Chapter Seven. The 2009 IMN annual conference provided a means for reviewing some of the techniques currently in use by Indigenous communities that would protect and preserve their sacred sites and ancestral landscapes.

The 2009 IMN Conference was hosted by the Oneida First Nations Tribe of Green Bay Wisconsin, United States of America. The conference brought together Indigenous and Non-Indigenous peoples and groups from across the United States, Canada, Australia and New Zealand who are involved with providing Indigenous communities with technology and skills to manage their environmental knowledge and cultural heritage; in effect, bridging the gap between traditional mapping practices and modern spatial and mapping technologies.

Whilst all the presentations were related to the application of GIS tools and mapping Indigenous knowledge, a few stood out that provide some insight to how Indigenous people are protecting their ancestral landscapes; one of the those presentations was given by Christopher Overdorf, of Jones & Jones Architects Landscape Architects and Planners. He spoke of a custom-made GIS-based tool currently being utilized by the Nisqually tribe. It allows tribal planners to communicate the presence of sacred sites to
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90 IMN mission statement URL: [http://indigenousmapping.net/aboutus.html](http://indigenousmapping.net/aboutus.html) accessed May 2010  
91 IMN mission statement URL: [http://indigenousmapping.net/aboutus.html](http://indigenousmapping.net/aboutus.html) accessed May 2010  
92 IMN mission statement URL: [http://indigenousmapping.net/aboutus.html](http://indigenousmapping.net/aboutus.html) accessed May 2010
non-tribal entities without revealing their exact locations. It uses a hydrographic modelling extension to ArcGIS known as ArcHydro\textsuperscript{93} rather than standard mapping conventions of points, lines and polygons. It creates watersheds to protect cultural resources thus providing a way to map what should not be mapped or rather what should not be unveiled to the public at large. This is a universal problem for Indigenous peoples all around the world including Māori; thus the idea proposed by the use of ArcHydro may provide a viable option for Māori to communicate and map their sacred sites without revealing the exact location.

Another presentation, given by Steven DeRoy, referred to First Nations peoples across Canada and their use of GIS tools to manage and plan future use of their homelands. They use a technique known as map biographies\textsuperscript{94} pioneered in the 1970s to document indigenous knowledge of use and occupancy; GIS tools are used to convert that knowledge into maps. The map biography method is essentially a data use and occupancy collection method described in detail by Terry Tobias (2000 & 2009). His technique details a series of steps that allow First Nations researchers to “logically and sensitively elicit, record and analyze detailed use-and-occupancy data” (Tobias 2009:17).

It would appear that Indigenous people share similar relationships with their ancestral domains and face similar problems in protecting and preserving their cultural assets. It was particularly pleasing to network with Indigenous and Non-Indigenous groups with similar experiences, problems and unique solutions to those problems. For example, as an Indigenous woman, Dr Donna Miranda-Begay of the Tubatulabal and Navajo Nations works closely with her own community. Her presentation was concerned with mapping Cultural Sensitive Resources in a sensitive way. She advocates an approach built on trust and understanding. This suggests that working with Indigenous peoples and tribes is an innately intuitive process that is part and parcel of being indigenous, having a knowledge of your language, an understanding the customs and protocols and a sense for the historical background and political makeup of the tribe.

\textsuperscript{93} The tools contained in ArcHydro permit the creation, manipulation, and display of hydrological features and objects within the ArcGIS environment. ArcHydro combined with ArcGIS provides the flexibility to work with watershed datasets as well as stream and river networks.

\textsuperscript{94} The map biography data collection method is explored further in Chapter Seven.
A number of key principles were common among the tribal and non-tribal participants including: Indigenous or tribal control and respect of knowledge; tribal involvement in the mapping of tribal lore, managing cultural landscapes, mapping community values; and identifying what should be mapped and recorded. Other concerns included: identifying what information should stay restricted to the community; and the protection of cultural resources, a priority for tribal planners, which is not always met by non-tribal planners or government agencies. Of universal concern among Indigenous people was how to communicate the sensitivity of cultural resources; the implementation of tribal and Indigenous values into the use and application of GIS; and finally building trust and understanding with Indigenous communities in the entire mapping process.

Building trust and strengthening relationships is a constant theme with many Indigenous people. It is the key to mapping oral histories for iwi as explored in detail in Chapter Seven. As Dr Ridges pointed out, developing relationships of trust with the local communities was the key to unlocking the potential for those communities sharing their stories about their sacred sites (personal comments Dr Malcom Ridges June 2009).95

Documenting oral histories are of great concern to Indigenous nations. First Nations are recording their oral traditions using map biographies that are digitized into map format. These maps provide evidence for proving “Aboriginal rights and title” to territories and help to “negotiate co-management agreements” over tracts of land. They are also used to determine the likely “impacts of development activities”, provide up to date evidence of ongoing use and occupation of tribal territories, and “provide baseline data for future planning initiatives” (DeRoy 2009:12).

**Conclusion**

Mapping of Indigenous lands is largely a social event as much as a technical exercise of applying spatial technologies to collect information about how they relate to their

---

homelands. As Indigenous peoples carry ‘maps in their heads’, Indigenous mapping is largely a reflection of how they see and interpret their places, their history, their identity, and their relationships with their lands. Some authors have urged caution in adopting western mapping practices to map their notions of land; however, maps can represent worldviews and show the historical and cultural connections between people and landscapes.

This chapter examined why Indigenous peoples began to map their interpretations of place and explored some of the innovative methods that emerged out of Canada in the early 1970s to claim back their territories and to become visible as a unique people with a unique view of the world. Furthermore, this chapter looked at how they have adopted modern mapping techniques and sophisticated information technology to protect and preserve their sacred places; and more importantly, the reasons or motivation behind adopting spatial technologies. Several authors have suggested a need for Indigenous communities to adopt and adapt GIS mapping technologies to maintain a grasp on their traditional cultures in the face of an encroaching global culture.

Some of the mapping projects that have emerged are very innovative and provide glimpse at how Indigenous peoples are mapping their cultural landscapes in a way that reflects the nature of their culture; such as the Lienzo project of Nicaragua. The Lienzo’s innovative approach forms part of the solution for this thesis in finding an appropriate way to merge modern spatial information technologies with instances of Māori oral narratives.

The following chapter will explore how Māori interpret landscape and how Māori iwi and groups are applying mapping and mapping technologies to their cultural landscape.
Chapter 5: Interpreting the Māori World using Maps
Introduction
GIS mapping technologies has found widespread use within Indigenous communities around the world. Chapter Four examined how Indigenous peoples map their interpretations of place. It also looked at examples of how they have adopted modern mapping techniques and sophisticated information technology to map their sacred places and more importantly, the reasons or motivation behind the move. Moreover, Chapter Four unveiled one of the key concepts that will form part of the solution for this thesis; the Lienzo. This chapter turns the attention to the Māori world and their interpretation of place.

Māori interpret place in much the same way as other Indigenous peoples; their interpretation is based on their world view. This chapter is about whenua, it is about Māori notions and concepts about land and ancestral landscapes, and the ways in which Māori ancestors interpreted their places and described their landscapes. This chapter will examine the introduction of mapping and mapping technologies and the benefits of Māori adopting mapping technologies. It will look at how Māori interpret their sacred and ancestral sites and landscapes with illustrations drawn from a huge body of oral narratives. It will examine some of the earliest maps created by Māori ancestors at the point of contact with the Europeans; it will also look at how the ancestors used oral narratives to describe and delineate spatial relationships before it considers the adoption of GIS mapping tools.

GIS mapping tools have been adopted by Māori to manage their spatial information. Although GIS has been available in this country for at least two decades there is very little information available in the way of publications or research by Māori. Hence an examination of Māori uptake of GIS is conducted using information gathered from two Māori specific GIS conferences. The first hui or Māori GIS conference occurred in June 1996; the second in May of 200996.

This chapter will explore the subject in three sections. Section one will be a discussion on whenua and ancestral landscapes. Section two will be a discussion on mapping in Aotearoa; exploring maps made at the point of contact with European. This section will

96 At the time of writing, another Māori GIS conference was hosted in Wellington, September 2010.
also look at methods used by Māori ancestors to determine spatial relationships. The third section will look at recent attempts by Māori to adopt and adapt the use of modern mapping technologies such as GIS.

Section One: *He tāngata, he whenua*: the blending of place and people

Ancestral Landscapes and sense of place in Aotearoa

Māori interpret place using a raft of oral narratives such as kōrero pūrākau or ancient stories involving ancestors, whakapapa or complex genealogies, mōteatea or classical chants, whakataukī or proverbial sayings, karakia or ancient incantations and pēpeha or ancestral utterances. Chapter Two explored the Māori worldview and the makeup of that view. More importantly, Chapter Two provided examples of how the Māori view of the world can be illustrated using whakapapa and karakia. Chapter Three extended the examples in Chapter Two using karakia, whakapapa and mōteatea to illustrate Māori cosmology and the creative stories, the formation of humankind, the importance of whakapapa, and the source of knowledge for Māori. Chapter Three also described the position Māori occupy in the universe and the world they inhabit. This section will look at ways in which Māori describe their place, their homelands, and their ancestral landscapes using similar examples from oral narratives.

One of the most important elements in the sense of place that binds Māori to their landscape, and vice-versa, is the concept of whakapapa. This was considered in Chapter Two and Chapter Three. The importance of whakapapa in articulating a sense of place is illustrated by Ailsa Smith wherein she states that whakapapa (2001: 60):

> connects Māori in elemental ways to this landscape and stretches back through mortal and godlike ancestors to the earliest ages of the world.

The Māori sense of place is captured in song, story and ritual. Robert Bruce Hay (1990) asserts that rituals, place names and stories cultivated a sense of place:

> Māori belong to the earth, especially around their marae (meeting house and grounds), referring to that location as papa kāinga (home ground) and their
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97 See Chapter 3 Section 2 for detailed discussion on the use of karakia and whakapapa
‘place to stand’ (tūrangawaewae). Māori sense of place is culturally developed through tribal rituals, and reinforced through place names, carved designs, and legends which remind them of their heritage. (Hay 1990: iii)

Hay (1990) raises two key concepts in the above extract: that of papakāinga and tūrangawaewae; notions that are part of the Māori landscape. Tūrangawaewae describes a sense of belonging, a ‘place to stand’ that is derived from whakapapa to the region and people of the region, living in the region and actively participating in tribal affairs. Papakāinga is a concept that is related to location or whenua tipu; it is your home, your place, the place where you belong.

Douglas Sinclair in King (1992: 64) adds that this belonging to the earth is often reflected in the passion that Māori have for their land: (macrons not used in original text)

Ma te wahine ka tupu ai te hanga nei, te tangata
Ma te whenua ka whai oranga ai
Whai hoki, ki te tangohia to wahine e te tangata ke
Ka ngau te pouri ki roto i a koe
Na, ki te tangohia te whenua e te tangata ke
Ka tapu to pouri ano
Ko nga putake enei o te whawhai
Koia i kia ai
He wahine, he oneone, i ngaro ai te tangata

The translation offers some insight into the reasons Māori go to war; the value of land is embedded within the text:

Women alone gives birth to mankind,
Land alone gives man his sustenance.
No man will lightly accept the loss of
his beloved wife, nor that of his sacred land.
It is said truly that a man’s destroying passions
Are the love of his wife and love of his land.

Ma te whenua ka whai oranga ai, in other words, ‘land alone gives man his sustenance’ is a reflection of the role of Papatūānuku the earth mother; her role is that of nurturer, our role is that of guardian, caretaker or kaitiaki. I ngaro ai te tangata reflects the lengths a person will go to, to protect his land and women.
Song of the landscape

Song or rather mōteatea is another way in which Māori express their sense of place. Puhiwahine in her epic song Ka Eke ki Wairaka, describes her sense of place with kaati au ka hoki ki tuku whenua tipu, ki te wai koropupū i heria mai nei. “Thus I return to my homelands, to the bubbling pools that were brought here.” (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 1:200)

The oriori98 composed for Tamaunga o te rangi by Maperetahi (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:38-55) is an classic whakapapa-whenua99 song that reveals all the special places that Tamaunga belongs to thus providing another example of sense of place embedded in song. For example, the following extract identifies seven special places that Tamaunga is encouraged to remember: (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:44) (Emphasis added)

Kia whakarongo koe te mahi a-waha
Nō tō tuahine, nō Rua-kapanga-nui
Whāia atu rā kai Te Kahika e
Kai Te Raparapa e
Ka hikoi te haere
Me kore e mau i a koe
Kuhu atu e koe ē rauaruhu kino
I roto Karangaaroa, kia u i e roto

You are now to listen for the voice
Of your sister, Rua-kapanga-nui
Trace it to TE KAHIKA.(1)
Thence on to RAPARAPA.(2)
Hasten the footsteps
And you may yet overtake her
Enter the wild fern-lands
Among the hills of KARANGA-ROA.(3),
pondering the while
“What lands may this be?” you will now
descend into TE HOU(4) and there you will
bathe in the clear waters of MANGA-O-
WIRA.(5)
now ascend up on to TE NIHO-O-TE-
KIORE.(6).
and you will then wend your way to TE MATA
O RANGARANGA.(7)
thence onward and you will reach a home

Apart from the first verse, the entire song contains significant place names. Children are often taught in this manner about the extent of their whenua tipu, their sacred places that are a part of their ancestral histories and stories.

99 The land imbued with place names and ancestors
Another element of the Māori landscape is the way in which spatial relationships were determined. The following song, a tangi atahu composed by Tutekohi (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3: 388-395) at the death of his dog relates to famous ancestors and their dogs; it also provides clues to how the natural elements are used to locate important fishing grounds.

The following extract mentions two stars: Rehua and Eretoro and the southerly wind known as Tonganui\(^{100}\) to locate a specific fishing ground at night. (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3: 392, lines 23-24) (Emphasis added)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ka whanake i raro rā te pūai a Rehua} & \quad \text{It goes down with moisture from } \text{REHUA,} \\
\text{Te pūai Eretoro, Tonganui} & \quad \text{The moisture of } \text{ERETORO, and of } \text{TONGANUI}
\end{align*}
\]

Although this song does not explicitly indicate how to locate the fishing ground, it was used to implant the information into the child’s mind at a young age. When the child was old enough to raise the question about the meaning behind the song he/she would be taught those lessons.

Another part of the song contains heavenly whakapapa: Hine-rau-maukuuku (Ma-uku-uku in the song) and Tauwharekiokio who were two of the wives of Ranginui the sky father. These two names are reflected in the landscape of the Tūranga region: they depict the wetlands and dry-lands (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3: 392). (Emphasis added)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{He wahine iti nā Rangi, koia rā Mā-uku-uku} & \quad \text{A junior wife of Rangi the sky father was } \text{MĀ-UKU-UKU} \\
\text{He wahine iti nā Rangi, Tauwharekiokio} & \quad \text{A junior wife of Rangi the sky father was } \text{TAUWHAREKIOKIO} \\
\text{Unuhia rawatia i roto i tēnei} & \quad \text{Tis’ from here we will extract,} \\
\text{Kei Turanga rawa, e tama} & \quad \text{And commence in Tu-ranga, o son.}
\end{align*}
\]

\(^{100}\) Ngata & Jones (2006) Part 3: 395-395 refers to Tonganui in the notes as the “name of the house on which the hook of Māui was caught”. Māori names/place names often have several meanings.
Loss of life was a constant theme in mōteatea. Often, composers drew upon their intimate knowledge of the special places, the environment, the genealogies, the histories and the tales of that person to compose a fitting tribute to the deceased person. In this waiata tangi (lament) composed by Mananui te Heuheu for his father Hereara, Mananui, known as the taniwhā of Taupō, displays his unique insight to his world in the opening lines: (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 1: 262) (Emphasis added)

Titaka kau ana ngā manu o te ata,  
ka riro ko koe rā, ī  
Haere rā e pā, i te hāhātanga o PIPIRI

The birds of the morning fly distressfully about, now you are gone!  
Depart, O Sir, with the first breath of Winter.

Mananui is referring to the mist that rises from the frost that is often a feature of the Taupō region especially during the winter months or Pipiri. Several lines down, Mananui chants: “Kawau ararotea, ka tū tēnei kei te paenga i o riri” (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 1: 262, line 10). He refers to the kawau or shag that is sometimes seen on Lake Taupō landing on a rock called Te Upoko o Waipare often referred to as a taniwhā or demon. When the shags land on the rock and fly off one by one, it is perceived as an omen of death (Ngata & Jones 2006).

Te Heuheu lived in the village of Waihī on the southern edge of Lake Taupō. The following line “Nō ngā rake Manawa i te tahatika ki Pungarehu” (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 1: 262, line 12) is a reference to Pungarehu, a place just above the Waihī village.

**Geographical, Cultural and Spiritual Positioning**
Māori have a unique way of positioning themselves geographically and culturally within their world. This is often achieved using pēpeha or whakataukī; for example, I belong to the Tūwharetoa tribe of the Taupō region, of Aotearoa New Zealand, thus we use this pēpeha:101

---

101 This pēpeha describes features of the land clothed with names given to the region by ancestors who inherited the region. Inherent in each name is a sacred corpus of oral traditions that describe the deeds of the ancestors, imbue the land with character and shape the identity of the local īwi or tribe as a separate and unique people of Aotearoa, New Zealand; behind each name is a story. These are the oral traditions.
Ko Tongariro te maunga
Ko Taupōnuiatia te moana
Ko te Heuheu tonu te tangata
Ko Ngāti Tūwharetoa te iwi

Tongariro is the mountain
Taupōnuiatia is the lake
te Heuheu is the chief
Tūwharetoa is the people

As a member of the Tūwharetoa tribe of Taupō it would be appropriate to use the above pēpeha to position myself geographically and culturally within Aotearoa. Thus wherever I roam within my own country it is appropriate to convey who I am to others using this pēpeha or a variation of it, but these elements are always present no matter what the variations. To be culturally positioned means to be positioned within the world; this is often expressed by the following whakataukī:

E kore koe e ngaro
He kākano i ruia mai i Rangiātea
You will never be lost
(you are) a seed planted in Rangiātea

When whaikōrero (formal speeches) are uttered at formal proceedings whether on the marae or at other venues, whakataukī or proverbial sayings are one of the techniques often employed by kaumātua (elders). Whakataukī are used to teach, to reinforce a point, to encourage wise action or often to persuade careful debate about crucial issues before decisions are made. For Māori, the whakataukī above, is often used to inform them that wherever you roam, or whatever you do, you will never be lost if you always remember who you are and where you come from. A sense of place coupled with a sense of belonging to a specific place feature highly in the Māori ethos. Rangiātea is unique in this instance in that it represents a place in a spiritual realm as well as a place in the physical realm or earth. Hence a spiritual positioning to a place in the highest heaven is established.

In the traditional kōrero pūrākau (legends) of the Māori, Rangiātea occupies a significant position as the spiritual homeland or origins of the Māori. Rangiātea in the

that position the author geographically and culturally as a member of the Tūwharetoa tribe and as a Maori within the Maori view of this world.

102 See Chapter two, section three, subsection: two worlds in one country for an explanation on the function of the marae.
above whakataukī refers to the marae ātea (forecourt) in front of the whare of Io-Matua-te-kore, the Supreme Deity. The kākano (seed) refers to the spirits of humankind. The inference drawn from this proverb is that the seeds were sown in the spiritual homelands or origins of the Māori; in Rangiātea, the realm of the Supreme Being, Io.\(^{103}\)

Just as Rangiātea in the highest heaven was imbued with tapu, so too are the marae in Aotearoa considered a wāhi tapu (sacred place). Iwi throughout Aotearoa whakapapa (trace their links) to a marae. The word marae, however, is generally used to refer to the whole complex, including all the buildings and the open space surrounding the wharenui. The marae carries great cultural meaning and significance and is the political, social and cultural centre of iwi Māori throughout Aotearoa. Technically, the marae ātea is the enclosed space or forecourt in front of a wharenui (meeting house). The marae ātea is very tapu. Visitors to the marae are welcomed onto the marae ātea in a very formal fashion. To enter onto the marae is to be enclosed by the tapu of the area and the kawa (protocols) of the marae. Formal speeches are conducted on the marae ātea between the hosts and the visitors. The speakers from both sides are located on the paepae (seated area for speakers) where whaikōrero (formal speeches) are exchanged before the visitors are permitted into the inner sanctum of the wharenui.\(^{104}\)

According to the account given by Whatahoro (Smith 1913), Rangiātea was located in the upper-most heaven known as Te Toi-o-ngā-rangi, or Tikitiki-o-rangi and was the abode of a single deity, Io-matua-te-kore. As such, this place was considered to be of the highest tapu, a wāhi tapu of the highest order, insomuch that everything in that realm was imbued with the same level of tapu (sacredness).

An ancient institution known as the Whare Wānanga was situated within the realm of Io and contained knowledge of the highest order; the Whare Wānanga was known as

---

\(^{103}\) Io had many names: Io-matua-te-kore; Io-nui, Io-roa, Io-matangaro, Io-i-te-pukenga, Io-i-te-wananga and so on.

\(^{104}\) See Chapter Two, section three: the Māori world view, for a full explanation of the rituals of encounter that occur on the marae and the tapu associated with the formal occasions that occur on the marae.
Rangiātea. In the account given by Whatahoro, it was from this sacred realm that the great ancestor Tāne-nui-a-rangi came to obtain the three baskets of knowledge mentioned in chapter 3. Those baskets were brought to earth and housed in a purpose built Whare Wānanga; these baskets became the resource for Māori for ordering their society (Smith 1913). Thus, Māori are able to position themselves culturally and geographically within Aotearoa, and spiritually within the cosmos among the atua. ¹⁰⁵

Embedding the landscape: the merging of tāngata and whenua
Pre-European ancestors of the Māori demonstrated innovation and adaptability from the time of the settlement of Aotearoa up to the present day. On their arrival to Aotearoa, the ancestors of the modern Māori adapted quickly to their new environment implementing skills, arts and technology developed in previous lands (Buck 1950). Land and the natural environment yielded resources that quickly became the central element in their survival, permeating the fabric of their society to such an extent that the land became known as the mother of all living or Papatūānuku.

Often, the early ancestors looked upon the land as possessing human characteristics and referred to the land as ancestor. For example, Tama-te-kapua,¹⁰⁶ captain of Te Arawa canoe, having observed Maketū point in the distance, stood up touched his nose and pointing to the spur pronounced: “ko te kureitanga o taku ihu” translated as “the bridge of my nose”. Other members of the canoe followed suit naming landscape features after parts of their bodies. Tia called a small hill “Ko te takapu o Tapuika”, “the bell y of Tapuika”; Hei designated a stretch of land between a mountain and a range “Ko te takapu o Waitaha”, “the belly of Waitaha” (Grace 1959:52). Further examples of naming traditions can be found among other iwi all over Aotearoa, New Zealand (Davis 1990).

Royal (2002:27) refers to the “unification of land and people”, which is amply demonstrated by the naming traditions of the early Māori. He cites the statement

¹⁰⁵ The lore of the Whare-wānanga, or, Teachings of the Māori college on religion, cosmogony and history recorded by Whatahoro from the teachings of Te Matorohanga and Nepia Pohuhu, tohunga or priests of the Whare-wānanga (Te Rawheoro) of the East Coast, New Zealand; translated by S. Percy Smith. (1913).
¹⁰⁶ Tama-te-kapua, Tia and Hei are all ancestors of the Te Arawa canoe.
Mananui te Heuheu, ariki of Ngāti Tūwharetoa, made when he “rejected signing the Treaty of Waitangi in 1840” as an example of this feature of unification. Te Heuheu referred to certain mountain peaks as parts of his body thus making the land sacred; a sacred domain for his spiritual authority: (macrons not used in original text)

Ka naomia atu e ia, ka motuhia tona tinana; kotahi o ona kawha ka whakairia ki runga o Tītī-o-kura, kotahi ki runga o Otāiri, kotahi o ona peke ki runga o Paretetaitonga, kotahi ki runga o Tūhua maunga. Ko tona mahunga ki runga o Tongariro, ko tona tinana me takoto ki Taupo. Ko tona kupu nana he whakatapu i te whenua, ara, hei rohe mo tona mana....

His body then ‘fell’ away. One of his thighs was alight upon Tītī-o-kura,107 another upon Otāiri. One of his shoulders was upon Paretetaitonga, another upon Tūhua. His head was upon Tongariro and his body lay upon Taupō. This was done to render the land sacred and as a domain for his spiritual authority. (Royal 2002:28)

In addition, one of the more apt descriptions of land is found in the 1835 Declaration of Independence where the land is referred to as: “he whenua rangatira”, the chiefly land (New Zealand History Online, Transcript, para 1).108

More recent examples that refer to land as wāhi tapu, or sacred places, include that of the Rotorua tribal grouping, Ngāti Rangitāeoere. They drew attention to the stand of 150 year old kahikatea trees nestled at one end of the Rotorua airport as “ngā āwhi o Rangitāeoere”, the people of Rangiteaorere.109 Ngāti Pukenga in the Tauranga area registered the mountain behind their marae as a wāhi tapu. This was designed to protect the mountain as a wāhi tapu and to recognise the maunga as a cultural icon; their maunga is often referred to as “he maunga whakairinga kōrero”,110 a mountain that stands as a record of the words of their ancestors over many generations.

Land as cultural survival
Land was and always has been imperative to the economic survival of Māori; today it is central to the cultural survival of Māori. Several events emerged over the last four

---

107 Tītīkura, Otāiri, Paretetaitonga, Tūhua and Tongariro are all significant mountains or peaks in the North Island. Taupō is the largest lake in New Zealand nestled in the centre of the North Island.

108 New Zealand History Online Website; http://www.nzhistory.net.nz/media/interactive/the-declaration-of-independence Accessed March 2010. The Declaration of Independence of New Zealand signed in October 1835 by thirty-four (swelling eventually to fifty-two by 1839) Northern chiefs who became known as the Confederation of United Tribes and the British resident at New Zealand, James Busby. The Declaration was an attempt by Busby towards making New Zealand a British colony.


110 Te Awanuiarangi Black, Marae TV programme, Television One, Whiringa-a-rangi (November) 2002.
decades that demonstrate Māori passion and commitment with regard to cultural survival as a unique people. Māori were experiencing signs of loss: of language, of family structure, of tradition and of land and status. This gave rise in the 1970s to prominent protest groups such as Ngā Tamatoa, the Waitangi Action Committee and He Taua who employed the art of protest to highlight a range of Māori concerns including loss of land and the decline of the Māori language. Furthermore, the 1970s saw the rise in Māori demands for recognition of the Treaty of Waitangi with calls to honour the Treaty. Whina Cooper at age 82 led the Land March or hikoi covering the length of the North Island, from Te Rēinga (at the tip of the North Island) to Wellington (at the bottom of the North Island) in 1975. One of the aims of the march was to obtain a guarantee that "not one more acre" of Māori land would be alienated. The march captured on-route thousands of supporters which included “those searching for their Māoritanga” and those afraid of losing it”. As a result of sustained protest against breaches of the Treaty of Waitangi by the Crown, the Waitangi Tribunal was established under the Treaty of Waitangi Act 1975. The Waitangi Tribunal was established as a Commission of Inquiry to investigate any claims of breaches of the Treaty of Waitangi that had occurred from 1975. This mandate was amended in 1985 to investigate claims back to the signing of the Treaty in 1840.

More recently the Labour Government’s controversial Foreshore and Seabed legislation of 2004 galvanized Māori from all around the country once again to march on Parliament. The hugely divisive bill designed to strip Māori rights under article two of the Treaty of Waitangi to the foreshore and seabed brought Māori together in a hikoi from both the top of the North Island and the bottom of the South Island converging en-masse on Parliament grounds in May 2004. The hikoi clearly demonstrated Māori passion and connection to the land.

The concept of Māori belonging to the land and water is entrenched in the cosmological premise that the earth is Papatūānuku the Mother and that through divine genealogical links, Māori belong to her just as she belongs to Māori. The Māori sense of belonging

---

111 Māoritanga is an earlier term for tikanga or customs
is also reflected in the Māori language. For example, as a person from the tribe of Tūwharetoa it is appropriate to say Nō Ngāti Tūwharetoa ahau, I am from the Ngāti Tūwharetoa tribe. When Māori speak of belonging to the land, they would say nōku te whenua, I belong to the land, rather than nāku te whenua, the land belongs to me, in the sense of ownership rather than an intimate relationship. Hence the notion of tāngata whenua is that tāngata (people) belong to whenua (land) and whenua belongs to tāngata; hence the merging of tāngata and whenua.

Section Two: ancestral mapping in Aotearoa

Māui and his fish
The great Polynesian ancestor Māui, known in Aotearoa as Māui-tikitiki-a-Taranga or sometimes Māui-pōtiki, is credited with performing a number of memorable and exceptional deeds. Often referred to as te hianga or mischief one, the most significant deed that influences this chapter is the fishing up the North Island of New Zealand known as te Ika a Māui, the fish of Māui, using the enchanted jawbone procured from his grandmother Murirangawhenua. This is significant because te ika a Māui or the North Island resembles the shape of a type of fish; more specifically, the type of fish that Māui caught was a whai\textsuperscript{113} or stingray. The early ancestors were able to distinguish the shape of the islands of Aotearoa New Zealand in much the same way that a map can delineate and represent the geographical shape of land.

As Brian Marshall (2005) noted:

\begin{quote}
. . . the [Māui] myth serves to illustrate that early on, from canoe voyages around the coastline and explorations of its interior, Māori had developed an acute awareness of the geographical shape of the North Island. The myth shows how well the Māori had “mapped” New Zealand before any Europeans arrived to put it on paper. (Marshall 2005: 1)
\end{quote}

This event is immortalized in mōteatea such as Taku Kuri (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:388-395) composed by Tutekohi at the death of his dog Kauere-huanui. Part of the second verse refers to the fish of Māui or ki te ika i hiia e Māui and further along kia

\textsuperscript{113} The fish that Māui caught is also referred to as a kupakupa or kopakopa.
kai ake he kupakupa, which refers to the fish of Māui as he kupakupa. Ngata & Jones
2006, part 3: 392) (Emphasis added)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Whakarua mai ki uta nei,</th>
<th>Let it drift in with the nor’ east sea breeze,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KI TE IKA I HIIA E MĀUI</td>
<td>To the fish fished up by Māui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiria atu taku mouma nei</td>
<td>Let me now place a spell upon this my bait</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kia kai ake he KUPAKUPA</td>
<td>So that it might be taken by the KUPAKUPA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The incident is also mentioned in the following takitaki, part of which refers to Māui as
te hianga and to te ika rā being the fish that he caught.¹¹⁴

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ko te tokowha e</th>
<th>Ko te tokowha refers to the four brothers of Māui who is often known as te hianga or the mischief</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TE HIANGA rā e</td>
<td>one. The brothers go deep sea (te moana pukepuke) fishing and end up fishing up Aotearoa referred to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te moana pukepuke</td>
<td>in this part as KO TE IKA RA,(that fish)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO TE IKA rā e</td>
<td>Placing names scattered around Aotearoa also reflect Māui’s deed. For example the North</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ko te hiku o te rangi</td>
<td>Island is referred to as te ika a Māui (the fish of Māui); other names include Te Matau a Māui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kukumea mai rā ki roto ki te rua</td>
<td>(the fish-hook of Māui) and Te Upoko o Te Ika a Māui (the head of the fish of Māui) which are</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Place names scattered around Aotearoa also reflect Māui’s deed. For example the North Island is referred to as te ika a Māui (the fish of Māui); other names include Te Matau a Māui (the fish-hook of Māui) and Te Upoko o Te Ika a Māui (the head of the fish of Māui) which are names found in the Uawa region. Te matau a Māui is also a name found in Heretaunga or Hawkes Bay from Te Mahia to Te kauae (jawbone) which is situated at the southern tip of the Hawkes Bay. The Wellington area is sometimes referred to as te Upoko o te ika a Māui. Te Hiku o te ika a Māui (the tail of the fish of Māui) is the Northland region whilst te kauae o Māui (Māui’s jawbone) is in the Hawkes Bay area. The South Island of New Zealand is sometimes referred to as Te Waka a Māui (the canoe of Māui) whilst Rakiura or Stewart Island is Te Punga o Te Waka a Māui (the anchor of the canoe of Māui) (Davis et al 1990:41). Place names embedded in the landscape such as these above, mark historical events that occurred at that place and are often linked to the ancestors who left their mark and an enduring legacy of their deeds. The names embedded in the landscape linked to a body of history are how Māori create what is referred to by Tipene O’Regan as “oral maps” (cited in Davis 1990: xiii).

¹¹⁴ Refer to Chapter 3 for the full version of the takitaki
Although the fish tale bears no resemblance to conventional mapping techniques, this thesis argues that Māori possessed the ability to create maps of their ancestral territories in their own unique way; by imbuing the landscape with story, song, and genealogies and committing this information to memory. Of this phenomenon, Tipene O’Regan writes:

> The meaning of many Māori names, though, can only be understood through their connection to other names and other places. Whole series of names belong together in groups, commemorating journeys of exploration by an ancestor, the myth memory of how the land was made or a series of traditional events and people relationships. . . . [the stories and groups of] Māori names [are] what we call Oral Maps. (Cited in Davis 1990:xiii)

Kelly (1999:1) asserts that Māori possessed the ability to create maps of their landscapes and convey those spatial relationships to another “culture that possessed a different language, history, and perception,” at the time when Māori first made contact with Europeans. The following section examines the earliest known maps drawn by Māori ancestors that illustrated their ability for understanding and conveying spatial relationships.

### Early Māori Maps

**Te Horeta te Taniwha**

The earliest known map created by Māori was drawn for James Cook in 1769. Charles Heaphy, surveyor, and John White, author of the *The Ancient History of the Maoris, His Mythology and Traditions*, both record this incident many years later (Barton 1998). *Te Horeta te Taniwha*, who hailed from the Hauraki Gulf and the Coromandel Peninsula, was a leader of the *Ngāti Whanaunga* tribe. He was twelve when he, and other Māori, set foot on the *Endeavour* and witnessed the men drawing a chart of the coastline with charcoal on the deck of the ship. According to Heaphy and White, Cook requested a sketch of the coast. The Māori complied sketching the “Coromandel Peninsula, Great Barrier Island, the Hauraki Gulf and the eastern coast of the Auckland Peninsula as far as Cape Rēinga” (Barton 1998:501). Of this incident, Milligan writes that “a Maori chief drew on the deck an outline of the neighbouring coasts” (Milligan
1964:1). Place names were added at Cook’s request. Incidentally, no record of this map exists.

Barton (1998) writes of this first map:

This was the first contact that Māori of the area had with Europeans, and it seems very unlikely that they had seen any charts on the Endeavour. If they did, they probably did not know their use. Yet when Cook spoke and made marks with charcoal on the deck, they knew that he required an outline of the land and supplied it. The drawing of the map, the understanding of what Cook wanted, and the alacrity in supplying the information are convincing evidence that Māori were familiar with drawing maps and had been doing so before the visit of the Endeavour. (Barton 1998: 501)

_Tuki_

Another incident that demonstrated Māori capacity for drawing maps involved the kidnapping of Tuki Tahua and Ngāhuruhuru, both Northland chiefs, at the request of Lieutenant Governor King of Norfolk Island in 1793. Milligan (1964), Salmond (1993), Barton (1998), Kelly (1999) and Head (2006) all record the events surrounding the kidnapping and creation of Tuki’s map. Tuki and his companion were taken to Norfolk Island where they were required to teach the locals how to make flax rope. While they were there, King asked them about their country; Tuki proceeded to draw a map of Aotearoa on the floor with chalk and later on paper.

Kelly (1999) quotes McNab’s comments about Tuki’s attempt at delineating the Islands:

. . . I had no copy of Capt. Cook’s Voyages, to compare with Tookes Chart, but on the Britannia’s arrival, the master of that ship favoured me with Cook’s first voyage, in which as a Chart of New Zealand: and on a comparison the similitude of Took’s Chart to Captain Cook’s is very striking; particularly the East side of Ea-hei-no-maue, where Tooke lives. (Kelly 1999:11)

David Collins agrees with this assessment as recorded by Kelly (1999):

With chalk on the floor of a room set apart for that purpose. From a comparison which Governor King made with Captain Cook’s plan of those islands, a sufficient similitude to the form of the northern island was discoverable to render this attempt an object of curiosity; and Too-gee was persuaded to describe his delineation on paper. (Kelly 1999:11)
*Tuki’s* drawing of the Aotearoa New Zealand does not remotely resemble a modern map of Aotearoa, nor does it even resemble the shape of a fish. What this map reflects though are the places that are important to him; the places he was most familiar with, from his perspective as a Northland chief. Milligan argues that *Tuki’s* map “included the sorts of things which were of interest and practical importance to him. Everything else was left out” (Milligan 1964:14). Furthermore, *Tuki’s* knowledge was concerned with explaining where “he and Huru had been captured and where their homes were” (Milligan 1964:14). For example, *Te Rēinga* and the pathway of the spirits which runs down the centre of his map. Lyndsay Head makes this observation in her doctoral thesis:

> . . . the idea of drawing a map was foreign, [but]the mind behind the drawing was Maori. What mattered to Tuki was not the contours of the land, but who the chiefs were, the location of the pa (forts), the number of warriors they mustered, and the lines of communication between them. The development of musket warfare is sometimes said to have militarised Maori society, but this view is erroneous. Long before the introduction of muskets, Maori attention was absorbed by the *mana* of fighting strength, and not by the extent of territorial possessions. (Head 2006:44)

Salmond (1993) comments on *Tuki’s* map saying that it

> . . . is a socio-political description of the upper North Island, with some brief comments (and inaccurate coastlines) for southern New Zealand. The key features of Tuki’s map included ‘Manoui-tavai’ (Manawatawhi), the largest of the Three Kings Islands). . . ‘Modey-Mootoo on which Te-kapa has an Hippah’ (Murimotu, where chief Te Kapa was said to have had a fortified village or paa). . . ‘Terry-inga’ (Te-Reinga), the spirits’ place for leaping off into the underworld, which was shown at the termination of a spirits’ pathway (Te Ara Whaanui) running from the bottom to the top of the North Island, where it terminated at a symbol which represented the tapu tree there. (Salmond 1993:216)

The list of place names and other features, including the above, identified by Milligan (1964) and Salmond (1993) on *Tuki’s* sketch include Moodo Whenua (*Muriwhenua*), Ho-do-do (*Oruru*), Mooodeewye (*Muriwai*), Te Wy-te-wi and Wytoa (possibly dwellings), Wongar-ooa (*Whangaroa*), Tu-ko-rawa (*Tukarawa*), Teer-a-witte (Te Raawhiti), T’sou-ducky (*Hauraki*), Tettua Woodoo (Te Tai Hauauru?), Cho-ke-ang’a (*Hokianga*), Poenammoo (*Te Waipounamu*), Tauroa, Motu-aca-ete (*Motuakaiti*), Motu-aca-nui (*Motuakanui*), Motu-cowa (*Motukawanui*), and Panike (*Pānaki*)
Tuki’s map of Aotearoa is the earliest known map drawn by Māori that still exists. Governor King sent it to London and is entitled: Chart of New Zealand Drawn by Tooke-Titter-a-nui Ware-pedo. From a cultural perspective, Tuki drew what was important to him. This is reiterated by Head:

This map is almost the earliest surviving Maori documentary evidence of the shape of Maori thinking; it is a mind map rather than a geographical artefact. It delineates a strictly tribal world (Head 2006:45)

Figure 5. 1 Tuki Map of Aotearoa (Used with permission from the National Library NZ, Ref: MapColl-CHA-2/1/9-Acc.36440) (Notation added)
**Korrakorra**
Phillip L. Barton (1998) reveals that there are only two known accounts that describe maps of the North Island drawn by Māori. One account is given by John Liddiard Nicholas; the other is recorded by William Cotton.

Nicholas spent most of his time in and around the Bay of Islands where he met a chief called Korra-korra\(^{115}\) who lived in a village near Cape Brett. He drew a map for Nicholas:

> Yet in a rude sketch of Eaheinomauwe or the Northern Island, which Korra-korra drew for me upon paper, he described between the East Cape and Queen Charlotte’s Sound, a high island on the eastern side, which at intervals vomited forth fire and smoke, and from which place I should suppose the above volcanic substances were procured. (Barton 1998:501)

**Te Heuheu**
William Cotton’s account is recorded in “Renata’s Journey” compiled by Helen M. Hogan. *Mananui te Heuheu*, the ariki of Ngāti Tūwharetoa, was hosting George Augustus Selwyn, the Anglican Bishop of Aotearoa who was accompanied by *Renata Kawepo Tama ki Hikurangi* and others. The group were travelling from Waimate North to Whanganui and stopped over at Te Heuheu’s village at the south end of Lake Taupō and slept the night. The following morning, *Te Heuheu* and Bishop Selwyn were engaged in a brief conversation at which the great chief became very animated. Cotton records that:

> [Mananui became] very excited on all questions connected with land, in consequence of the late disturbances at the south. He said there were enough Pakehas in the country, that no more shd [sic] come. That Taupo his rangatiratanga (kingdom) is the toenga (the remnant) of the whole country, and that keep it he would. This he illustrated in a most graphic manner.

> He picked up a stick and drew a circle on the ground, about six feet over and sundry other around it. In the middle of the large circle, which he intended to represent Taupō, he set up a fern stick, to stand for Tongariro, and a smaller one leaning against for himself. I never saw such a grand figure as Te Heuheu’s when bending in silence over his drawing. . .

> He stood for some minutes contemplating his work, and satisfying himself that it was all right.

> ‘This’ said he, ‘is Port Nicholson kua riro ki te Pakeha’ it has gone away to the Pakeha. This is Wanganui – kua riro ki te Pakeha. This is

\(^{115}\) Please note that this spelling precedes the standardised orthography of 1820.
Auckland etc. ‘This is the Waimate’ etc But this pointing to Taupo is mine & mine it shall remain. (Hogan 1994:89, 90)

Reko
The following sets of maps were created by Māori in the South Island of New Zealand. The first, a sketch on the ground, was produced in 1856 by Reko for surveyor John Turnbull describing a journey he had made 50 years ago from Kaiapoi just north of present day Christchurch to Tuturau on the Mataura River; a distance of 500 plus kilometres in a straight line. Reko sketched the route he took providing a bird’s eye view of the interior of te Waipounamu, the South Island. According to the account given by Turnbull, a map had not yet been produced of the region by surveyors (Kelly 1990: 2-4, Barton 1998:504-505).

Reko drew a series of symbols on the ground representing various geographical features which formed part of his journey. A long line representing the Matau River now known as the Clutha, an irregular circle representing the sea shore, three eel shaped figures representing the lakes known as Wakatipu, Wanaka and Hawea and two lines, one representing the Mataura River originating from the south end of the Wakatipu river, the other representing the Oreti River. He also named the source of the Waiau and the Waitaki rivers (Lakes Monowai, Manapouri and Te Anau for the Waiau and Lakes Ohau, Pukaki and Tekapo for the Waitaki).

John Turnbull describes the route, as quoted in Kelly (1999):

He now showed how he travelled from the [Kaiapoi] (situated to the north of Banks Peninsula) through the interior, until he came to Tuturau. The extension of settlement, and the present knowledge of the geography of the country, enable me now to trace the Māori’s wanderings. It is evident that forty or fifty years ago he had passed through what is now called the Mackenzie Country, thence over the Lindis Pass to Lake Wanaka, thence up the Cardrona and down the Roaring Meg, where he described the existence of a natural bridge over which he crossed, and made his way southward by the Nevis and Nokomai rivers till he struck the banks of the Mataura: crossing this, he had gone over the Dome Pass, and arrived at the old native settlement of Tomogalak, from whence he had but two days journey along to the Wakaia Plains to his present location at Tuturau. (Kelly 1999:4)
Huruhuru
The second map was provided by Huruhuru from Te Puna a Maru on the southern bank of the Waitaki River to Edward Shortland described by Kelly as the Protector of the Aborigines for the Colonial Government of New Zealand around 1844. Shortland and Huruhuru met at Te Puna a Maru whilst Shortland was walking along the east coast of the South Island. On this occasion, Huruhuru provided a pencil sketch of some parts of the interior of the South Island. Kelly (1999) provides this account given by Shortland:

He drew, with a pencil, the outline of four lakes, by his account, situated nine days’ journey in-land of us, and only two from the west coast, in a direction nearly due west of our position.

One of these, named Wakatipua, . . . The other three lakes, Hawea, Waiariki, and Oanaka, . . . Huruhuru pointed out on his chart the positions, and told me the names of several of their places of residence, and described the country through which the path across the land passed. He even told me the names of the principal streams and hills which it crossed, and of the places where parties travelling that way used to rest, at the end of each day. (Kelly 1999:5)

Huruhuru also pointed out places to stop for food along the way indicating that the early ancestors knew the landscape intimately.

Otago Māori: The middle island
The third map (Figure 5.2) was produced by Māori from Otago at the request of Edmund Halswell, the Commissioner for the Management of Native Reserves in 1842. Halswell required a map of the South Island of New Zealand.

Halswell provides this description of the extensive knowledge of their places:

. . . every tree, shrub or flower, every minute vegetable and moss, has its own expressive name. Every mountain, hill, lake, and place, every nook, has an appropriate designation. . . . [The Māori of the south are working on a map] of the entire Middle and Southern Islands, giving me a minute description of every bay and harbour round the entire coasts, with their native names, which generally convey a correct idea of the headlands, soil, &c. (Kelly 1999:8)
Figure 5.2: Otago Māori Middle Island Map (Used with Permission from the National Library of NZ, Ref: MapColl-834ap/1841-2?/Acc.527)
All these maps provide an understanding of the ability of early Māori to navigate over large tracts of geographical space. They also demonstrated the ability to describe spatial relationships and understood fundamentally where they were geographically despite living in a society where knowledge was conveyed using oral techniques (Kelly 1999). Furthermore, Kelly (1999) contends that Māori had an intimate knowledge of their places and landscapes despite their lack of literacy. She also adds that:

The Māoris’ easy facility with the concept of ‘map’ indicates that maps were made before Europeans arrived, and possibly on the same ‘need-to-know’ basis; that is, the sketch map in the dust filled a temporary explanatory role, the information that supported it being stored in the memory. (Kelly 1999:23)

The foregoing examples of early maps drawn by Māori are compelling evidence that Māori already possessed the ability to navigate their territories with skill and were able to describe spatial relationships pertaining to those territories. This skill was embedded in the complex nature of their oral traditions stored in their memories. They were able at will to recall tracts of information stored in the whakapapa, the karakia and the chants that gave meaning to their landscapes. The next section discusses chants or mōteatea as a means to navigate and describe ancestral territories.

**Navigating Geographical Space using oral narratives**

Kelly (1999) in her article discusses ocean navigation, the application of that skill towards navigating through geographic space, using the stars and other celestial bodies coupled with prominent landmarks to locate ocean fishing grounds, delineating boundaries using significant landmarks and using songs to mark out boundaries. There are many examples of songs that record instances of navigation, locating fishing grounds and delineating boundaries.

An example of navigating the oceans is reflected in the advice my ancestors received when they were about to embark on their journey across the Pacific Ocean to Aotearoa.

---

116 Kelly (1999:12-15) provides detailed discussion of navigating geographical space, deep ocean navigation, spatial relationships and an intimate knowledge of place. She also discusses the intersection of Cosmology with prominent landmarks in locating fishing grounds.
New Zealand. They were advised by the priests who knew the ancient *ara moana* or sea-paths of their ancestors who had made that same journey (Grace 1959:36):

“*kia whakatau koutou ki a Atutahi ma Rehua; ko Atutahi e whakataata nei ki te Mangaroa!*” — Direct your course to Canopus by Antares; Canopae that is by the side of the Milky Way!

The extract below is taken from the epic *oriori* composed for Tuteremoana (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3: 10). In this passage the ocean pathways of the ancestors are given as the two winds *Paraweranui* and *Tahu-makaka-nui*, expressed as “*Ko te ara tēnā i whakaterea mai ai o ōīpuna ki konei*”. (Emphasis added)

*E huri tō aroaro ki PARAWERANUI, KI TAHU-MAKAKA-NUI*  
*Ko te ara tēnā i whakaterea mai ai o ōīpuna ki konei*  
*E te kauika tangaroa*  
*Te urunga tapu o Paikea*

Turn you towards the Mighty-northerly-blast and the Great-blistering-easterly-wind;  
*That was the course upon which your ancestors voyaged hither*  
Upon the deep sea school or whales, steered by the sacred ritual of Paikea,

*Oriori* were often used in the Māori world to convey distinct types of information; the following extract shows the use of two stars, *Rehua* and *Eretoro*, and the southerly wind *Tonganui*, to locate a fishing ground at night. During the day, the southerly *Tonganui* is used with the sun to locate the same fishing ground (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3: 392). (Emphasis added)

*Ka whanake i raro rā te pūai a Rehua*  
*Te pūai Eretoro, Tonganui*  
*It goes down with moisture from REHUA,*  
*The moisture of ERETORO, and of TONGANUI*

In the following extract, this *oriori* gives information about locating a taunga-ika or fishing ground using two hills and the sun at its position at noon. Located in *Te Araroa*, the *Awatere River* flows out towards *Taumutu* the site of the fishing ground. The *taunga ika* (fishing ground) is found by aligning both *Te Tawai* (a hill) and *Omarumapere* (a hill) with the sun in its position at noon (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3: 42-44).

---

117 See Chapter 6 for more a detailed discussion of *oriori.
118 Ngata & Jones (2006, part 3: 394-395) refer to *Tonganui* in their notes as the house that Māui’s hook latched onto. Māori names and place names have multiple meanings.
You are to climb by the steep ascents
Within the vale of TE TAWAI, now look straight
At the canoe descending from TAUMUTU
Scale yonder heights, so that you may reach
OMARUMAPERE and there meet Paremamaku

Mōteatea have been used to define boundaries, as in the case of the oriori for
Tamaunga-o-te-rangi (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3: 38-55). There are many instances in
this oriori of prominent landscape features that define tribal boundary markers. For
example, the extract above contains three sacred places.119 The oriori for Tamaunga
was also used in the Māori Land Court boundary deliberations because it contains
numerous place names and significant landmarks as the extract above and below
indicate (Ngata & Jones 2006). Chapter Six treats the entire song in detail.

The second extract from Tamaunga’s oriori contains references to several significant
harvesting and habitation areas and important persons: Te Kaweka, Wai-puia, Te Peka
a Haumia, Te Warowaro, Mate-te-rangi, Pakuri, Hapu-Poia, and Porou-Rangi (Ngata
& Jones 2006, part 3: 44). (Emphasis added)

Be alert and upstanding, grasp your spear
You are to ascend the valley of TE KAWeka
Take you the ridge trail, and do not
Go by the low road, stay on the high lands,
Up there on WAL-PUIA, and you will ascend
TE PEKA A HAUMIA. Now incline your ear
And listen to the joyful shouting, look you
and see
The twin dividing waters, and the fires now
alight

Kelly (1999) cites several examples to highlight the use of oral techniques that show an
intimate relationship with their ancestral places. Mōteatea often embed geographical
information in them such as the following extract composed for Te Arakau of Te Arawa

119 See Chapter 6 for a complete run-down of this oriori.
E tiu rā, ki te muri, ē
Ngā hurihuri mānuka, i raro o Tumutara,
Ngā rākau tū iho, i runga Ihoweka,
Ngā wai e re, i raro Rangitaiki;
Ngā puke tū iho, i runga o ‘Tauaki,
Kia mārama koe, ko te whakamau atu,
Ngā kōngutu awa, i raro Whakatāne,
Ngā rae ka rou, i waho Kohi,
Ki ō irāmātu, Ki a Te Umu-tu-ura,
Māna e hōmal, te muri aroha ki a tāua nā i.

Swoop onwards then to the north,
To the manuka scrub-lands below TUMUTARA,
To the trees standing on the summit of IHOWEKA,
To the waters flowing below there at RANGITAIKI.
And to the hills rising up on to ‘TAUAKI.
Clear then is the view as you gaze afar off
To the river’s mouth down there at WHAKATĀNE.
And the headlands thrusting out beyond KOHI.
Where your nephews abide with Te Umu-tu-ura;
Verily he will as of yore tender us a loving greeting.

The place names mentioned in this mōteatea describe a pathway often used by Te Arakau when travelling from the Rotorua region across to Whakatāne via these places: Tumutara on the Tarawera River, Ihoweka a crossing over the Rangitaiki River, the Rangitaiki River, Tauaki which is short for Putauaki a prominent mountain in the region, Whakatāne and Kohi which is a headland in Whakatāne, the name given to the town.

Another example of mōteatea that records instances of naming or taunahatanga, is the oriori composed for Wharau Rangi by Rangi-Takoru. He Kōrero Pūrākau (Davis 1990) describes the story of Hau120 who is in pursuit of Wairaka. The oriori recounts the incidents that led to the naming of rivers down the west coast of the North Island from Whanganui to Waikanae. The fourth verse that contains the place names is as follows (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3: 510-513). (Emphasis added)

Kimikimi noa ana ahau, e hine,
I tō kunenga mai i Hawaiki,
I te whakaringaringa, i te whakawaewae,
Te whakakānohitanga.
Ka mānu, e hine, te waka i a Rua-tea,
Ko Kurahaupo.
Ka iri mai tāua i runga i Aotea,
Te waka i a Turi.
Ka ū mai tāua te ngutu Whenuakura;
Huaina te whare, Rangitawhi;

I am trying to remember, O maiden,
How it was you sprang forth from Hawaiki,
How the hands were formed, then your feet,
Until your face took shape.
Now afloat, O maiden, is the canoe of Rua-tea,
And ‘tis Kurahaupo,
We two were carried hither aboard Aotea,
The canoe of Turi.
We landed at the river’s mouth at
WHENUAKURA:(1)
The house there was named Rangitawhi;

120 According to Ngā mōteatea, (Ngata & Jones, 2006, part 3: 509), the ancestor Hau named these places, although the identity of Hau is in dispute. According to Ngata, Hau was in search of his daughter. According to another account, it was Hau-nui-a-Paparangi who named Whanganui.
Tiria mai te kūmara;
Ka ruia mai te karaka ki te taiao nei.
Keria iho e Hau o te punga tama wahine,
Ka riro i ngā tuahine, i a Nonoko-uri i a Nonoko-tea

Ko te here i runga ko te korohunga.
Kapua mai e Hau ko te one ki tōna ringa.
Ko te Tokotoko-o-Turoa;
Ka whiti i te awa,

Ka nui ia, ko Whanganui;

Tīehua te wai, ko Whangaehu;

Ka hinga te rākau, ko Turakina;

Tikeitia te waewae, ko ‗Tikei;
Ka tatu, e hine, ko Manawatu;

Ka rorowhio ngā taringa, ko Hokio.

Waiho te awa iti hei ingoa mōna, ko Ohau;
Takina te tokotoko, ko Otaki;

Ka mehameha, e hine, ko Waimeha;

Ka ngahae ngā pī, ko Waikanae;
Ka tangi ko te mapu, ē.

E tae hoki ki a Wairaka,
Matapoutia, poua ki runga, poua ki raro,

Ka rarau e hine!
Ka rarapa ngā kanohi, ko Wairarapa,

Te rarapatanga o tō tipuna, ē.

Ka mohiki te ao, ko Te Pae-a-Whaitiri;

Kumea, kia werea Kai-tangata

Ki waho ki te moana.
Hangā te paepae, poua iho;
Te pou Whakamaro-o-te-rangi,
Ko Meremere.

Waiho te whānau, ko te punga o tōna waka
Ko Te Hou-mea, ko Te Awhe-ma;
Kāti, ka whakamutu, e hine!

The kūmara was then planted;
The karaka, too, soon flourished in the land.
Hau thereupon dug the extra female plots,
Which were taken by his sisters Nonoko-uri and Nonoko-tea.
To mark them off, the border of a robe was hung.
Hau scooped up a handful of earth
From the portion of the Staff-of-Turoa;
He then crossed the river
Which won him great renown, and it was WHANGANUI.(2)
He splashed through cloudy waters, hence WHANGAEHU;(3)
He felled a tree so he could cross, hence TURAKINA; (3)
He strode across the land, hence 'TIKEI; (4)
Then he stumbled, O maiden, hence MANAWATU;(5)
A buzzing sound assailed his ears, hence HOKIO;
A tiny stream he named his own, hence OHAU; (6)
He held his staff as he spoke, hence OTAKI; (7)
The waters beyond were lost in the sands, hence WAIMEHA; (8)
He stood and stared in amazement, hence WAIKANAE;(9)
Then he breathed a sigh of relief
For he come to Wairaka,
He cast a spell; fixing it above, and fixing it below.
It was thus he came to rest, O maiden!
He gave a flashing glance, hence WAIRARAPA;(10)
Indeed it was there your ancestor gazed about him.
The clouds lifted up on high, hence TE PAE-O-WHAITIRI (11);
The lengthened day was made to detain Kai-tangata
Out on the open sea.
The beam was made and posts were fixed;
The posts were Stiffened-was-the-heavens and Meremere.
The family became the anchor of his canoe,
They were Te Hou-mea and Te Awhe-ma;
Enough, 'tis now ended, O maiden!

The place names from the oriori for Wharau-rangi:

Whanganui Great river
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Other names mentioned in the *mōteatea* are: *Whenuakura* the landing placed of the *Aotea* canoe and *Te Pae o Whaitiri* a range of mountains north of Wellington.

The foregoing examples of *mōteatea* or song provide an understanding of the thinking of the Māori world; they also show that the early ancestors of the Māori contained significant detail about the makeup of that world. The songs also show that the Māori ancestral landscape was composed of complex stories, genealogies and histories and not just geography.

**The Geography of Narratives: Maps that tell stories**
The ancestral landscapes of the Māori is a “human landscape” (Kelly 1999:26) that is imbued with a lattice of cosmogonical myths, ancient histories and epic stories, complex genealogies and elaborate chants stretching back several hundreds of years, that drape the landscape. As an oral society Māori were used to telling stories, reciting genealogies, composing songs and reiterating chants and committing great tracts of information to memory. These oral forms were how Māori made sense of the world; this was how they organised their knowledge base and meticulously transmitted their knowledge fairly accurately from the memory of one generation to the memory of the next generation and were able to recall that information when required. Likewise, the land, from the cosmogonical beginnings of *Ranginui* and *Papatūānuku*, was imbued with the same type of *kōrero* or oral information gathered from each generation and passed on using their oral traditions. Thus, when an ancestor drew a line and other symbols in the sand or the earth, illustrating their ancestral domains, it was often accompanied by a *kōrero* or explanation that was drawn from this huge body of
knowledge stretching back several generations that had been intimately committed to
memory. On this point, Kelly (1999) offers this insight:

The maps we have are, in effect, the lines sketched on the ground with
a stick. “This place here” (verbal description of a locality); “at that site” (a
specific history is re-told, the stick resting on a point, tapping for emphasis,
while the listener notes down a word, a place-name, occasionally a phrase,
later inking it onto the map as text) “by this route” (drawing a generic line)
“eight camps to travel the river” (European listener estimating the distance
between at 15 miles and recording names on guessed-at localities). “The
route is on the south side, the first place one can cross the river is here”
(specific, locatable, geographic detail, possibly still current). “There is a high
range of hills to the west of the lake, you will have to go around it” (noted by
the European listener, and inked in later as a hachured, imagined, barrier
range). (Kelly 1999:23)

It is evident that maps created by Māori, when they first met Europeans, and
subsequently were accompanied by kōrero, conversations or stories, songs, whakapapa,
and chants (Kelly 1999 & Barton 1998). Even today, Māori still tell stories about their
ancestors, their whakapapa; they still sing the songs and chant the karakia that have
been passed down. The oral traditions still survive especially when they create maps.121

Spatial relationships in Mōteatea

Māori oral traditions form a unique body of information that can be used to map the
landscapes. Pēpeha122 such as that described in Chapter One are used by iwi Māori
around the motu (country) to define their cultural and geographical centres; add to this
tauparapara, kōrero tawhito, karakia and whakapapa. Mōteatea are another rich
source of traditional knowledge and often record the detail of the landscape such as the
waiata tangi or lament composed by Te Rangi-kaua-riro of Ngāti Rangiwewehi for the
fallen warrior Te Arakau who was killed in battle and the oriori composed for Wharau-
rangī. Kelly (1999) describes the function of waiata and pēpeha in preserving spatial
relationships. (Macrons not used in original document)

The waiata and pepeha are two parts of the oral lattice. They express
intimacy and familiarity with the natural landscape – not only with its lived
experience and its human and mythic history, but also with information

121 Chapter 7 details a mapping project conducted for a Mana Whenua Report which included interviews
and workshops with kaumatua and Pekeke to gather whenua kōrero (land information).
122 Most tribes in Aotearoa have pēpeha that connects the tribe or the person with a place. For example in
the Taupō region the pēpeha there is: Ko Tongariro te maunga, Ko Taupōnuiatia te moana, Ko Te
Heuheu te tangata, Ko Ngāti Tūwharetoa te iwi.
about landscape. They were created for, or sung as, maps but within the formality of their structure they preserve spatial information in a condensed or symbolized form that is easily accessed by those who know how to read it. (Kelly 1999:25-26)

Furthermore, Kelly (1999) asserts that if “a map is not drawn, if it is spoken in a chant, then all of the problems of whether or not there were maps are dissolved.” (Kelly 1999:26) Thus Māori were able to ‘carry’ their landscapes and notions of their homelands in their memories. Tobias (2000) in referring to First Nations writes of this phenomenon.

First Nation peoples carry maps of their homelands in their heads. For most people, these mental images are embroidered with intricate detail and knowledge, based on the community’s oral history and the individual’s direct relationship to the traditional territory and its resources. (Tobias 2000:1)

Although these oral traditions do not explicitly describe spatial relationships they frequently embed geographical information as a way of reinforcing the spiritual relationships and connections that Māori have with the land, sea and heavens. Hence, Māori imbed spatial relationships into mōteatea.

Section Three: Māori Adaptation of GIS
Māori inclination for carving out new initiatives and for readily adopting modern technology to complement and enhance their cultural practises is reflected throughout recent and past Māori history. Recent examples include the use of steel chisels, steel adzes and chainsaws for carving wood, dentist drills for tā moko,123 microphones for whaikōrero on the marae, fibreglass materials for constructing waka ama,124 string for whai, modern tools for making pūoro instruments and using advanced recording equipment for engineering pūoro.125 Māori now use a host of modern instruments for preparing kai and more recently, steel multi-kai cookers for hāngi,126 TV and online facilities for teaching te reo, computers and multi-media tools to record wānanga,

123 Tā moko refers to traditional form of tattoo applied to all parts of the body.
124 Waka Ama is an outrigger canoe
125 Pūoro are traditional wind instruments used by Māori
126 Hāngi is a traditional method of cooking food under ground
waiata and whakapapa as well as GIS and other mapping programs for managing Treaty claims and land information.

GIS is a relatively recent mapping tool adopted by Māori for a variety of reasons with very little in the way of publications or research available. There have been very few Māori mapping and GIS conferences in Aotearoa, the first explicit Māori GIS conference occurred in Wellington 1996; the second was the Information Technology and Communications conference in Otaki in 1999; and the third was held recently in Christchurch in May 2009.\(^{127}\)

**1996 Māori GIS conference**

The 1996 Māori GIS conference hosted by Critchlow Associates Wellington provided the first glimpse nationally at what Māori were using GIS for. Hakopa (1998) cites a number of examples including:\(^{128}\) Te Ika Whenua, Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Porou, Waikato-Tainui, Te Puni Kokiri, and Manaaki Whenua Palmerston North (Hakopa 1998:50-68). Ngāti Porou, Tainui and Te Ika Whenua, in particular, had at that time either intended or had established post-settlement development projects, land consolidation schemes, hapu resource inventories, social services, health services, forest management and 3D terrain models and communicating progress of their claims process to their iwi who lived in the more remote parts of their rohe or region.

The Te Puni Kokiri (TPK) Māori land and information base\(^{129}\) and the Manaaki Whenua Māori values database project were slightly different in their use of GIS technology. The Māori land information base project began in 1994 and was completed in 1997. Its initial objectives were to:

---

\(^{127}\) The 1995 International Indigenous Knowledge Conference in Wellington presented a number of papers on indigenous toponyms, mapping waahi tapu and cartographic literacy in Indigenous communities whilst a number of Indigenous and Maori GIS papers were presented at a conference of the Federation of Maori Authorities in 2003.

\(^{128}\) Other GIS projects at the time of the 1996 Maori GIS conference included: Kai Tahu, mapping waahi tapu, Dunedin; Dr M Laituri of Auckland University, the regional resources evaluation project; Ngati Whatua o Kaipara ki te tonga Waitangi Tribunal claim. See Hakopa (1998) p57.

... quantify the amount of Māori Land in New Zealand; second, to qualify how Māori land was being administered; third, to determine the number of owners associated with any Māori block of land; and fourth, to assess the capital value of any block of Māori land. (Hakopa 1998:54)

The system was made available to the public in 1997 facilitating searches of Māori Land Court information about Māori freehold land throughout the country. The system can be used to locate individual Māori land blocks on a computer-generated map generating information such as: the size of each Māori land block, the number owners in the land block, topographical information such as roads and rivers and relevant management information for each block.

The following figures provide examples of the Māori Land Information Base at work. Figure 5.3 is the user interface of the Māori land information base. Figure 5.4 depicts the land block search facility. Figure 5.5 depicts the result of a search, in this case, the Pukawa 3D block outlined in red.

![Figure 5.3 Māori Land Information Base](image-url)
The Māori values database project, discussed at length by Harmsworth (1995), (April 1996), (April 1997) and (1999) led to the development “of methods and frameworks for identifying, recording and storing Māori values and traditional knowledge in GIS for land use planning purposes” (Hakopa 1998:55).  

---

130 The development of the Māori Values Database was a response to the requirements of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Ture Whenua Act 1993 and the Treaty of Waitangi for the inclusion of Maori cultural, historical, spiritual and physical perspectives through iwi and hapū consultation in social and environmental land-use planning.

Figure 5.6 below illustrates Māori values as a series of layers. Access to some of the confidential layers can be restricted from the public view.

Underlining Māori use of GIS is the “preservation and protection of Māori knowledge and the active control and maintenance of the use of technology with their matauranga within a Māori paradigm; that is, the exercise of tino rangatiratanga, kaitiakitanga and mana whenua” (Hakopa 1998:56).

Hakopa (1998) cautions Māori in using GIS that:

It is not enough for [them] to be merely entertained by the wizardry of new technology; they must take control and dictate what is appropriate technology and what are the appropriate methodologies for implementing and using it without compromising traditional values. It is absolutely

---

132 Sourced from Landcare Research Website: accessed April 2010
http://www.landcareresearch.co.nz/research/sustainablesoc/social/hzarmwebpap.asp
essential, that GIS is created by Māori, for Māori needs. New innovations
must empower and support the traditional structures already in place.
(Hakopa 1998:67)

Any new technology should not replace traditional structures of Māori society, but
rather they should enhance and support those structures. Māori can benefit immensely
from GIS technologies as demonstrated by Indigenous peoples around the world. This
can be done without compromising the values and principles that make them a unique
people.

2009 Māori GIS Conference
The 2009 Māori GIS conference\(^\text{133}\) brought together a wide cross-section of people
from around Aotearoa New Zealand involved with Māori communities at the flax-roots
level, providing them with technology and skills to manage their cultural knowledge
and heritage. This conference provided another nation-wide glimpse and update at the
use of GIS among Māori. The theme of the conference “GIS: Progress and protect
what you value” reflects the notion that iwi Māori and iwi groups are using GIS as a
tool to progress their development aspirations as well as to protect their taonga (Māori
GIS Conference 2009).

In keeping with the main objective of this thesis, that is: to find a way to blend Māori
cultural knowledge with GIS mapping technologies without the Māori knowledge
losing any integrity, the outputs of this conference provide a perfect avenue for
exploring this notion. Thus, there were several objectives in examining these outputs:
the first was to look for new or innovative approaches and applications of GIS within
the Māori domain. Second, was to see if other Māori groups are exploring similar
avenues for merging oral narratives with GIS mapping technologies. Third, was to
examine how or whether Māori protocols are used in the context of extracting
information from Māori communities for mapping and inclusion into GIS; and finally,
to look at strategies for how Māori are communicating their cultural landscapes.

\(^\text{133}\) Māori GIS Conference: GIS Progress and Protect what you value, 13-15 May, Christchurch, NZ
Exploring innovative approaches and applications of GIS

In terms of new or innovative applications of GIS within the Māori domain, Gerard O’Regan explored the potential of GIS to track the distribution of *pounamu* artefacts in the South Island. In this case, GIS was used to investigate “the variable distance between” the “artefact find-spots” and the actual “*pounamu* sources” (O’Regan 2009, Māori GIS Conference).

Another application of GIS illustrated by John Reid is in unlocking the potential of Māori land for economic development. Wind-farming and pastoral farming opportunities are being explored in the South Island using GIS for its analysis functions and ability to provide up-to-date information for making informed decisions; this is complementary to the on-ground knowledge and experience of the locals (Reid 2009, Māori GIS Conference).

Jon Proctor highlighted the use of GIS by Rangitaane o Manawatu and its *iwi* authority Tānenuiarangi Manawatu Incorporated, in merging “traditional knowledge with present day business functions and future planning” towards developing an *iwi/hapu* based GIS system. Of particular interest to this thesis, the database in the GIS was expanded and used as a storage device for cultural information that had been “spatially referenced” and included information from “surveyor’s notebooks, Native Land Court Records, oral narratives and historical *waiata*” (Proctor 2009, Māori GIS Conference).

Finally, Nathan Kennedy of Ngāti Whanaunga is developing cultural maps and requisite datasets of *tāngata whenua* values for inclusion into the Resource Management Act 1991\(^{134}\) statutory planning documents. Although this is a standard use of Māori GIS, what is of particular interest to this thesis are the methods employed for data collection and the thought given to storage of culturally sensitive knowledge. The methods employed by this project include extracting *tūpuna kōrero* from early survey block plans, collecting and documenting information from tribal knowledge repositories, digitizing *matauranga Māori*, “mapping Māori environmental outcomes and indicators”, and interpreting “cultural knowledge with other contemporary

\(^{134}\) The Resource Management Act (1991) contains many provisions for safeguarding *iwi* interests and ensuring *iwi* participation in policy development and plans by District and Regional Councils.
datasets.” The critical issues related to how the cultural knowledge would be stored and represented using modern technologies, the protection of Intellectual property rights and the accrual of benefits for local tāngata whenua (Kennedy 2009, Māori GIS Conference).

**Merging oral narratives with GIS mapping technologies**

The development of an iwi/hapu based GIS by Rangitaane o Manawatu and their attempt to merge traditional knowledge with day-today business functions is of particular interest to the objectives of this thesis. Besides collecting and storing data from surveyors’ fieldbooks and the Native Land Court Records, spatially referenced data was collected from archaeological records, surveyor’s maps, ethnologists’ reports, tribal oral narratives and ancient waiata. Proctor comments that GIS has the capacity for linking the Māori world view and iwi management (Proctor 2009, Māori GIS Conference).

Kath Henderson, formerly of CFRT\textsuperscript{135} a private trust, spoke of maps as an effective medium of protecting what we as Māori value. She also concurs that maps are an important means of depicting landscape knowledge. Kath commented that Māori are spatially sensitive through their knowledge of the landscape; as such, Māori could walk seamlessly “between two worlds” making it possible to become skilled GIS technicians with the ability to obtain knowledge held by kuia, koroua and pakeke (adult) knowledge holders. This is an advantage when engaged in oral and traditional history reports which involve gathering oral evidence and creating maps depicting significant sites. She advocates GIS in the future as a tool for Māori to negotiate their claims and towards using “multi-media solutions for narrating the social histories of the landscape.” This could be an innovative approach towards documenting and storing Māori oral narratives (Henderson 2009, Māori GIS Conference).

\textsuperscript{135} Crown Forestry Rental Trust (CFRT) is a private trust funded by the accumulated rental fees paid by forestry license holders. The interest earned is used to help Māori claimants prepare, present and negotiate claims that involve Crown forest licensed lands; it also includes historical research and GIS.
The role of protocols in extracting knowledge from Māori communities

Any project involving the collection of Māori knowledge is bound by tikanga or protocols. From oral and traditional history reports as per CFRT (Henderson 2009 Māori GIS Conference) to the mapping of sites of significance for inclusion into the District plan as is the case with Ngāti Whatua o Orakei (Papa 2009 Māori GIS Conference); from the Ngāti Awa GIS whose layers include waahi tapu and waahi taonga and other sites of significance (Hughes 2009 Māori GIS Conference) to the mahinga kai, waahi tapu/taonga of Te Waipounamu. The Ngāi Tahu cultural heritage mapping project (Norton 2009 Māori GIS Conference) involves interviewing Elders and conducting site visits. The mapping of cultural and historical sites is conducted using GIS and is focused primarily on the South Island high country and Kaikoura. All these projects are bound by tikanga or a set of operational protocols to preserve the mana and tapu of the cultural knowledge.

Nathan Kennedy’s cultural mapping project (Kennedy 2009 Māori GIS Conference) is linked to a kaupapa Māori framework provided by PUCM, the Planning Under Cooperative Mandates. The PUCM framework developed a Māori framework and methodology with expert kaumatua working groups and allows for linking.

Communicating cultural landscapes

The Hauraki iwi GIS cultural heritage mapping project emerged for two main reasons: first, as a response to the values of tāngata whenua being largely ignored and entirely invisible in local government planning; and second in response to the disintegration and loss of important historical and natural resources (Waitai 2009 Māori GIS Conference).

---

136 Mahinga kai in this instance refers to sites and places where traditional foods and other natural resources were obtained
The project consisted of transferring hand-drawn sketches of features on maps into GIS. GIS data sourced from external agencies and organizations, old place names and significant sites were added. A set of maps was produced depicting place names, archaeological sites, kaimoana (sea-food places) sites, rivers and streams, wetlands, breeding grounds, proposed marina sites, foreshore, mangroves, recreation areas, sewage discharge, and Māori tracks. The maps are, in a small spatial way, a reflection of their cultural landscapes; a reflection of their knowledge about the lands they inherit (Waitai 2009, Māori GIS Conference).

The Ngāti Awa GIS database is also a reflection of their cultural landscapes. Created in 2005 comprising over 100 data layers most of which were secured from government agencies, featuring waahi tapu, waahi taonga, rohe moana, mataitai areas, rahui areas and Māori reserves; all of which are part of the cultural landscape of most iwi (Hughes 2009, Māori GIS Conference).

The State of the Takiwā GIS introduced by Craig Pauling allows for communicating the cultural health of waterways testing over one hundred fresh water sites in 2007 from twenty separate catchment areas throughout the South Island. This system “assists tāngata whenua to gather, store, analyse and report on environmental information in relation to waahi tapu”, waahi taonga and mahinga kai (Pauling, 2009). GIS in this instance is used to create a site rating map which summarizes all the results into a single image providing a “snapshot” of the health of the waterways in the South Island. This innovative approach allows iwi to monitor the cultural health of their environment in keeping with their role as kaitiaki of their cultural heritage and cultural landscapes (Pauling 2009, Māori GIS Conference).

Des Kahotea used GPS surveys and GIS mapping tools for defining an archaeological and cultural landscape as one of the most significant cultural landscape in Aotearoa. Located in the Tauranga rohe (region), the cultural landscape features numerous pā sites stretching from Ngā Kuri a Wharei in the north, all the way to Otamarakau in the south and inland to the Kaimai ranges. Some pā sites such as the terraced hill top site of Mangatawa have left an impressive and enduring mark etched into the landscape.
(Christoffersen 2009 Māori GIS Conference). *Waahi tūpuna*, *waahi tapu* and *waahi tuturu* litter the landscape leaving behind their names embedded in the land. *Pukewhanake* is one such *waahi tūturu* located on *Te Punua* Station road. An impressive feature, it was a *pā* site once occupied by *Ngamarama* before the arrival of *Ranginui* and has been associated with several other ancestors of the region. *Mauao*, one of the sacred mountains of the region, is imbued with numerous historical sites as are the adjoining lands. *Parekura* sites, a reminder of past wars, *mahinga kai*, *mataitai*, coastal *maara* sites, *awa*, *maunga* and of course many stories that attend each of these sites all make up the impressive cultural landscape, a reflection of a history worth preserving and protecting (Kahotea 2009 & Christoffersen 2009, Māori GIS Conference).

Maps provide a snapshot view of the cultural landscape as portrayed by a series of dots, lines, polygons, raster images overlaid on top of base maps. They are what Barbara Bender refers to as a “homogenous Cartesian grid” that covers the surface of the earth providing a bird’s eye view of the world (Ucko and Layton 1999). They give the reader an understanding of the sense and perhaps a depth of place populated by the early ancestors of the Māori. However, without the background histories, stories, chants, *whakapapa* and competency in the language that gives depth of meaning and knowing to each place, it is merely a superficial view of the Māori world; but nonetheless a view at the cultural landscape as portrayed by maps and mapping technologies.

GIS use in Aotearoa has grown since the 1996 Māori GIS conference in Wellington. Although GIS is still being used in creating maps for *Mana Whenua* Waitangi Tribunal claims, the range of use and the number of Māori users has increased remarkably. *Iwi* groups are now exploring economic pursuits, \(^{139}\) environmental protection and preservation\(^ {140},^{141}\) preservation and recognition of *waahi tapu* and *waahi taonga*, the preservation of *pounamu* artefacts, and of course the collection and preservation of cultural knowledge. GIS is proving to be a valuable tool in articulating the makeup of ancestral landscapes and the preservation and protection of cultural knowledge that is part of the fabric of Māori society.

\(^{139}\) As per the wind farms and pastoral farming (Reid 2009)
\(^{140}\) RMA statutory planning (Kennedy 2009)
\(^{141}\) Waterways protection in South Island (Pauling 2009)
Conclusion
GIS mapping technologies has found widespread use among iwi Māori and communities around the Aotearoa New Zealand. It has proven to be a valuable tool in creating maps of their ancestral domains and for creating another medium for collecting, hosting and storing cultural knowledge for future generations.

Māori interpretation of place is in some ways very similar to other Indigenous peoples around the world; their interpretation is based on their world view. And just like other Indigenous peoples, Māori notions and concepts about land and ancestral landscapes were held in their heads; this gave them the facility to navigate through their territories with remarkable ease and intimate familiarity.

This chapter was largely about whenua, it was about Māori notions and concepts about land and ancestral landscapes, and the ways in which Māori ancestors interpreted their places and described their landscapes. This chapter examined a number ways in which Māori interpret their ancestral domains. In particular this thesis explored the use of mōteatea or classical songs Māori used to articulate their notions of land. Furthermore, a discussion of oral narratives showed how Māori interpreted the depth and sense of their places and their landscapes. Add to this the ready adoption of mapping technologies such as GIS to protect and preserve their sacred landscapes.

Finally this chapter was able to demonstrate that oral narratives such as mōteatea can be used to interpret Māori notions of their ancestral landscapes and that they can be mapped. The next chapter will look at how mōteatea can be merged with mapping technologies in a way that will not diminish the mana or tapu of the cultural knowledge.
Chapter 6: Cultural Mapping – Preserve what you value
“When I am no longer here, and I die,
I want it to be known in my language
that this was our land”.
Anna Kassie,
‡Khomani San elder, South Africa

The Director General of United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (Mayor, 1994) defines traditional knowledge:

The indigenous people of the world possess an immense knowledge of their environments, based on centuries of living close to nature. Living in and from the richness and variety of complex ecosystems, they have an understanding of the properties of plants and animals, the functioning of ecosystems and the techniques for using and managing them that is particular and often detailed. In rural communities in developing countries, locally occurring species are relied on for many - sometimes all - foods, medicines, fuel, building materials and other products. Equally, people’s knowledge and perceptions of the environment, and their relationships with it, are often important elements of cultural identity.

SOURCE URL: http://www.nativescience.org/html/traditional_knowledge.html
Introduction
Māori interpret their notions about place based on their view of the world. Chapter Five examined their ancestral landscapes and the way in which they described those landscapes using oral maps; maps created using a huge body of knowledge which is manifested in variety of complex and detailed oral narratives. Chapter Five looked at the role oral narratives played in early attempts by Māori to create maps. Chapter Six will examine how oral narratives can be used to create maps of Māori ancestral landscapes

This thesis initially began with the idea of looking at how Indigenous, particularly Māori, ways of understanding and interpreting the land could be integrated into modern forms of capturing and displaying land information such as maps and, more recently, GIS. In order for any information about land to be recorded by conventional methods, including maps and GIS, that information must be compatible with conventional mapping formats. For example, a parcel of land can be reduced to a series of points each with an x and y coordinate, and a series of line segments that join those points together to form a closed polygon, which can then be represented, on a map and within GIS. The real world can be reduced to x and y coordinates and can be represented on maps or GIS with some degree of familiarity and reliability.

GIS is widely acknowledged for its ability to manage land information and display graphically the information it contains in its database in various formats including maps. Pre-GIS, maps were and still are used extensively in this country to represent Māori land information; or rather any information about Māori land that can be reduced to its simplest mathematical form, delineated and projected onto a plane surface known as a map.

Whilst some aspects of Māori land information dovetail easily into maps such as land parcel information and requisite attribute information, there are some aspects of the Māori ancestral landscape that do not. Likewise, whilst GIS can store and manipulate information about geographical features as well as conduct spatial analysis, like maps it cannot represent the ancestral landscape of Māori as described by its oral traditions in its fullness. Therefore, the challenge is to find a way to convert the oral traditional
information into a format that could be represented in a cartographic fashion without diluting its cultural value.

Chapter Six will address this issue in four sections: section one will look at the makeup of ancestral domains and how it informs mapping; section two will examine how oral narratives are employed by Māori to illustrate their cultural landscapes; section three will interrogate mōteatea and illustrate how ancestral landscapes are constructed; and section four will examine the creation of the oral maps using mōteatea.

Section One: Ancestral Domains

For Indigenous peoples, ancestral domains or cultural landscapes can be described by the longitudinal connections that group of people make with the land. For Māori, those connections can be understood in terms of the physical and spiritual relationships they develop with that territory over many generations.

Māori refer to cultural landscapes in terms of longitudinal connections to a well-defined geographical territory. The Māori view of land is often illustrated using a series of traditional stories, classical chants and cosmological genealogies that imbue the land with human characteristics causing Māori to refer to the land as their Mother, Papatūānuku. This view of the landscape lacks fundamental key elements, which prohibit that view of land of being captured and represented by mapping and spatial information technologies.

One solution to mapping a cultural landscape is to simply reduce the land to a series of x and y coordinates and record the spatial components only. Alternatively, satellite or aerial imagery could be used from which landscape features can be converted into spatial components. However, both approaches ignore the cultural or ancestral component of the landscape; thus the real value of ancestral landscapes is lost.

Tobias (2000) refers to mapping occupancy and use to determine connections to territory whilst Māori refer to mapping relationships, mapping mana and mapping the
whakapapa of the land to determine a connection to an ancestral domain. This is the makeup of an ancestral domain.

Māori form spiritual and physical relationships with their ancestral domains. Spiritual relationships are determined by their cosmological genealogies linking them to the heavens and the earth and to environment, from which they are born, within which they live the span of their lives, and to which they return once they die. The spiritual ties are further cemented by ritual, ceremony and by stories, which imbue the land with human characteristics. Thus certain places are imbued with sacredness because of the spiritual nature of what occurs there.

These characteristic relationships or connectedness to ancestral territories are encapsulated in a huge body of knowledge that are manifested in the oral narratives such as whakapapa, karakia, pēpeha, whakataukī and mōteatea. These oral narratives are some of the fundamental methods used by Māori to carefully pass on their knowledge to the next generation. Moreover, they are the means by which the ancestral landscapes can be interpreted and committed to maps.

Section Two: the oral narratives
Māori employ several oral techniques for transmitting their cultural knowledge from generation to generation; mōteatea was one such technique.

Mōteatea
Mōteatea are a rich oral tradition comprising a collection of knowledge, histories, customs and values which are passed down through the generations. They are a prolific source of whakapapa, karakia, sacerdotal content, stories about battles and deeds of bravery, instructions, warnings from as well as references to ancestors, places, landmarks, well-known geographical features and significant events. Mōteatea provide a special insight to the minds and lives of the ancestors and are couched in a metaphorical language incidental to their era. They form works of epic poetry that use distinctive tunes and uncommon rhythms that facilitate retention. The poetic phrasing of the mōteatea imbued with cultural information made it easy to memorise and recall.
They evoke emotion and stir inner memories that transform into vivid images of people, of past histories and of place. In addition to this, *mōteatea* remind us of the *whakapapa*, the ancestral events, the old stories, and the historical landmarks thus restoring the tradition of connecting people to their special places.

Whilst these were not composed to portray spatial relationships, prominent and important landscape features and people were often embedded in *mōteatea*. Thus, these narratives are perfect for translating the cultural landscapes into a spatial landscape.

**Converting the oral assets**
The intent of this chapter is to illustrate how oral assets can be converted into spatial assets and represented on a cartographic map. The process for converting the oral assets into spatial assets was rendered down into three simple stages: one, interrogating the narratives; two, creating a sketch of the oral information; and three, generating a cartographic representation of the information.

**Stage One: interrogating the narratives**
The purpose of the first stage was to dissect and interrogate a number of significant *mōteatea* thoroughly for any reference to place, person or activity. Seven *mōteatea* were chosen for their significant cultural information and for their capacity to portray important spatial information for mapping. Important place names are often mentioned in *mōteatea*, persons or ancestors often relate to one or more locations which can be mapped, and activity is always related to a specific place or location.

The first stage used a set of narratives derived from a collection of *mōteatea* that have been carefully preserved and handed down from generation to generation from the lips and memories of the custodians of oral traditions since they were composed.

The narratives for the first stage were extracted from a collection of *mōteatea* compiled by A. T. Ngata and Pei Te Hurinui Jones (2006 edition), entitled *Ngā Mōteatea: The Songs*. 
**Stage Two: Creating a Biographical Sketch of Oral Information**
The aim of the second stage was to create a sketch of any reference to place in the order in which the information unfolded from the narratives selected in stage one. The oral information was reproduced in a format that would be easily understood by those who are familiar with the region and the narratives but very difficult for those who did not know the song or belong to the region. The sketches did not record or attempt to display any spatial relationships between these places; they merely recorded the 'journey' or information described by the narrative.

**Stage Three: Cartographic Representation**
The third stage involved generating a cartographic map of the oral information; or rather, populating a conventional cartographic map such as a topographical map with the oral information gathered in stages one and two, then digitising those mark-ups into electronic format for inclusion into GIS. The data is organised into layers and maps are then created depicting the landscape as articulated by the ancient narratives. The role of the *paepae* is examined at this stage, as it is the link between cultural space and geographic space. Although this stage is the simplest, it is the part that requires careful consideration as it challenges the cultural notions of both the *mana* and *tapu* of the cultural knowledge.

Māori treat their cultural knowledge with a measure of sacredness (*tapu*), as it forms part of their identity (*mana*) as a unique tribe (*iwi*) in Aotearoa and by extension in the world. The challenge in reproducing a map is to represent this cultural information in a manner that will not diminish the *mana* and *tapu* of the cultural information.

**Maps of cultural knowledge**
Creating maps of cultural landscapes and heritage are important for communicating with the outside world and interpreting the landscape. Maps can communicate value to local communities, international visitors and non-visitors. Māori can use maps to communicate the value of their culture and of their cultural heritage to the outside world in meaningful ways.
Maps provide the means for one culture to communicate to another using a common medium. An example of where these types of maps may prove useful would be with District or Regional Councils who are responsible for development in the region or even preparing the oral information to support a claim to the Waitangi Tribunal. In these examples, a map is a great way of being able to communicate the location of significant cultural heritage sites to the councils; maps can also communicate to the Tribunal the extent of the use and occupation of the area under claim.

Cultural knowledge in the Māori world is passed on using a collection of oral techniques; maps can be used to complement this process of intergenerational dialogue and transmission of knowledge using a series of maps illustrating the ancestral landscape. Other meaningful reasons to create maps include: the preservation of cultural heritage, management of cultural assets and heritage, resource and land use management and the identification and documentation of cultural resources.

This chapter, whilst concerned with maintaining the mana and integrity of cultural knowledge in the process of mapping, is focussed on creating a method for mapping Māori ancestral landscapes based on the oral narratives of mōteatea and using the concept of the paepae to link cultural knowledge to the geographical component.

**Methodology**
The purpose for interrogating the narratives was to illustrate how Māori interpret their landscapes and how they used oral narratives to map the spatial extent of their cultural space. The approach in determining cultural space was to look at the oral traditions of the Māori forefathers to determine what cultural space looked like to them. The spatial extent of that space would then be determined by creating a cultural map from the oral assets contained within mōteatea thus attempting to represent the cultural landscape as defined by mōteatea. Hence the process of determining the spatial extent of cultural space involves identifying the spatial assets inherent in the oral traditions; these are termed cultural assets. Cultural space is then projected that into cartographic space to create the spatial extent of those cultural assets. Cultural space remains cultural space as it is merely projected into cartographic space; hence both cultural space and
cartographic space remain unchanged. Cultural space and cartographic space is then linked together using the concept of the paepae.

To produce the initial sketches of the mōteatea involved several logical steps: first, identifying the spatial components within the narratives; second, creating a biographical sketch of the narrative information in the order in which it unfolded in the chant; third, developing an appropriate database to store the data and metadata; and fourth populating the database with the narrative data and appropriate metadata.

The Narratives
The narratives explored in this chapter are contained in seven culturally significant mōteatea, each with different themes. Each mōteatea provided sufficient cultural data to test the theory of mapping oral histories. The first was an oriori. An oriori is a classical chant composed for very young children of chiefly or warrior lineage. These types of chants were used to educate them in matters appropriate to their lineage. They were often chanted to the infant providing references to myth, historical events, significant sites and landmarks, rituals, tradition, whakapapa and well-known mountain ranges. Oriori were often used to preserve and pass on important tribal traditions. Compositions of this nature were often used to imbue and enculturate the youth with the myths and traditions significant to their people. The oriori composed for Tamaungaoterangi follows the classic style described above and was instrumental in title investigations in that region to the Māori Land Court (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3).

The second and third mōteatea originate from different parts of the East coast of the North island of Aotearoa New Zealand. The second mōteatea is a waiata tangi. This type of mōteatea is a lament, a farewell tribute to honour those who have died or been killed. Te Kani-a-takirau, an important chief of the region, composed this particular waiata tangi for his only son and heir Waikari, whose loss could never be replaced. The song refers to an incident prior to the death of Waikari leading to the establishment of a unique boundary mark. The third mōteatea is another oriori composed for Ahuahu-ki-te-rangi, a child born of chiefly rank. This oriori contains references to illustrious
ancestors and significant sites and was designed to educate and guide the child and imbue this child with sacred knowledge of who she was.

The fourth and fifth mōteatea were composed by Puhiwahine. The fourth mōteatea is a widely recognised waiata aroha, a song about forbidden love between two close relatives that was frowned upon and eventually prevented. This song contains references to significant places, ancestors and to a marriage between two great mountains in the Taupō region whose union was sanctified by Ranginui the sky father himself. This waiata, Ka Eke ki Wairaka, is part of a journey for the composer who fifty years after she had composed her song met with her former lover. The fifth mōteatea is an oriori composed by Puhiwahine for her mokopuna (grandchild). It contains whakapapa, well-known landmarks and ancestral events.

The sixth mōteatea is a waiata tangi or lament composed for Te Arakau te Umu of Te Arawa who was killed in battle. Part of this mōteatea is selected because of the references it contains to pathways; it provides an apt example of how well the ancestors of the Māori understood their cultural landscape and were able to capture that notion in mōteatea. It is a prime example of how Māori created ‘maps’ of their landscapes using oral narratives confirming their ability to navigate through geographical space.

The seventh mōteatea is an oriori composed for Wharau Rangi and is an example of a mōteatea that records the incidents leading to the naming (a taunahatanga) of the rivers down the lower part of the west coast of the Te Ika a Māui the North Island of Aotearoa from Whanganui to Waikanae.

Each of these mōteatea are interrogated one by one, carefully extracting any references to geographical place that defined a spiritual or physical relationship to the land. Whakapapa or genealogies, important ancestors that inhabited certain places and information related to each place were also noted. Key information regarding significant activities such as harvesting, hunting, and battles related to place, or the location or fixing of harvesting areas using the natural features of the earth, or temporary settlements or permanent settlements, or actual significant 'markers' or
'features' of the heavens, land or sea were also noted. All this information relates to the way the ancestors of the Māori used and occupied their ancestral domains thus creating cultural space. The concept of the paepae is introduced as a space created by merging two worlds: cultural space as defined by cultural information contained in mōteatea and geographical space defined by a geographical framework.

The next stage is to create a sketch of the cultural information on a blank canvas; a biographical sketch representing the composer’s complex cultural knowledge. Our task at this stage is merely to plot or fix each reference to place. Our canvas has no grid lines, no coordinates, no roads, no cadastre (boundary lines) and no hydrology or topography. At this stage there is no shape to the space; all the significant events, people, cultural references and historical places are plotted in the order in which that information unfolded in the mōteatea in a continuous and seamless path weaving through time, events and place.

Section three: mapping the mōteatea

Mōteatea I: He oriori mō Tamaunga o te rangi
This is a very complex and detailed oriori from Te Kawakawa mai tawhito region, now known as Te Araroa the East Cape of Te Ika a Māui, the North Island of New Zealand. It contains numerous place names, significant landmarks, relevant whakapapa, and references to historical accounts and stories. This oriori was used in Māori Land Court determinations because of the content (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:39).
This oriori (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:38-55) was composed for Tamaunga o te rangi by Maperetahi and begins by reciting illustrious whakapapa or genealogies. The first verse refers to some of the progeny of Tangaroa, the god of the seas, who are associated with knowledge and thinking as well as carving and the weaving of cloaks. These ancestors are Ruatepupuke, Ruatemahara and Ruatehotahota. Two lines in this verse (Tēnā anō rā tō tāua kahu and Hei kahu rā mō tāua ki te pō) refer to a kahu or cloak woven by these ancestors, which possibly refer to cloaks of knowledge. Also within this first passage are references to important plants: rauaruhe, kiekie and harakeke. The rauaruhe was a food source whilst the kiekie and harakeke were important plants used for weaving mats, baskets, tukutuku panels and cloaks (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:42). (Emphasis added)

E tama e! He tangi aha tō tangi?
He tangi anō rā, he whakahuru kore,
He tū nō tō kiri te tara rauaruhe.

Taku kore rawa nei ki te rau kiekie,
Taku noho tonu nei ki te rau harakeke,
Tēnā anō rā tō tāua kahu,
Nā tō matua rā nāna i waihanga,

O Tama! This crying is your cry for what?
One cries, of course when there is no shelter
Or the skin is pricked with a sharp-pointed fern leaf splinter
Devoid am I of the kiekie leaf
Content must I be with the flax leaf
There is, of course, our cloak
It was woven by your parent,

---

Nā Ruatopupuke, nā Ruatemahara, nā Te Rua-te-hotahota, nā Tua-waihangana
Hei kahu rā mō tāua ki te pō.

By recess-of-knowledge, recess-of-thought
Recess-of-enterprise and by Prodigy-of-learning
As a robe for us to the realms of night

The passage that follows introduces several significant places. First mentioned is Te Tawai, followed by Taumutu and Omarumapere143 (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:42-44).

(Emphasis added)

Piki atu e koe ngā pikitanga kino
I roto o Te Tawai, hāngai te titiro
Ki te waka heke mai i waho o Taumutu
Whakaangi i runga rā, kia tae atu ana
Omarumapere, hei a te Pare-mamaku

You are to climb by the steep ascents
Within the vale of TE TAWAI, now look straight
At the canoe descending from TAUMUTU
Scale yonder heights, so that you may reach
OMARUMAPERE and there meet PAREMAMAKU

In the above passage, Tamaunga is encouraged to climb the hill Te Tawai and from the summit look out towards the ocean to the canoe coming in from Taumutu (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3). Taumutu is significant in several ways: it is a passage way for canoes to shore, a calm pool of clear water, and a taunga ika or fishing ground. The Awatere River, in Te Araroa, flows out towards Taumutu. The taunga ika is found by aligning both Te Tawai (a puke or hill) and Omarumapere (a puke or hill) with the sun in its position at noon.

Te Tawai is a hill above Waione and is also the name of a pā site over the other side of the hill Te Tawai. Pare-mamaku is a chiefly female ancestor. Omarumapere is a puke or hill in the Whetumatarau block in the Manga-o-wira valley situated near Karangaroa (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3).

The segment below is replete with significant places known for harvesting of certain foods (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3: 44). (Emphasis added)

---

143 NOTE: all the significant places are capitalised, italicised and underlined for emphasis
You are now to listen for the voice
Of your sister, RUA-KAPANGA-NUI
Trace it to TE KAHIKA,
Thence on to RAPARAPA.
Hasten the footsteps
And you may yet overtake her
Enter the wild fern-lands
Among the hills of KARANGA-ROA.
pondering the while
“What lands may this be?” you will now
descend into TE HOUI, and there you will
bathe in the clear waters of MANGA-O-WIRA
now ascend up on to TE NIHO-O-TE-KIORE,
and you will then wend your way to TE MATA O RANGARANGA
thence onward and you will reach a home

Te Kahika, Te Raparapa and Te Karangaroa are used to identify the location of a
particular hunting ground known for harvesting birds. Te kahika and Te Raparapa are
referred to in the text as streams; they are also puke. Te Manu-a-Ruakapanga, otherwise
known as the great bird of Ruakapanga, is a key figure in the stories relating to the
kumara being brought to this country from a place called Parinuitera in the ancient
homelands of Hawaiki. Hence, the use of Ruakapanganui in the passage above refers to
the huge birds or huge numbers of birds found in the region.

Te Houi, Manga-o-wira and Te Niho-o-te-kiore are areas known to harvest kiore (rat)
and fresh water fish. Te Houi is located in several different areas: first within Te
Whetumatarau, second within Mau-totara and on the boundary with Orangimarama,
third, near Kopua-pounamu and fourth in the Manga-o-wira valley. Manga-o-wira is
mentioned in the text as “ngā wai ratarata i roto Manga-o-wira” or “the clear waters of
Manga-o-wira”. Manga-o-wira is a large river that flows into the Awatere River

Kia whakarongo koe te mahi a-waha
Nō tō tuāhine, nō Rua-kapanga-nui
Whaia atu rā kai Te Kahika e
Kai Te Raparapa e
Ka hikoī te haere
Me kore e mau i a koe
Kuhu atu e koe ngā rauarune kino
I roto Karangaroa, kia ui e roto

Ko hea tēnei whenua? heke atu e koe
I roto o Te Houi, kia kau rā koe
I ngā wai ratarata i roto Manga-o-wira
Kia whakaeke koe te Niho-o-te-kiore
E whawhai atu ana Te Mata o Rangaranga

Ka puta ka tae koe kai te kāinga nā
Te Niho-o-te-kiore was known as a village and a place where the hinau trees grew (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:48-49). Te Mata-o-Rangaranga is described in the text as
a village in Omaika (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:48-49); Te Mata-o-Rangaranga is also a cliff face where *kumara* grounds were terraced into the face of the cliff.

The passage below contains references to several significant harvesting and habitation areas and important persons: *Te Kaweka, Wai-puia, Te Peka a Haumia, Te Warowaro, Mate-te-rangi, Pakuri, Hapu-Poia, and Porou-Rangi* (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:44).

(Emphasis added)

Be alert and upstanding, grasp your spear
You are to ascend the valley of *TE KAWEKA*
Take you the ridge trail, and do not
Go by the low road, stay on the high lands,
Up there on *WAIPUIA*, and you will ascend
*TE PEKA A HAUMIA*. Now incline your ear
And listen to the joyful shouting, look you and see
The twin dividing waters, and the fires now alight
Within *TE WAROWARO*; (they are) of *MATE-TE-RANGI*, of *TE PAKURI*, of *HAPU-POIA*,
(Yea), of *POROU-RANGI* too!
There, too, is the gum of your mother’s flax,
To place in your mouth in the *noa* rite

*Te Kaweka* has been described as a hill, stream, cultivation and a ridge (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:51). The *Kaweka* stream is within the block known as *Omaika* and is close to *te Niho-o-te-Kiore* (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:51). The *Kaweka* ridge runs in a southerly direction from *Manga-o-wira* (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:51). The old trail began at *Totara-nui* situated at the mouth of the *Kotikoti-toki*, along the *kaweka* hill to *Waipuia*, then on to *Kohipu* through to *Te Pa-o-Ngaoho* and towards *Kopuapounamu* and *Tauranga-kautuku* (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:51).

The phrase “*ngā wai weherua*”, refers to two branches of the *Awatere* River, the *Kopuapounamu* and the *Tauranga-kautuku* (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:44, 51). *Te Waipuia* is a stream whilst *Te Peka-a-Haumia* is described as a overhanging high point on *te Tihi-o-manono* (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:51). *Te Warowaro* was the site of an ancient cultivation area whilst another harvesting area was defined by *te Kaweka, Waipuia* and *Te Peka-a-Haumia* (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:51).
Mate-te-rangi, Te Pa Kuri, Hapu-Poia, and Porou-Rangi are ancestors; Mate-te-rangi, Hapu Poia, and Te Pa Kuri were also names given to settlements.

There is a reference to harakeke (flax) in the phrase “te pia o te harakeke” at Te Warowaro which was harvested for a variety of uses including weaving of clothing and as a remedy for illness (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:50, 51).

The short verse below contains references to significant harvesting places in the region of Omaika known as Umukuri, Te Weka a Umutapu, and Mairenui (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:46, 51). (Emphasis added)

E tapu tō tīra, e ngere i a koe. A sacred traveler are you, wherefore remove now your sanctity
Arahina atu rā hai te Umukuri, You are to be escorted to TE UMU-KURI
Te Weka a Umutapu, hai Mairenui, e; And to TE WEKA A UMU-TAPU at MAIRENUI
Ka tāwhi tō ringa, kāti ka hoki mai; You will wave your hand in salutation before returning;
Ka ō koe kai roto kai te tāpui, nā. For you are now with your kinsfolk

Te Umukuri and Mairenui were places known for collecting aruhe or fern-root whilst Te Weka a Umutapu was an old overgrown clearing (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:50, 51).

The section that follows refers to a hill known as Wharerata and a flat fertile area known as Tapapahapu (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:46, 53). (Emphasis added)

I a Whatiua, e, i a Waiaua, (You are now) with WHATI-UA, and WAIAUA
Ka haere tātau i te ranga mārō, Let us therefore go by the stern pathway
He kura takai puni, he tukutahi te tohu: To overwhelm the encampment in the headlong charge:
Waitohungia rā! Yea, let it be so dedicated!
Ki roto te Wharerata ko te Hamaiwaho, There within TE WHARE-RATA is TE HA-MAI-
Ko Hineihorahia; ki Tapapahapu WAHO And HINE-I-HORAHIA; at TAPAPA-HAPU
Ko te Koha, e, ko Rangiwakaratoa, Is TE KOHA, indeed, and RANGI-WHAKARATOA
Ka tika ko te hono! Verily they are worthy kinsfolk!
Takitakina rā! To be greeted in speech and song!
Mentioned in the above verse are several ancestors and kinfolk of Tamaunga: Whatiua, Waiaua, Te Hamaiwaho, Hineihorahia, Te Koha and Rangiwhakaratoa (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:53). This verse also contains three phrases that refer to aspects of a battle: “te ranga-mārō”, “he kura takai puni” and “he tukutahi te tohu” (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:46). “Ranga-mārō” refers to a war party which will not turn back, and who will prevail or die in battle (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:53); “he kura takai puni” is a phrase that describes the attacking strategy of a war party (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:53); whilst “he tukutahi te tohu” is another expression that describes an attacking strategy or formation (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:53).

Spreads throughout the extract below are references to harvesting areas (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:46). (Emphasis added)

E Hora, te hū o te pūoro, kia whai rā koe
Te tira te rōreka, kia mau ko te hā
Ki runga o Totara, Mā Tarawehi rā,
Māna e kī mai, “Haramai rā, ē,
Ka haere tātau i te ara ngāwari.”
Kia kau koutou i roto te Matai;
Kia ui e roto ki te maunga tipua,
Nā Paoa rā, e!
Ka haruru, ka tae koutou kai te Kawakawa, nā.

O Hora! Sing the song, let us hear you
Join the chorus in sweet song, let the lingering notes
Be heard up there on TOTARA, ‘Twill be Tara-wehi
Who will say, “Come hither,
Let us now go by the easy pathway.”
You will all swim across TE MATAI;
Inwardly apprehensive of the sacred mount, ‘Tis of Paoa, no less!
The rumbling noise is to herald your arrival at TE KAWAKA

The reference to Totara (Podocarpus Totara), Te Matai (Prumnopitys taxifolia) and Kawakawa (Macropiper excelsum) indicated a forest region used for harvesting. The nearby bay also yielded certain types of pōhatu (rocks) that were frequently used.

Te Matai was also a crossing near the mouth of the Awatere River whilst Totara was a high point above Te Araroa and is the point where descent to Awatere took place (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:53). Kawakawa was a sacred place situated above the cultivation at Wai-ha-pokopoko; its full name, Te Kawakawa-mai-tawhiti was the old name of Te Araroa (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:53).

The final verse refers to Matokerau as “he wai nō te pō”

Tēnā rā Matokerau, he wai nō te pō,
Thereabouts is MA-TOKERAU, with waters
Matokerau is an ancient spring on the bank of the Awatere River (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:53); it is also one of the stars within Mahūtonga, the Southern Cross constellation. The line: *Te whana kai mānuka* is in reference to a fighting unit that used *mānuka taiaha* as weapons (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:53). This unit would practice fighting on the beach hence the two phrases: “*kia whakarongo koe te huhū o te whiu*”, signalling the swish of weapons; and “*kia whakarongo koe te kekē pōhatu*” referring to the pebbles rattling as they fought on the beach (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:55).

**Mōteatea II: Te Waikari**
The second mōteatea entitled *He tangi mō te Waikari*, (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 2:190-193) is a waiata tangi or lament composed by Te Kani-a-takirau for his son Te Waikari. Te Kani-a-Takirau was the paramount chief of the east coast in his time; his son Te Waikari was the heir apparent. Te Waikari, known as Te Kairangatira the noble or anointed one, was betrothed to Tapatapaitu the daughter of Te Houkamau Te Nui from Wharekahika. Te Waikari went to Wharekahika to live with her but she died before they could marry. He returned home to Uawa broken hearted and died soon after of a broken heart. His father, however, suspected that he had been cursed.

This is a relatively short song that tells of an incident that occurred prior to the death of Te Waikari that led to the establishment of a boundary marker known as *te pou a te Kani*. The lament begins with reference to the capture of two men, Mahuika and Te Rerehorua, following a battle at Tautini pā site (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 2:190-191). (Emphasis added)

---

144 *Taiaha* is a long hand-held weapon much like a staff; this one is constructed out of the wood from a *Manuka* tree
The two captives had their hearts roasted in a ceremony known as *ahi manawa* and then eaten by *Te Kani* at a place called *Waikoro* on the side of *Titirangi* Mountain in the *Uawa* region. Their severed hands were then secured to a post known as *te pou a Te Kani* at a place called *Te Mawhai*. This *pou* served as an effective boundary marker warning neighbouring tribes of the consequences of crossing the boundary.
Figure 6. 3: Biographical Sketch of Te Waikari Waiata Tangi
The rest of the lament continues with Tokomaru a seaside settlement on the East Coast of Te Ika-a-Māui the North Island of New Zealand and pays tribute to his only son with classical phrases. Te Kani refers to his only son as te rau o Titapu an expression of respect for and to a person. Titapu, the feathers of birds such as the Toroa or Kotuku were worn by high-ranking individuals (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 2:190-191). (Emphasis added)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mōtatea III: he oriori mō Ahuahu ki te rangi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The third mōtatea is an oriori composed by Hinekitawhiti for her mokopuna (granddaughter) Ahuahukiterangi, a child born of high rank (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 1:2-7). Ahuahukiterangi inherited her rank and tapu or sacredness from her illustrious ancestors Tuariki and Porouhorea who are revealed in the opening lines of the song. Hinekitawhiti, a descendant of Te Aotawarirangi who was a mokopuna of Hauiti, was living in Te Ariuru at the time she composed this waiata.

The purpose of this oriori was to educate and instruct the child in her chiefly ancestry, sacred places and associated histories. It begins with whakapapa, introduces scared geographical places and ends with symbols of the child’s chiefly lineage (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 1:4). (Emphasis added)
May you be set apart, as is fitting for a descendant of *Tuariki*;
May you be set apart, as is fitting for a descendant of *Porouhorea*;
Let only your younger relative be free from restriction.
Soar gracefully on high, O chieftainess,
And do not descend too near to the common places.
Project your journey to *Ngāpunarua*
Then turn your eyes to the interlaced mists,
Which float above *Kautuku*; for the maiden
Seeks the first-born line from *Hinemakaho*,
Such as *Hinerautu* and *Tikitikiorangi*;
And there you will be with your elder.

The opening lines begin with the *whakapapa* or genealogy that defines the child’s status in her society with reference to *Tuariki* and *Porouhorea*. The chant then mentions two scared places *Ngāpunarua* and *Te kautuku* both of which have defining roles in the history of the east coast of the *Te ika a Māui*, the North Island of New Zealand. Equally important is the advice given to the child thus: *Whakaangi i runga rā he kauwhau ariki e, Koi tata iho koe ki ngā wāhi noa*. She is exorted not to waste her time visiting common places or mixing with common folk but to visit sacred places such as *Ngāpunarua* and *Te kautuku*, places that were associated with the Chief *Tikitikiorangi*. Other persons mentioned in the passage above are *Hinemakaho* and *Hinerautu* are associated with *ariki whakapapa*.

*Te Kautuku* is also the name given to a range of mountains to which the chief *Tikitikiorangi* was closely associated with. This range is a known navigation point for both fishermen and travellers (Beckwith 2007).
Figure 6.4: Biographical Sketch of Hinekitawhiti oriori
The following verse contains instructions regarding food; not food from the common oven, but food reserved for her as an *ariki* (of chiefly status). In this respect, *Punaruku* is one significant place mentioned in this verse along with *Te Rangitumoa* the ancestor who resided there (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 1:4). (Emphasis added)

Åna, e koro! Auaka e whāngaia ki te umu nui,  
Do not, O sir, give her food from the common earth-oven,  
whāngaia iho rā ki te umu ki tahaki, hai  
But feed her from the oven reserved for her kind,  
*Te pongi matapo hei katamu māhana,*  
With the dark-fleshed taro, that she may chew with relish,  
*Kia ora ai hine takawhaki atu ana ngā*  
And be sustained, when presently in her roaming  
*Moka one rā i roto o Punaruku, tē,*  
She comes to the small stretches of beach inside *PUNARUKU.*

---

Mā Te Rangitumoana māna e whakapeka,  
Moe rawa ki konā, ē!

There TE RANGITUMOANA will invite her  
To turn aside and rest the night.

_Punaruku_ is an underwater cave north of _Te Kawakawa_ in _te Araroa_ where _kutai_ (mussels) were obtained. It was a place of residence of _Te Rangitumoana_ a descendant of _Makahuri_ to whom the child _Ahuahukiterangi_ is descended from.

The third verse contains references to two significant places and two ancestors. _Te Huia_ is a hill near the mouth of the _Whangaparaoa_ River and was where _Ngarangikamaea_ a descendant of _Makahuri_ lived. The other significant place is _Tawhitinui a pā_ site at _Raukokore_. The other person mentioned is _Kakahu_ who is of chiefly lineage and descended from _Makahuri_ (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 1:4). (Emphasis added)

Māu e kī atu, “arahina ake au ki  
Runga o _Te Huia_ kia a _Ngarangikamaea_,  
_kia mārama au ki roto_ _Tawhitinui_,  
Tēnā rā _Kakahu_ māna e ut mai  
“Nā Wai rā tēnei tamaiti, ē?”

Say to him, “Lead me  
To lofty _TE HUIA_, to _NGARANGIKAMAEAA_.  
Whence I may see clearly into _TAWHITINUI_.  
_KAKAHU_ will be there to ask,  
‘Whose child may this be?’

The final verse in this _oriori_ follows a similar pattern to the previous verses referring to _ariki whakapapa_ and ancestral places. Towards the end, it mentions symbols or _taonga_ of chiefly lineage (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 1:4). (Emphasis added)

Māu e kī atu. “_Nā Te Au o Mawake_, ”  
kia _tangi mai ai ō tuākana kōkā_,  
“I Haramai rā koe ngā kauanga i _Kaituri_, nā!”  
I haramai rā koe ngā uru karaka i _te Ariuru_, nā  
_Hau te mau mai i ngā taonga o_ _Wharawhara_, hai  
_Tohu rā mōhou, ko hēngia koe_,  
_Ko _Te Paekura_ ki tō taringa, ko _Waikanae_ ki tō ringa, hai_  
_Taputapu mōhou, e hine!”

You will tell her, you are of _TE AU O MAWAKE_;  
So that your relatives may greet you and cry  
“Ah! you have come from the crossings at _KAITURI_.  
You have come indeed from the karaka groves at _TE ARIURU_.  
You are bedecked with the ornaments of _WHARAWHARA_  
To signify, that no one may mistake you,  
_TE PAEKURA_ pendent from your ear,  
_WAIKANAE_ in your hand—  
_Precious things for you, little maid_”.

_Te au o Mawake_ is the son of _Makahuri_ from whom most of the chiefly lines of the East Coast trace descent. _Kaituri_ is a crossing at the creek south of _Te Ariuru_, called
Waitakeo. *Te Ariuru* is a settlement nestled within *Tokomaru* and is north of the *Waitakeo* stream.

*Paekura* and *Waikanae*, are two notable *taonga* or treasured articles. *Paekura* is a *tautau* or a *whakakai taringa* (an ear pendant) whilst *Waikanae* has been described as a *patu pounamu* although some have described it as a *toki pounamu*.

**Mōteatea IV: The Journey of Puhiwahine**

One of many *waiata* composed by *Rihi Puhiwahine Te Rangihirawea* of Ngāti Tūwharetoa and Ngāti Maniapoto descent, *Ka Eke ki Wairaka* is a short song about forbidden love that contains significant historical events, key landmarks and eminent ancestors (*Ngata & Jones* 2006, part 1:198-201). *Puhiwahine* becomes romantically involved with a close kin of hers *Te Toko*, otherwise known as *Mahutu*, a chief of Waikato and Maniapoto descent. The relationship is frowned upon by her immediate family who, when they found out about the affair, came and took her back home to the Taupō region. She becomes distraught at this. Another version of this account has *Puhiwahine* leaving the region distraught because *Te Toko*, with whom she had fallen in love with, had taken another woman for his wife.¹⁴⁶

Both versions however have her leaving her hill-top village of *Hikurangi* on the slopes of *Pirongia* Mountain heading east across the *Waipā* valley towards *Ōwairaka* via *Kihikihi*, *Orākau*, and *Parawera*. Following a brief stopover at *Owairaka*, *Puhiwahine* ascends to *Aratitaha* which is situated on the slopes of *Maungatautari*, from where she looks longingly back (*ka tāhuri whakamuri*) towards the peaks of *Kakepuku* and beyond to *Pirongia*; it is at *Aratitaha* that she composes her famous song of farewell, her *waiata aroha*, her love song for *Te Toko* her former lover. She then descends the southern slopes from *Aratitaha* towards the *Waikato* River where her view of those

mountains are hidden from her; from there she travels back to the Taupō region in the south.¹⁴⁷

This is the only part of her journey back to the Taupō region that we can conclude directly from the body of this waiata. The waiata then refers to a significant historical incident that led to geothermal activity in the Taupō region and the union of Pihanga and Tongariro; a marriage that was consecrated by Ranginui the sky father himself. She also cites Hawaiiki the ancient homelands in reference to an important Tūwharetoa ancestor Ngātoroirangi who is connected to the stories of how geothermal activity came to Aotearoa. This song also refers to significant places in the Taupō region such as the sacred mountains Tongariro and Pihanga.

In the following passage of the waiata, Puhiwahine refers to Wairaka, short for Ōwairaka, Kakepuku, which is short for Kakepuku-te-araro-o-Kahu, and Pirongia otherwise known as Pirongia-te-araro-o-Kahu, all prominent places of her former home. Ōwairaka is a village near the stream Ōwairaka in the Maungatautari region whilst Kakepuku and Pirongia are prominent mountains.

Figure 6.6: Biographical Sketch of Puhiwahine's waiata
In the next passage Puhiwahine refers to her whenua tipu, the place where she was reared, describing it as te wai koropupū, the boiling pools which are widespread in the Taupō region. These are connected to the ancestor Ngātoroirangi who is credited with bringing the wai koropupū to Aotearoa and for the incident from which Tongariro derives its name.\(^{148}\) In the waiata above it is the fire gods Te Pupu and Te Hoata who come to his aid leaving a trail of fire in their wake from Hawaiki through to Tongariro. They travelled under sea and land and whenever they paused and rose to the surface to get their bearings and to take a breath they left part of the fire they carried at all these places, thus creating the geothermal system that Aotearoa New Zealand is widely known for today.

\[\text{Kāti au ka hoki ki taku whenua tipu} \]
\[\text{Ki te wai koropupū I heria mai nei} \]
\[\text{I Hawaiki rā anō e Ngātoroirangi} \]
\[\text{E ōna tuāhine Te Hoata- u- Te Pupu} \]
\[\text{E hū rā i Tongariro ka mahana i tuku kiri} \]
\[\text{Nā rangi mai anō, nāna i mārena} \]
\[\text{Ko Pihanga te wahine, ai ua, ai hau,} \]
\[\text{Ai marangai ki te muri ē, kōkiri} \]

But now I return to my native land
To the boiling pools there, which were bought from distant HAWAIKI by NGĀTOTORIRANGI
And his sisters TE HOATA and TE PUPU;
To fume up there on TONGARIRO, giving warmth to my body
It was RANGI who did join him in wedlock
With PIHANGA as the bride, hence the rain, wind, And the storms in the west; leap forth (my love)!

In the above passage, Te Hoata and Te Pupu are referred to as the sisters of Ngātoroirangi; what we do know is these two were instrumental in bringing the fire to Aotearoa. Tongariro the sacred mountain of Tūwharetoa derives its name from this incident “ka riro au i te tonga”; to be overcome or seized with cold.

\(^{148}\) See Chapter one for the full story of Ngātoroirangi and the incident with Tongariro
Puhiwahine and Te Mahutu Te Toko first met at Whatiwhatihoe a small village situated at the foot of Pirongia Mountain. Huge tribal gatherings were held at Whatiwhatihoe that often attracted hundreds of people (Jones, 1960, 29:18). When they were separated, it would be at least fifty years before they were to meet again.

Mōteatea V: Puhiwahine’s oriori for her mokopuna
The following oriori is one composed by Puhiwahine for her mokopuna, grandchild, who had not as yet been born (Jones, 1960, 31:17-19). It comprises of four verses and is filled with places and whakapapa native to her homelands of Tūwharetoa in the Taupō region. The first verse opens with ‘e hine rānei, e tama rānei’, without knowing whether the unborn child would be a girl (hine) or boy (tama) (Jones, 1960, 31:18). (Emphasis added)
If maid you be, or if son you be!
You two will emerge unawares by the river at ‘TIKEI. ‘
The quarrelling ground of your full-manned canoes.
Alas, I doubt you two will be deserving heirs
Of mine, after I am gone.
I am really nothing, a wasted thing,
And men (who were men) have passed away

‘TIKEI is an abbreviated form for Rangitikei the region which is also the name of the river in that part of the country.

The second verse below contains references to Motutere and Motuoapa on the east side of Lake Taupō; Whareroa and Pukawa on the west side of the lake; and Rangipō and Patea in the southern parts of the Ngāti Tūwharetoa rohe. Patea is the name of the region in and around Taihape. Pine was a chief of Ngāti Whiti – Tama of the Patea region. ‘Tiuka Ienepara’ is the name Puhiwahine gave to the brooch presented to her by the then Duke of Edinburgh (Jones, 1960, 31:17). (Emphasis added)

The third verse refers to two of the sacred mountain of Tūwharetoa; Tongariro and Pihanga. They are referred to in Puhiwahine’s song ‘Ka Eke ki Wairaka’ and in the stories about the battle between all the mountains of the central plateau over the hand of Pihanga. All the mountains were in that region including Taranaki, Tauhara, Putauaki, and Tongariro. The mountain warriors fought for her hand and after a lengthy battle Tongariro emerged the victor. The defeated mountains left the region travelling during
the dark until daybreak when they were fixed in their current positions. Other versions include Moutohora, Whakaari and Te Paepae o Aotea in the battle.

Ancestors are mentioned in verse three including: Tūwharetoa the eponymous ancestor of the tribe Ngāti Tūwharetoa, Tūtetawha and his wife Hinemihi and two of their children Te Rangiita and Parapara-a-hika. Orākau and Rangiaohia are both significant sites in Maniapoto territory. Orākau is the site of a famous land-war battle whilst Rangiaohia is a battle site and a former village of Ngāti Apakura (Jones, 1960, 31:19).

Tūtetawha is also associated with a place called Ōruraiwi, the final resting place of Puhiwahine. A peace pact was formed between Tūtetawha and Te Kanawa of the Maniapoto tribe; the site of that rongo taketake (peace pact) is called Ō-rua-iwi meaning ‘of two peoples’ (Jones, 1960, 31:18). (Emphasis added)

Ko maunga kau te tū ki te uru!
Arohirohi ana te tihi ki Tongariro
Tītaha te haere i te take o Pihanga,
E tua takahi ana te papa ki te puia.
Ka kitea mai korua e ō korua kuia—
“Nā wai ēnei tamariki e haere nei?”
Kiia atu anō, “Kei te raunatia
“Ki Orākau rā, ki Rangiaohia rā.
“He koata-kaihe nā te Pākehā
“Nāna nei i huna iho ka ngaro te motu nei.

“Nā Tūtetawha, nā Te Rangiita,
“Nā Paraparahika, nā Tūwharetoa, nā Hinemihi
“Māua nei, ē”
“Kātahi ka hoki mai te ewe ki te rauru,
“Ki te rua i moe aī, ki te u kai-po.”

Ka matauria korua, nā, ī

A lonely mountain stands there in the west!
See now the shimmering summit of TONGARIRO.
Onward we go by the foothills of PIHANGA,
Trudging on across flat lands to the thermal pools.
You two will soon be seen by your grandsires and grandams.
“Whose children are these coming here?” (they will ask.)
Say to them, “We are travelling around
“To ORĀKAU and on to RANGIAOHIA over yonder.
“We are quarter-castes begotten of a Pakeha,
“He who has overrun and lost (us) this land.
(Tell them) “By TŪTETAWHA, by TE RANGIITA,
“By PARAPARA-A-HIKA, by TŪWHARETOA, and by HINEMIHI
“Are we two, indeed”
“Only now have we returned to our native land,
“To the cradle to sleep and suckle a mother's breast.”
You two will now be recognised, and all will be well.

In the final verse are references to several places and some ancestors: Waihī is the centre of the Turumakina, the hapū or sub-tribe of Te Heuheu; Te Piata was of
Turumakina sub-tribe and Te Rohu was her relative. Ngamotu, Te Makiwhara and Rewi are Puhiwahine’s relatives. Rewi is the famous chief Rewi Maniapoto who fought at the Orākau engagement against Crown forces. Taupō refers to the region surrounding the lake and to the lake itself; the full name is Taupōnui-a-Tia. Taupō is considered the hub of the Ngāti Tūwharetoa tribe (Jones, 1960, 31:18). (Emphasis added)

Hoki atu ki roto rā te koko ki Waihī, Come back here and let us go into the cove at WAIHĪ.

Ka pā mai te karanga Where the welcome call will come
A Te Piata, a Te Rohu. From TE PIATA and TE ROHU.

Kia matau atu he whaea ēnā Know you now they are your aunts
Taupiripiri ana, ka rite koutou. Closely linked as kinsmen are you all.

Mā māua anō ko taka hoa muringa, Now of my companion of these latter days
Uia atu anō, “Kei whea a Ngāmotu? I shall ask, “Where is NGĀMOTU?
“Kei whea a Te Makiwhara?” “And where is TE MAKIWHARA?”

Ōku nei tungaane kei raro noa atu. My cousins, alas, are both far in the north;
Kei a Rewi mā, kei tōna muinga, ē, They are with REWI and his many tribes.
Me tuhituhi atu ki te reta pukapuka Let a letter be written on writing paper
Kia hoki mai ana ka noho koutou That, on your return. you all will abide
Te Riu ki Taupō, nā, ē Upon the shores of TAUPŌ, ah me

Mōteatea VI: Te Arakau te Umu
The following is a waiata tangi or lament composed by Te Rangi-kaua-riro for Te Arakau a warrior killed in battle by Ngāti Whakaue of Te Arawa at a place called Te Whakarua just out from Ohinemutu in Rotorua (Ngata & Jones 2006, part 3:360-367). As indicated in section two, this particular lament was selected to illustrate the ease at which the early Māori were able to navigate their territories using oral narratives. The song is filled with references to pathways: verse three refers to a track used to travel from Rotorua through to Tauranga; verse four refers to a southerly track through Tarawera whilst verse five refers to the pathway of Tawhao. However, it is verse six, the last verse in this composition that describes a northerly trail from Rotorua towards Whakatāne.

Verse three below refers to the trail to Tauranga that was used by Te Arakau. He would travel by way of Mangorewa a rock-bottom crossing. Along the way he would be

---

149 The last line of verse one mentions Te Whakarua: “ngā hurihuri manuka raro Te Whakarua”.
guided by Tau, his close relative, to the ‘many inlets’, ngā whanga e rau, of Tauranga where he would ‘feast’ or haukai with his relative Pare-Titi. This is the same trail that his relative, Pare-Titi from Tauranga would use when visiting Rotorua.

Verse four below also refers to another trail; this one in a southerly direction through Tarawera, the territory of some of Te Arakau’s rivals Riri-wai, Tuku and Hika, towards Heretaunga.

Verse five below, refers to the pathway of Tawhao, a mountain trail from Rotorua heading in a southerly direction towards Heretaunga.

Verse six below contains geographic references. Like the previous verses, it refers to a trail and mentions places along that trail. This trail runs from Rotorua across to Kohi point in Whakatāne. Tumutara is first of those places; Tumutara is a crossing on the Tarawera River. Ihoweka is another part of the pathway that connects to Tumutara.
Tauaki is an abbreviation for Putauaki a prominent mountain in the region. Rangitaiki and Whakatāne are well known places; Kohi is a headland on the eastern side of Whakatāne River.

**E tiu rā, ki te muri, ē**
Ngā hurihuri mānuka, i raro o Tumutara,

Ngā rakau tū iho, i runga Ihoweka,

Ngā wai e rere, i raro Rangitaiki;

Ngā puke tu iho, i runga o ‘Tauaki,
Kia mārama koe, ko te whakamau atu,

Ngā kongutu awa, i raro Whakatāne,

Ngā rae ka rou, i waho Kohi,
Ki ō irāmутu, Ki a Te Umatu-ura,
Māna e hōmai, te muri aroha ki a tāua nā, ī.

Swoop onwards then to the north,
To the mānuka scrub-lands below TUMUTARA,
To the trees standing on the summit of IHOKEWA,
To the waters flowing below there at RANGITAIKI,
And to the hills rising up on to ‘TAUAKI,
Clear then is the view as you gaze afar off To the river’s mouth down there at WHAKATĀNE,
And the headlands thrusting out beyond KOHI,
Where your nephews abide with TE UMU-TU-URA;
Verily he will as of yore tender us a loving greeting.

The final stage of mapping mōteatea has two components: one is to create a series of maps portraying the geographical information contained in each of the mōteatea interrogated above; the other, is to blend geographical space with cultural space on the same map using the concept of the paepae. The aim in compiling the maps is to represent the cultural landscape as closely as possible to the intention of the mōteatea whilst representing the non-spatial cultural elements on the same map. To translate the mōteatea into a map without the use of audio or a narrator as such, will require more elements to give the map an indigenous feel; elements such as whakapapa, kōrero or information about each point, and appropriate images. The idea is to blend the cultural information with modern mapping technologies just like the Lienzo; hence the need to introduce the concept of the paepae into map space to merge cultural space with geographic space.

The Lienzo, discussed in Chapter Four, provides an excellent model of blending cultural knowledge with modern mapping technology; where the role of the narrator was replaced with a timeline. The idea is to adopt the concept of the timeline in the Lienzo and apply it or replace it with the paepae.
As discussed in Chapter Two, the paepae is a transitional zone that defines the line of negotiation between two co-existing, juxtaposed spaces: one representing geographic space and the other cultural space. The paepae is the boundary between geographic space and cultural space. In other words, the paepae or boundary between distinct cultures can exist as a discrete well defined line or as a well-defined spatial domain that encompasses elements of both cultures by negotiation between both cultures.

Section Four: Mōteatea Maps

He waiata tangi mō Te Waikari: Mōteatea II

This map illustrates the lament of a father for a son; an only son. Te Kani-a-Takirau, one of the most respected chiefs of his era, refers to his only heir as Te Kairangatira and Te Rau o Titapu: both are expressions of great love and respect. The waiata is very short and has very little cultural information. Despite that, this map illustrates three significant places in the Uawa -Tokomaru region on the East Coast of the North Island and the location of one boundary marker; Te Pou a Te Kani. According to the waiata, Waihoro is nestled on the side of Titirangi Mountain. This is the location of the ahi manawa ceremony where the hearts of the two captives were roasted and eaten; hence ahi and manawa; fire and heart. The paepae is the link between cultural space and geographical space interpreting the cultural information depicted on the map. The other map elements in the map are the initial cultural sketch, whakapapa, and words of the waiata.
Figure 6.8: He Tangi mo te Waikari

He Tangi mo Te Waikari
Kia mate koe e Hīkikōkī
Ma Matitika, mo Te Rerehona
Ma ako ahī manawa
Ki cehe o Te Kamararo
Ma taku kāri tōpo
E pao i te takuiti o te
Tātawhakō te wāhāke
Ki te kāpua nei e
Te tōno mai a e Hīkikōkī
Te tākutai o Titānui, e
Kia hango kei rino
Tākaha tākuru tōpo
E haka i te tangata
Tākaha kau i te
Tākaha whakarewarewa
Ki te whakarewarewa

See Appendices for full size copies of all the mōteatea maps
Map Elements

The four map important elements for this mōteatea are: the words of the waiata tangi (fig 6.8.1), the paepae (fig 6.8.2), the geographical component (fig 6.8.3 on the following page) and the biographical sketch.\textsuperscript{151}

The waiata tangi provides the context for exploring the makeup of the cultural landscape as depicted by this lament. The cultural elements are extracted and sketched onto a blank canvas in the order in which the cultural information unfolds in the waiata tangi. These are then transferred to the paepae (featured below) and translated. The cultural elements are then digitised producing the mōteatea map displayed on the following page.

\textsuperscript{151} See section 3, mōteatea II: waiata tangi for Te Waikari for the hand drawn biographical sketch.

---

Figure 6.8.1 The words of the waiata tangi for Te Waikari (Cultural Information)

Figure 6.8.2 The Paepae or link between the Cultural & Geographical Information
The significant places depicted below reflect the information in the *waiata tangi* and include Te Mawhai point where *Te Pou a Te Kani* was established and Titirangi a significant mountain of *Te Aitanga a Hauiti* the tribe of the area. Waihoro is located on the side of Titirangi.

*Figure 6.8 3 Geographical Component of the waiata tangi*
The journey of Puhiwahine: Mōteatea IV

Puhiwahine’s journey back to her homelands is depicted in the following series of maps. The contents of her waiata are reflected in the following figures and trace her journey from the Maniapoto region back to the Taupō region. The other maps presented in this section contain insights to part of her life’s journey over a period of fifty years.

Her journey began in the Pirongia region where she first met Te Toko, down to Taupō, across to Meringa where she met and married John Gotty, down to the Whanganui district and back up to Oparure where she meets up with Te Toko once again. Figure 6.9 provides an overview of her journey and features several elements: the original sketch of the mōteatea, the paepae portraying a timeline of events, three insets, and two whakapapa tables illustrating her Tuwharetoa and Maniapoto links, a topographic base map highlighting significant places, a location diagram and the words of the mōteatea. The paepae has a dual function: as a timeline tracking the flow of events from the beginning to the end; each point in the timeline contains a package of information related to the mōteatea and the overall story. The other function of the paepae is a link between cultural space and geographical space; interpreting the cultural information.

Figure 6.10 illustrates the places in the Pirongia region including Oparure where she met Te Toko for the second time. Figure 6.11 features the Taupō region including Taringamotu, where she was born and Oruaiwi where she was finally laid to rest. This map also depicts the pathway of fire that flows from Hawaiki through to Tongariro Mountain. This is attributed to Ngātoroirangi and his two sisters. Figure 6.12 features the Wanganui River region. The elements on all the maps are the same; all of them contain the timeline and the words to the mōteatea.
Figure 6.9: An overview of Puhiwahine’s journey
Figure 6.10: Pirongia Region of Puhiwahine waiata

[A map showing the region of Pirongia with place names and pathways.]
Figure 6.11: Taupō region of Puhihawine waiata
The *Pironga* inset contains places not mentioned in the *mōteatea* but form part of the overall journey and story. These include *Whatiwhatihoe* where she first met *Te Toko*; *Hikurangi* where she was living when she departed for the Taupō region; *Kihikihi*, *Orākau* and *Parawera* places along the journey back to the Taupō region. *Orākau* is remembered for the battle, often referred to as *Rewi’s last stand* that took place between the Colonial forces and Waikato in the 1860s. Of course a static map cannot display that sort of information without cluttering up the face of the map; but a web-based map could.

*Owairaka* is where she and her brothers tarried awhile and *Aratihā* is on the southern side of *Maungatūtara* where she glanced back towards *Kakepuku* Mountain and *Pirongia* Mountain; it is likely that this is where she composed her song. The only place that stands apart is *Oparure*, south of the *Pirongia* region, near *Te Kuiti*; this is where she met up with *Te Toko*; the occasion being the *kawe mate*\(^{152}\) of her husband John Gottly. From *Pirongia*, the journey heads south to the Taupō region, to her ancestral places.\(^{153}\)

The Taupō inset features *Ngātoroirangi*, *Tongariro* and *Pihanga* Mountains; all of which are important to the history of the *Ngāti Tūwharetoa* tribal people. The red line depicts the pathway of the *ahi tipua* who were summoned to *Tongariro* by *Ngātoroirangi* to warm his freezing body.\(^{154}\) *Taringamotu*, *Meringa*, *Oruaivi* and *Ongarue* are all places associated with *Puhiwahine* at some stage during her lifetime. *Taringamotu* was where she was born, *Ongarue* was where she passed away, and *Oruaivi* was where she was finally laid to rest.

---

\(^{152}\) *Kawe mate* literally translated means to “carry death’. It is a customary practice whereby the spirit of the deceased person is “carried” to their tribal homelands. This often occurs if the deceased is buried in a place other than their original homeland. A *kawe mate* usually occurs for very well-known people or great leaders. The *kawe mate* is performed out of respect for the people of the original homelands and other places where the deceased was well known.


\(^{154}\) See Chapter One for details of *Ngātoroirangi* and *Tongariro*
Figure 6.12: Whanganui Region of Puhiwahine journey
The Whanganui inset features two tables of whakapapa: one of Puhiwahine’s Ngāti Toa connections and the other of her Tainui connections through her father. Places featured on this map besides Whanganui is Matahiwi where she and John Gotty lived for a while. From here the story heads north to figure 6.10, to a place called Oparure where she finally meets up with Te Toko fifty years after composing her waiata at the kawe mate of her husband John Gotty. Thus ends the fifty year journey of Puhiwahine. The whakapapa tables are sourced from: *Te Ao Hou*, No. 34, March 1961.\textsuperscript{155}

**He Oriori o Puhiwahine: Mōteatea V**

This map is based on the oriori composed by Puhiwahine for her mokopuna, grandchild.\textsuperscript{156} In this map, the paepae portrays the information derived from mōteatea in the form of a timeline depicting the places, people and events in the order they unfolded in the mōteatea.


\textsuperscript{156} Source: *Te Ao Hou*, No. 31, June 1960: 18  Accessed March 2010  
Figure 6.13: Oriori mo te mokopuna a Puhiwahine

He Oriori o Puhiwahine mo tona mokopuna

E kite koe, e tona cei e!
Piha noa ke koua te ana i Tiata
He whenua tairēke no a mata waka
Maori ia ia e rewarewa eke nei,
He kore pae koua e ite he reo mai
Kia kore te pei, kia ti noa ia
Kia ngaere te tangata e

Mehere te kōrero, tu ake kā faare!
Akapara ahu Tai Tea i penepene.
Akapara aho, he mana pouangimu tana—
Hei tahi ngā hokanga ahu ki te kaeia ia
Kia Pakoa ano rā to Pine e noho ano
Hei pakihi atu ki te o Tai Tea
Tīko koua ki ngā koroa orā
Ki Motuki te mai rā, ki Motuki
Ki waiho o Wharara, ki ote o Puhiwahine
He tanuwatanga naka no te ari kia ngaer i i...

Kia mānga kai te te ki te ari?
Akohekohe ara te hoki ki Tongario
Tāhia te hāne te te atu o Pakoa
E kua takohi ana te papa ki te pua
Kia kiteri mai kōwai e o o o ngaia kūte—
Tāhia atua enei kōtai pu pei neke
Kia atu ano, 'Kia te tāngata
Ki Tai Tei aha ia, ki Tangariwha
Hei kura Whare a rā, ki o Pakoa
Tānaha we ki kua ake ki ngaer te mohu we
Te Tai Tei, ara ki Tangariwha
Hei Pāpae Whāia, no Tai Tai Tei
Hei māua Whāia, ngi i i...

He kōrero ari mai rā
Kia tahi kua hoki mai te ari ki te ariki,
'Ki te tua i toru aki, ki te o kai-pu'
Kia mātaura kōwai, ngi i i....

Hei kōrero e he kore a te kākā ki Tai Tei,
Ki pa noa te kākā
A Te Tai Tei, a Te Tai Tei
Kia mātaura ahu he whare era
Te Tai Tei, ara ki o te ari
Ma mātaura ahu ki kua hoa mūna
Ki o whare e he kōwhiti
'Ki o whare a Tai Tai Tei'
O whare e hoa mōna ahi
O whare e hoa mōna
Te Arakau te Umu pathway: Mōteatea VI
Verse six outlines generally a pathway that was used by Te Arakau when travelling from Rotorua to Whakatāne. There are six places mentioned in the extract in the previous section that form the signposts for the pathway Te Arakau used to navigate from Rotorua to Whakatāne: Tumutara, Ihoweka, Rangitaiki, ‘Tauaki or Putauaki, Whakatāne and Kohi.

Map 6.14 depicts the journey of Te Arakau as portrayed in verse six. The main elements in this map are: the three map insets; the location diagram; the base maps containing the geographic information; the paepae, and map one inset. The overview map contains three map insets and a general idea of the direction taken by Te Arakau when travelling from Rotorua to Whakatāne. The paepae interprets the six place names contained in the verse thus linking cultural space with geographic space. The map one inset illustrates the best-guess trail or pathway that Te Arakau may have taken in the first leg from Rotorua to Tarawera.

Map insets two and three contain legs two and three of Te Arakau journey. Leg two featured in map inset two starts at the tip of Lake Tarawera and ends at Putauaki a prominent mountain in the region. According to the mōteatea, at some point along the Tarawera River Te Arakau crosses to the other side; the best-guess for the location of Tumutara has been mapped. Map three inset features leg three of his journey from Putauaki to Kohi, the headland at Whakatāne.

At some point in this leg of this journey Te Arakau crosses the Rangitaiki River; again, this is the best-guess position of Ihoweka. With careful wānanga and research it is possible to refine the positions of Tumutara and Ihoweka as well as the other places mentioned in the other verses of the mōteatea.
Figure 6.14: Te Arakau’s Journey - Overview
Figure 6.15: Te Arakau’s Journey: inset maps 2 and 3
He oriori mō Wharau Rangi: Mōteatea VII

This oriori was composed by Rangi takoru of Ngāti Apa for Wharaurangi. It explains how the rivers down the lower part of the west coast of the North Island from Whenuakura to Waikanae were named. The mōteatea map contains a few key features: the right hand side displays the geographic content of the fourteen place names; whilst the left hand side displays all the elements of the mōteatea including the words and a biographical sketch of the information. In the middle is the paepae depicting all the named rivers down the left hand side and a short explanation in Māori of the meaning of those names. The paepae also features conversation boxes for each item giving the English equivalent of each name. The paepae has a dual role in this instance: to link the naming-events as they unfold in the mōteatea to their position in geographical space; and to provide a description of the meaning of each place name and how those names were arrived at.
Figure 6: He oriori mo Wharau-rangi: the naming mōteatea.
He oriori mō Tamaunga o te rangi: Mōteatea I

The following maps (Figures 6.17-6.18) have been prepared a little differently. Figure 6.17 is a map of part of the oriori for Tamaunga o te rangi. The most important feature is the paepae which is the key to deciphering the depth of knowledge contained in the body of the mōteatea. This mōteatea contains significant whenua kōrero and whakapapa detail. All the whenua kōrero has been omitted on the base map except for the three items in the map which identifies the approximate location of the fishing ground at Taumutu. The whenua kōrero was not available at the time of mapping. Other elements in both Tamaunga maps include: the initial biographical sketch of the mōteatea; relevant whakapapa; words of the mōteatea; and a base map illustrating the region described by the mōteatea.

Figure 6.18 is the second of the Tamaunga maps. The most significant part of this map is the paepae which again, is the key to understanding the depth of the knowledge contained in the mōteatea. The paepae provides the link between the geographical components and the whenua kōrero contained within the mōteatea. All the whenua kōrero has been omitted on the base map except for the approximate location of the fishing ground at Taumutu, the ancient place name Kawakawa mai tawhito, te Matai and Te Araroa River. Again, the whenua kōrero was not available at the time of mapping.
He oriori mo Tamaunga o te rangi

Figure 6. Orii oriori mo Tamaunga o te rangi Part II
He Oriori mō Ahuahu ki te rangi: Mōteatea III

Figure 6.19: Oriori mō Ahuahu ki te rangi
The final map (figure 6.19, previous page) is the oriori for Ahuahu ki te rangi a child born of high rank. There are several elements in this map: the initial biographical sketch of the mōteatea; relevant whakapapa; words of the mōteatea; the location diagram; and a base map illustrating the region described by the mōteatea. The paepae is the most significant part of the map deciphering the mōteatea and linking the mōteatea to the geographical part of the map. The whenua kōrero was not available at the time of mapping, therefore does not appear on the geographical part of the map with three exceptions; Te Kautuku range, Te Ariuru and Punaruku the cave.

The role of the Paepae
The function of the paepae in these map examples of mōteatea is to provide an interpretation of the cultural information contained in the mōteatea and to link that information to geographic space. The interpretation of the cultural information is a relatively simple process requiring wānanga with knowledge-holders and access to relevant archival information that will shed light on the cultural information. The link is the most difficult and yet innovative part of the oral-mapping-process; in a static series of maps, such as those depicted above, the paepae is merely a key or legend that interprets the cultural elements. The spatial information, provided by mōteatea, can be easily located in geographical space. Rather than clutter geographic space with the detail of each cultural element, the paepae serves that role.

If instead the map was a dynamic web-based map containing all the cultural and geographical elements, the paepae would be the dynamic link between cultural space and geographical space; or rather the information contained in cultural space and the cultural-spatial data contained in geographical space. For example, in the paepae depicted on the following page, the blue line with the diamond shaped icons is the link between the cultural information on the left-hand side with its geographical components on the right-hand side. The link to the geographical map would be accessed by depressing any of the paepae-icons which would open up that part of the map that relates to that specific cultural information and provide a zoomed-in view.
For example, depressing the *KOHI* button above would result in this view on the geographical map:

*Figure 6.21: KOHI point ZOOM inset*

In another example, by depressing the *Putauaki* button, the following view will result:
The dynamic web-based map can be further enhanced by including audio files of the *waiata* and videolinks to footage of *kaumatua* providing background information on any aspect of the cultural information; or archival information can be included. In these instances, the *paepae* could provide the link to the audio and video files.

An alternative method for creating a dynamic web-based map would be to link the audio of the *mōteatea* to Google Earth. The *paepae* would again be the link. In this instance depressing a button on the *paepae* would result in two things occurring: one, the audio of the *mōteatea* would start and two, a narrated journey using Google Earth would begin at the same time. In effect, the *mōteatea* could be sung whilst flying over the landscape depicted by Google Earth visiting all the places mentioned in the body of the song.

The *paepae* has an important dual role in deciphering the cultural information and in linking that information with geographical space. The maps portrayed in this section are an example of how that would occur. The real power lies in using other technologies to create a powerful web-based map solution such as the *Lienzo* to display the oral narratives in a manner that enhances its *mana* whilst retaining its *tapu*. 
Transferring the mōteatea to the mapped landscape

The paepae is depicted on the maps as a legend however its function is more than just a legend or key to understanding the embedded whenua kōrero on the map. The paepae has two narrow roles: one, it translates whenua kōrero into land information; and two, the icons in the paepae provide a link to the geographical location of the whenua kōrero thus transferring the mōteatea to the mapped landscape. However, the paepae makes use of the function of spatial information systems in a uniquely Indigenous way.

A powerful function of a GIS is in its ability to display geographically referenced information (whenua kōrero and mōteatea) and provide immediate access to the requisite attribute information or in this case, the description, the translation, and the in-depth stories behind each reference; the paepae is the key to performing these functions. The paepae icons simultaneously represents a distinct barrier or line between two epistemologies, and a convergence of the two worldviews while providing links to all the attribute information including the biographical sketches, the embedded mōteatea, the whakapapa of the land and people, and the geographical locations of the whenua kōrero. In some of the maps it has an additional function; it acts as a timeline that tracks the unfolding sequence of important historical activities and events. This is how the paepae icons transfer the whenua kōrero embedded in the mōteatea to the mapped landscape.

Mapping Mōteatea

Mapping mōteatea is a complex social construction which requires knowledge of cultural conventions before they can be interpreted. It requires drawing together stories, whakapapa, whenua kōrero or knowledge about the land, an understanding of the ancestral language, the histories and the spiritual connections the people have to the land. This will give depth of meaning to the simple biographical sketches constructed about each mōteatea. It will also ensure that the mana and tapu of the knowledge is acknowledged and respected. Although the simple biographical sketch may well be a just a few lines, symbols and text on a piece of paper, they are imbued with mana and tapu made possible by a huge body of cultural knowledge collected and carefully maintained over many generations.
A certain amount of flexibility and fluidity of thinking is required in translating this biographical sketch of cultural knowledge into geographical space. Flexibility to think outside and around the box in applying the spatial information technologies to create the maps; fluidity to ensure the ‘song’ is not permanently ‘fixed’ in meaning by the maps, but is informed by the culture that nurtures it.

These maps are a unique expression of Māori understanding of the world and reflect the tangible and visual expressions of their cultural knowledge, their values, and spiritual connections to the heavens and the earth. Let the culture inform the map, not the map inform the culture. Then it might be a worthy medium to use for passing on this knowledge to the next generation.

**Results**
The aim of this thesis was to apply modern and emerging spatial information technologies to a cultural narrative (in this case *mōteatea*) from a uniquely Māori cultural perspective to produce a model depicting ancestral landscapes. The results were illustrated in a series of maps denoting instances of this landscape. An important consideration in the creation of these maps was to acknowledge that early Māori had a different way of connecting with their landscapes; this sense of connection was often embedded in song and other oral narratives important to their culture. With that in mind, *mōteatea* were chosen to explore the notion of ancestral landscapes with the express purpose of merging this oral narrative with spatial information technology. The underlying principle was to maintain the *mana* of both cultural space and geographical space in the process; in effect they would remain unchanged.

The step by step process depicting how this theory evolved is illustrated in Figure 6.23 at the end of this section. *Mōteatea* were selected for the task because they contain significant cultural data including *whakapapa*, significant events and activities, important places and ancestors associated with those places; these are all significant components that portray the Māori world view and are an expression of ancestral landscapes. The critical part was not the cultural data in the *mōteatea* per se but rather how that cultural data was merged with geographical space without diminishing it.
The data collection method was deliberate; it portrayed a conscious decision to begin with nothing or rather no space or shape within which to capture or sketch the cultural data. In this respect, it is similar to the cosmogonic creative phase of *te kore*, sometimes referred to as a state of nothing from which all things in the heavens and the earth were manifested; hence it is unique in that it is a phase of unlimited potential. The cultural data was collected in the order in which it unfolded in the *mōteatea*; to this was added supporting cultural data to give fullness to the biographical sketch. Through several iterations the biographical sketch emerged from *te kore* to embody a comprehensive collection of cultural data representing an ancestral view of the landscape as portrayed in each *mōteatea*.

The next step was to merge cultural space with geographical space. Although this was performed using GIS, the simplest and least technical way to achieve this step is to use a topographical base map with a transparent overlay. Rather than plot each cultural reference directly to the topographical map, the cultural references of each *mōteatea* could be plotted directly to a transparent sheet overlaid on top of the topographical map. This would preserve the cultural data of each *mōteatea* in its unique space and the topographical map could be used again and again as required to locate a different set of oral narratives in geographical space. Conceivably the transparent sheet containing the cultural references could be overlaid on top of any type of image representing the same geographical space such as an aerial photograph of similar scale, a satellite image, a survey map or a district planning map. Thus cultural space can be inserted into geographical space easily using any type of geographical space. This is where GIS becomes useful as it possesses the ability to merge any of these geographical data types with the cultural data to locate the cultural data within geographical space and produce a series of maps at any scale and for any purpose.

To prepare each of the above maps, geo-tiffs of topographical maps were used as base maps in GIS. Layers representing different types of cultural data were created in GIS and then all the cultural references from each biographical sketch were committed to the

---

157 See Chapter Two for a full description.
158 Figure 7.2, Chapter Seven illustrates this step. The transparent overlay contains the cultural data; the underlying topographical map locates the cultural data in geographical space.
requisite layer within GIS from which maps were then created; hence the merging of cultural space with geographic space without changing either of them. The *paepae* provided the key to understanding the link between cultural space and geographical space.

The *paepae* has a unique function in the Māori world; it is the barrier between the hosts and the visitors to the *marae* that binds them together through a series of protocols. In this thesis the *paepae* provides the link that draws together two groups of ideas or in this case cultural space and geographical space through a series of steps so that one (cultural) could be understood in the space (geographical) of the other.

Hence, this chapter has demonstrated that it is possible to merge cultural space with geographical space using oral narratives to represent cultural space without changing either of them; in this instance both retain their own innate *mana*. Thus ancestral space remains ancestral space and geographical space remains geographical space.
Figure 6.23: Tasks associated with creating maps of cultural information

1: Select mōteatea (Oral Narratives)
2: Wānanga to interrogate the mōteatea
3: Extract references to place, person or activity and any significant events
4: Create an initial biographical sketch of the cultural information contained in the mōteatea
5: Wānanga to fill in any gaps in the cultural information
6: Finalise cultural sketch – decide what will be included
7: Gather together all the supporting elements including whakapapa, appropriate images of significant sites, words of mōteatea etc.
8: Assemble base map data including topographical data, aerial imagery, Google Maps and images
9: Assemble all the data (cultural and geographical) together to create an initial map
10: Create a paepae to link cultural data to spatial data
11: Prepare final map
Conclusion
This chapter set out to create maps based one of the enduring oral narratives of the Māori world; namely mōteatea, the classical Māori chant or song. What followed was a description of how this was done: first by creating a simple biographical sketch of the cultural information; second, by projecting that cultural space into geographical space; and third, by merging cultural space with geographical space and linking them together using the concept of the paepae.

Several different types of mōteatea were explored from which key cultural data was extracted such as: ancestral whakapapa, key themes, cultural landmarks, specific activities and significant events, and any geographical or spatial references. That data was then examined for any historical content and cultural value. After all, what makes the Māori ancestral landscape unique in Aotearoa New Zealand is its ability to tell stories that connect its people to that landscape. Once all this data was extracted from each mōteatea, all the elements were gathered together to form an initial biographical sketch. The biographical sketch depicted the events in the mōteatea as they unfolded line after line.

The next step was to explore how this data could be represented using more modern spatial information technologies such as GIS. A similar approach to that employed by the Lienzo project would be considered as a potential method. That is, juxtaposing the initial biographical sketch of all the cultural elements with a geographical map representing the same area portrayed by the cultural data. As with the Lienzo, a ‘timeline’ or paepae was employed to illustrate the flow of events as they unfolded in the mōteatea and to connect cultural space with geographic space. Other elements such as relevant whakapapa and important images such as maunga were added as cultural icons or markers often referred to as pou. This entire process of creating maps of oral information is illustrated in Figure 6.23 on the previous page.

In effect we were able to translate an oral tradition into a spatial tradition by simply projecting cultural space into geographical space using a blend of traditional techniques and spatial information technologies. Nothing changed in either space; cultural space remains cultural space; geographical space remains unchanged. A simple biographical
sketch of cultural space as defined by the cultural elements in the mōteatea was held up in neutral space while a projector placed behind it beamed a light at and through the sketch thus projecting those cultural elements onto or into geographical space represented in these maps by a topographic map. The key was in understanding both worlds: the spatial information technologies and the cultural world; then applying the concept of the paepae to link them together.

Indigenous peoples including Māori occupy a unique position where they are able to move between two worlds: the Māori world view and the spatial world view as interpreted by spatial information technologies. This chapter illustrated how both worlds could be brought closer together and sit side by side; and not as one world view imposed upon the other, but side by side linked together using the paepae. Clearly this is a huge leap forward.

As a Māori, born and bred in the Taupōnui-a-Tia region of the Tūwharetoa tribe; and as an academic engaged in research involving elements of the culture and spatial information I claim the right to speak of and protect our traditional knowledge and, I also claim to be proficient enough to demonstrate that it is possible to blend some aspects of cultural knowledge with spatial information technologies without changing either.

There are the usual limitations of research imposed by time constraints and financial means to explore fully how this method could work. However, sufficient has been done to offer a glimpse at the potential for blending cultural elements with spatial information technologies. The potential for future generation has yet to be measured.

Thus, in the words of one of the chiefs of Tūwharetoa from an era where there was but one world view (Grace 1959:166-167):

\[
E \text{ Tuwharetoa e!} \\
Kia ata whakatere i te waka nei, \\
kei pariparia e te tai, \\
ka monehunehu te kura. \\
Ka whakamarotia atu ano, \\
ka whakahokia mai ki te kapua whakapipi} \\
\]

\[
\text{Tuwharetoa, be careful when launching your waka,} \\
\text{Lest it be overcome by the tide} \\
\text{And its plumes drenched.} \\
\text{It is well to advance and to stretch out,} \\
\]

234
ka mate kainga tahi
ka ora kainga rua

But in the event of reverses,
return to those left behind where
strength is reserved

Tamamutu the paramount chief of the Taupō tribes in his time uttered these words referring to te kapua whakapipi as the guardian clouds of the tribe and to unity as the foundation of their strength in times of great need. This whakataukī is used here in a similar fashion as a signal not only to carry the ancestors in moving into the future of two worldviews but as a signal that one can co-exist with and strengthen the other; with this approach both retain their mana.

The process of creating maps illustrating ancestral landscapes was conducted in a controlled environment; the real test would be to introduce the methodology in an uncontrolled environment to see how the theory behaves in practice. Chapter Seven tests this notion implementing the theory with slight variation into a mana whenua research program.

The following chapter is a record of how the process described in this chapter was implemented in a mana whenua research program from September 2009 through to February 2010. This exercise involved the creation of maps that illustrated the historical and cultural connections of people (tangata) with their ancestral landscapes (whenua); in effect delineating the footsteps or cultural footprint of their eponymous ancestor to whom they claim mana whenua status. These historical footprints were captured in their oral narratives comprising stories, significant events, place names, ancestors, whakapapa, tauparapara and mōteatea that defined their mana whenua, mana moana and mana tangata; all passed down through successive generations to the present day.
Chapter Seven: Mapping the mana of the whenua
Introduction
Chapter Six illustrated how oral narratives can be committed to maps and mapping technologies. This chapter is a record of how the process described in Chapter Six was implemented in a mana whenua research program for a North Island iwi in Aotearoa New Zealand over a period of six months during the latter part of 2009 and the first part of 2010.\(^{159}\) In effect, this is the test case for applying the theory detailed in Chapter Six, in an uncontrolled environment. A mana whenua report is an oral and traditional history report that details the mana or land-use and occupation rights that an iwi exercised over a well-defined tract of land or whenua from the time of the eponymous ancestor down to the present; hence mana whenua. This report was commissioned to address two key themes: one of mana whenua and the other was to address any Crown breaches of the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi. The mana whenua theme included the identification of the claimant group, their rohe or the extent of their geographical boundaries, identifying the resources within their rohe and mapping the location of their sites of significance. The second theme included the collection of oral traditions and evidence relating to any Crown breaches of the Treaty of Waitangi and oral traditions or evidence relating to Māori response to those Crown breaches. The mana whenua report involved creating a series of maps depicting the historical and cultural connections the iwi had with their ancestral landscapes; in essence proving mana whenua by recording their oral histories on maps.

Oral and traditional history is the basis for iwi to establish their mana whenua over a particular rohe or region. The oral history programme consisted of collecting history that has been handed down from generation to generation and retained by a number of living repositories or key informants who trace descent to the iwi that reside within the rohe. This evidence was used to complement the preparation of a traditional history or mana whenua report to address the key issue of mana or rights of use and occupation over a defined rohe. The rights of use and occupation relate to traditional cultivation areas, fishing, hunting, the collection of resources, and the establishment of settlements and so on; use and occupation relates to how the iwi used and occupied the land for

\(^{159}\) The iwi concerned is still in the process of finalising the research report which is yet to be submitted to the Waitangi Tribunal for consideration and eventual publication. Therefore the report and accompanying maps are not public information and remain the property of the iwi; thus it is deemed inappropriate to name the iwi in this thesis at this juncture (dated at 26 July 2010).
their on-going needs and survival. Part of the *mana whenua* report involved the preparation of a series of maps to support the oral and traditional history evidence. In effect, the mapping programme would identify and create maps detailing how *iwi* used and occupied the land and sea. Moreover, the mapping would attempt to record the *mana* of the *whenua* using oral histories handed down from generation to generation some of which were recorded in the form of *mōteatea* or traditional chants; hence the practical implementation of the process described in Chapter Six.\(^{160}\)

The *mana whenua* mapping project involved several discrete but integral components: first, the preparation of a mapping proposal and brief outlining the focus of project, the methodology employed, the resources required, the milestones and expected outcomes, and the proposed timeline; second, the presentation of the mapping proposal to the *iwi* claimants for perusal, comment, changes and final ratification; third, conducting mapping interviews or workshops to gather oral data; fourth, designing and preparing the geodatabase for the project, processing the oral data in preparation for digitising into GIS, preparing a series of maps to complement the oral history report, and presentation of draft and final maps to *iwi* for ratification; and fifth, empowering *iwi* to manage their cultural assets by implementing GIS training for their tribal members. This acts as the practical test for the theory and development laid out in the earlier chapters of the thesis.

**Section One: Mapping Proposal**

The mapping proposal outlines the content and extent of the proposed *mana whenua* mapping project. It gives a brief description of the project, describes the methodology for gathering the oral data, lists the types of maps required, discusses the mapping themes and categories, identifies the resources required for the project, provides a description of the personnel involved, and outlines the milestones and the outputs of the project.

\(^{160}\) See Figure 6.23 on page 231 in Chapter Six.
Focus of Project
This project covers the mapping components required for the mana whenua research project for the iwi claimants. The mana whenua research report included a series of maps that represented the way in which the iwi claimants occupied and used their land and sea assets. These maps were compiled from information gathered through oral interviews and workshops using an adapted version of the map biography method pioneered in Canada in the 1970s. In some cases archival data in the form of old maps were used to support the map biography data. The aim of the mapping component of the research project was to capture geographical locations of significant sites that reflected mana whenua, mana moana, and mana tangata in a format that could easily transfer into GIS at a later stage in the project. The final maps were used as a kinaki or support to the mana whenua research report.

The mana whenua report provided a vehicle for negotiating iwi status with the Crown and in so doing support claims to resource control and use in the region. The final maps could eventually form the infrastructure for developing an environmental plan. The entire document could also be used as a basis for cultural and traditional knowledge curriculum for the benefit of future generations.

Since the actual purpose of this report was to identify mana whenua and mana moana over a large and distinctive area to which the iwi claimants laid claim, it was important for the iwi claimants to identify and clarify the extent of cultural information to commit to a map.

Deciding what to map
Deciding what to map is an important consideration. A mana whenua report when published will eventually become a public document, which means that everyone outside of the iwi will become privy to the information contained in the report. Thus it was important prior to commencing the mapping to come to terms with the idea that significant sites will become public. Once consent was reached, iwi was able to define exactly what was required to meet the objectives of the report; then set out a plan to achieve that result.
Consultation with the *iwi* claimants was vital to deciding what types of cultural knowledge should be captured and displayed on a map within a public document. A scoping report was commissioned and conducted over the course of three months. The findings of that report set out the parameters for the wider more detailed *mana whenua* report.

**Research Team**

A research team of four was assembled to tackle the task comprising two writers, one oral history interviewer and one map coordinator. The overall approach to the report was carefully considered in terms of the requirements of the *mana whenua* report and in wide consultation with the *iwi* claimants. This set the groundwork for defining the scope of the mapping requirements. Initially, it was decided that the *mana whenua* mapping project would consist of the following types of maps: *rohe whenua* maps, *rohe moana* maps, the conquest battles over *whenua* and *mana*, a map showing the actual division of the *whenua* following the *mana whenua* battles, customary use and occupation maps covering the region, maps conveying the distinctive landscape features and distinctive place names, and maps that reflect the distinctiveness of the *iwi* claimants. However, once the first draft of the *mana whenua* report was reviewed, the type of maps changed slightly.\(^{161}\)

**Critical Decisions**

There were a number of key decisions that impacted on the final mapping product that *iwi* considered. In mapping significant cultural information it was important to consider goals beyond the end of the mapping project; the maps were the end product as far as the research was concerned but the management and ownership of those maps and indeed the electronic copy were of future concern for *iwi*. For example, this collection of cultural information could be used to imbue future generations with a sense of *iwi* identity and pride and it could also reinforce cultural values. Furthermore, it could be used to maintain *iwi mana, whenua mana,* and *ahi kā roa* (occupation). Moreover, this

\(^{161}\) Discussed in milestone ten
type of information could also be a focal point for developing a traditional curriculum for *iwi* education.

It is conceivable that this cultural information could be used to maintain control over ancestral domains and to communicate effectively and efficiently by establishing peer to peer dialogue with decision makers, policy makers and with *rāwaho*, government and external agencies. Hence, the goals beyond the mapping project will inform the current mapping project. Once the end result is known it will determine the accuracy used to gather the oral information and the types of cultural information required to meet that end. For example if *iwi* use the information to communicate with local and regional government agencies for development within or close to the *rohe*, then the information would need to be accurate enough to determine the proximity of development to sacred sites. Furthermore, if the amount of cultural information collected for this project is significant, it will need to be managed and used to benefit *iwi* rather than stored or archived. Potentially, this could form the basis for an explicit, purpose-built *iwi* information system rather than just a GIS.

**Biographical Sketch versus Map Biography**

The data collection method described in Chapter Six, referred to as biographical sketches, was used to capture cultural information from *mōteatea*. An A3 size blank artist pad was used for that exercise and was found adequate for the volume of data contained in a single *mōteatea*. As most *mōteatea* are geographically localised this size paper was sufficient. This data collection method was refined and adapted to suit the needs of the much larger *mana whenua* research project for several reasons. However the underlying principles described in previous chapters remained the same; the only element that changed was the reorganisation of the data collection method and the type of medium used to capture that data.

The extensive size of the *mana whenua* research project region and the sheer volume of data that was collected required an adaptation to and reorganisation of the original data collection method. These two major concerns were addressed in two ways: first, larger sized A2 and A1 transparencies were selected over A3 size white artist paper to capture the cultural data; and second, topographic base maps were used to position or project
the cultural data from the transparencies directly onto or into geographical space. This was achieved by overlaying the transparency on top of the topographic base map. The creation of a separate hard copy biographical sketch representing cultural space was sacrificed deliberately for several reasons: one, a very tight time frame with limited funding restricted the creation of a separate cultural space for the cultural data; two, the sheer volume of cultural data required efficiency and speed to complete the research within a specific time period; and three, the use of layering in GIS permitted the development of a set of cultural layers depicting various types of cultural data thus preserving cultural space, albeit electronically.

The variety of data collected was also a major concern as was the need to layer the raw data for digitising into GIS and the eventual production of maps to support the mana whenua research report. To address this, the map biography method used extensively in Canada was adapted to meet the needs of the data collection phase whereby transparent sheets overlaid over a topographic base map were used to capture the cultural information directly into geographical space. Coding systems matching the variety of cultural data were designed to meet local needs; in some cases data types such as wānanga sites, significant place names and maara kai were kept on separate transparent sheets thus reflecting different layers of information; and mōteatea were interrogated using the original data collection method described in Chapter Six. All other aspects of the original data collection and preparation of data for mapping described in Figure 6.23 remained intact. Figure 7.5 (towards the end of the chapter) reflects the adjustment in the approach used.

The oral information was collected via workshop, interview or wānanga; a face-to-face meeting with several living repositories. The primary focus of each mapping workshop was to collect oral information about how the land and water was used and occupied by the claimant’s ancestors relevant to the objectives of the mana whenua mapping project. Several key knowledge holders were identified and selected to supply the information for this task. Each workshop was centred on a set of 1:50 000 topographical maps of the region under claim spliced together and laminated. The topographical maps were used to focus the attention of each repository and to generate discussion about land and water use and occupation in the region. The topographical
maps depicting the ancestral territory were overlaid with a transparent sheet of film of similar size. The living repositories\textsuperscript{162} supplied cultural information specific to their category of knowledge and or the areas within the \textit{rohe} they were most familiar with. Oral information supplied by each person was drawn directly onto transparencies using a selection of fine nib pens locating their cultural information directly in geographical space.

The information drawn onto the transparencies\textsuperscript{163} formed a record of oral information or a map biography of each person’s knowledge of the area. Information was gathered in two ways: first by theme, category, and area of expertise or knowledge and second by region or area. Some of the mapping informants were comfortable with locating certain sites or category of data such as \textit{urupā}, \textit{maara kai}, \textit{wānanga} sites, old trails, \textit{mātaitai} areas, \textit{pā} sites, and battle sites and so on across a wide area within the \textit{rohe}. Other informants confined themselves to specific regions or areas covering the same types of land use and occupation information. The information recorded on the transparencies referred to the location and names of significant sites; in some instances, activities were noted along with ancestors associated with those places or activities. Furthermore, ancient place names bought from the pre-Aotearoa homelands were recorded along with their approximate location.

\textsuperscript{162} The living repositories are sometimes referred to as mapping contributors, mapping informants or custodians.

\textsuperscript{163} See Figure 7.1, following page.
Resources Required
The objective was to aim for a simple, low cost and low technical approach to gathering oral information. To achieve this, the resources consisted of a set of base maps, sheets of transparencies to record the oral information, soft leaded pencils and black felt-tipped pens, a magnifying sheet, and a hard cover journal to record any site detail.

The base maps consisted of the most recent topographical maps (2009 September) supplied by Land Information NZ at a scale of 1:50000. Some of the topographical maps were spliced and laminated together to provide a more complete and seamless view of the claimant area.
Hindsight revealed that the workshops should have been recorded either by audio or video to capture the detail provided by several of the mapping informants. The detail related to significant stories that occurred in places, ancestors involved and in some cases techniques in fishing or descriptions of sites. Unfortunately, budget constraints did not permit this approach at the time.
Milestones
The following milestones are an adaption of the method described by Terry Tobias (2000:4-10) in his volume *Chief Kerry’s Moose* which he refers to as tasks.\(^{164}\) There were ten milestones for the *mana whenua* mapping project. Milestone one: obtain a list of mapping contributors. Milestone two: set out methodology and conduct a mini-workshop with *iwi* claimants and facilitator. Milestone three: review oral history interview tapes and transcripts. Milestone four: mapping workshops and creation of map biographies. Milestone five: replicate and store raw data. Milestone six: review workshop journals and map biographies. Milestone seven: enter oral data into database. Milestone eight: digitise data and produce map composites for checking purposes. Milestone nine: verify draft maps. Milestone ten: production of final maps and report.

**Milestone One: obtain a list mapping contributors**
The mapping project was reliant on the good will of the *iwi kāinga* (home people), especially those who *whakapapa* to the land under enquiry and held *whenua* knowledge; hence the need to engage a facilitator from the *rohe*. As a *rāwaho*, or outsider, the mapping project required a facilitator to initiate contact with the *iwi kāinga* and to prepare the way for the mapping to proceed. The facilitator needed to be someone who was familiar with the overall goals and *kaupapa* of the project, someone who knew the *rohe* reasonably well and who was familiar with the people in the *rohe* who had knowledge to contribute. They also needed to be a *marae* person, someone who was involved intimately in the politics of the *hapū* and *iwi*. Furthermore, it was important that the facilitator had a good grasp of the *whenua kōrero* to guide the mapping project towards the goals and *kaupapa* of the project.

Milestone two: workshop the methodology with the facilitator and iwi claimants
The overall aim of this workshop was to demonstrate the map biography data collection method to the *iwi* claimants. As the facilitator was required to lay the ground work between the mapping coordinator and the *whenua* custodians or holders of *whenua* knowledge it was important to give some idea to the facilitator how the data collection would be conducted. A mini workshop was conducted with this in mind for the facilitator and representatives of the *iwi* claimants. An overview of the methodology was presented and the mini workshop demonstrated how each workshop would proceed and what would be required of each mapping contributor.

Milestone three: review of oral history tapes and transcripts
An oral history program was conducted as part of the *mana whenua* research report. This program recorded interviews with a large group of 50 living repositories who held the history and traditions of the *rohe*. Copies of the tapes’ transcriptions and the DVDs were made available to the entire research team including the mapping coordinator. Part of the preparation for the mapping workshops was to review the tapes and transcriptions of those map contributors selected to give key information for the mapping part of the research program. Hindsight revealed that it would have been more productive to include the mapping coordinator in the oral history review process to conduct mapping with the living repositories in the same session.

The oral interviews contained key *whenua* information relevant to the mapping project. The information from these interviews was used to focus each mapping workshop with each individual mapping informant and each group of informants. The way was now prepared for the mapping workshops to proceed.

Milestone four: mapping workshops and creation of map biographies
The map biography method described in previous sections was used to capture oral information in a series of workshops and interviews with mapping contributors. Workshops were time consuming and required focus and meticulous attention to detail. They were physically and mentally draining. The living repositories are generally in the older *kuia* and *koroua* age bracket; thus at a practical level a reasonably large room that
was at ground level was required; one that had good seating, sufficient table space and adequate lighting. As part of the tikanga process the first rule is manaaki tāngata meaning to look after the people.

Since the aim of the maps was to reflect mana whenua in a graphical form, the focus of each workshop was primarily to collect information about how the land was used and occupied. For example, the location of maara kai (cultivation sites) and mātaitai (sea food and resources) sites was indicative of whenua and moana use. The location of pā sites, marae and kāinga indicated occupation. Use and occupation is indicative of mana whenua. Information regarding prominent landmarks, ancestral place names and pouwhenua or boundary markers were required to establish the geographical extent of the ancestral regions.

This mana whenua project had three separate but cohesive components: the oral history project, the collection, collation and write up of historical documents with the oral history and the mapping project. Oral data was discovered in all three components thus it was important to liaise with all the researchers throughout the entire mapping process and to add new data to map biographies as it became available.

**Milestone five: replicate and store raw data**
Replication and storage of the raw data is an essential practice before processing the data in GIS. The transparent map biographies were scanned following the workshops. Ideally, a white sheet should be used as a backing so that the biographical map data will show through on the scans. In a few instances, information about some sites were recorded in the minute book along with a NZMG\textsuperscript{165} reference. The minute books were replicated as well.

**Milestone six: review workshop journals and map biographies.**
Workshop journal entries were essential for each interview or workshop. Journal entries permitted checks to ascertain that the map biography data and the workshop journal transcript data were consistent prior to digitising the raw data into GIS. Notes of any

\textsuperscript{165} NZMG is a New Zealand Map Grid Reference used by the 1:50 000 Topography maps
inconsistencies for clarification by the *iwi* claimants or mapping informants were included in this process.

Milestone seven: enter oral data into database
The amount of data captured during the mapping process was enormous; most of which did not form part of the GIS or recorded on any subsequent maps. A simple flat database was constructed using an excel spreadsheet to manage the amount of data collected during the mapping workshops. This was a huge job but absolutely essential to managing the data and passing it on to the next generation. The database formed a record of the metadata that detailed the description about the data that was captured and included: location, the name, person submitting data, and feature type, description of the feature, ancestor, activity, written historical sources, topographical map reference, GIS layer, and any notes of explanation or comments. Furthermore, the database proved useful in providing information about mapped features for the final report.

---

166 See Tables 7.3 and 7.4 in section 4 of this chapter.
**Milestone eight: digitise map biographies and produce map composites**

The aim was to convert all oral data into an electronic format for inclusion into GIS in preparation for producing a set of draft maps for checking purposes.

The map biographies of each mapping contributor were reviewed and evaluated for the type content provided. This information was compared with the original mapping themes that formed part of the mapping proposal. The mapping themes were altered slightly to reflect the outcomes of the initial *mana whenua* report. The *iwi* claimants decided after reviewing the outline of the research report that the mapping should be aligned with the content of the report. The types of maps required included: a map illustrating landing sites of the original *waka* to reflect the beginning of the *mana whenua*; a map depicting the conquest battles of the eponymous ancestor thus establishing the *mana whenua* and *mana tangata*; the division of that *mana whenua* and the establishment of the boundaries; the inclusion of maps of significant *mōteatea* depicting the uniqueness of the *iwi* and their relationships with adjoining *iwi*; maps depicting ancient place names brought from *Hawaiki*; maps depicting *wānanga* or learning institution sites, *rongotaketake* (peace-making) sites, and ritual sites; maps depicting *mana moana* or *mātaitai* comprising ocean and inland fishing grounds and spots; maps of *pā kāinga*, old trails, *rongoa*, *urupā*, *marae* and significant landmarks; and maps depicting the *maara* sites.

An initial geodatabase was created prior to the commencement of the mapping workshops in accordance of the requirements of the Crown Forestry Rental Trust (CFRT) the funding agency. However, once the map biographies were reviewed for content it was decided that separate feature datasets would be created to reflect the volume and content of the oral information provided by a few key mapping contributors. As a result, separate maps were produced for each of these key mapping contributors. Map composites were produced for most of the other map biographies based on regions and themes or types of information.

**Digitise raw cultural data**

The map biography data was converted via digitising into an electronic format for manipulation using GIS software. Once all the data from all the custodians was
digitised into electronic form it was checked against the original map biographies and the minute book entries to ensure all the data was captured.

With GIS, data in electronic format can be stored, manipulated and combined in many ways to form a variety of maps from a composite of all the cultural information to theme-specific maps that show the location of specific sites such as: fishing grounds, food gathering sites, battle sites, wānanga sites and so on. A huge advantage in using GIS for mapping oral information is that a digital composite of all the data gathered from the custodians can be compiled. Maps can then be produced at any stage during the gathering phase, as was the case with this project. New data can be added to GIS at any stage and combined with existing data to produce more detailed maps.

Redundant Data
Redundant data is inevitable in projects of this nature. The most common differences were in the spelling and meaning behind place name, history of place and the exact map location of those places. In some instances there were discrepancies about which ancestor was involved or occupied that place. To reduce redundant information, it was important that the iwi claimants reviewed the drafts as a group to make any necessary corrections thus ensuring control over the format of the final maps.

There were some instances where three different names were entered on the map for the same feature or landmark. The reason behind this was to maintain the mana of the ancestor who passed down that information to the current generation and the mana of the place itself. Each person who contributed to this mapping project preserves the mana of his or her oral histories. The redundant information usually referred to several locations for one place name. This was solved initially by creating separate map biographies and separate GIS layers for mapping informants who had significant volumes of data or specialised information; this preserved the mana of each person and the cultural data they submitted for mapping. It was for the iwi to decide what data will be represented on the final composite maps thus maintaining control of their cultural data.
To be fair, not everyone can read a topographical map with any degree of confidence. The more accurate method for capturing oral information about whenua is actual site visits or ground truthing. All the map contributors indicated that if they could visit the site, they would be able to point out where each site was precisely located. In some cases where site visits were encouraged or rather insisted on by mapping contributors, the onsite visits stirred up stories of significant events embedded in their memories; in these cases, the mana of the whenua was keenly felt. In fact, if ground truthing of significant sites was conducted with groups of participants present, it would empower each member of the iwi and consolidate their cultural identity. Hence ground truthing is important for more than verifying the x, y location for mapping purposes but also for restoring and embedding cultural memory and identity. However, this project was constricted by a tight time-frame and limited financial resources thus ground truthing was not a priority at that point in time.

Draft Maps
A series of draft maps totaling forty-six were produced in JPEG, PNG and PDF format and presented to the iwi claimants for checking purposes. A spreadsheet inventory was prepared to accompany the maps. The inventory recorded the details of each map: map title, renamed title, kaupapa, mapping contributor, region covered, PDF title, ArcGIS mxd, date, and comments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map Title</th>
<th>Renamed: title</th>
<th>kaupapa</th>
<th>Contributor</th>
<th>Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDF</td>
<td>ArcGIS mxd</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. 1: Mapping Inventory Spreadsheet

Milestone nine: verify draft maps.
The overall aim was to verify the overall quality, presentation and completeness of the mapped information before final printing. This is an obvious point but vital to the integrity of the project and, more importantly, the integrity of the oral data; once the maps are published they will forever be under scrutiny.
A meeting with the *iwi* claimants and the funding agency was convened to review the draft *mana whenua* research report and to appraise the first set of draft maps in conjunction with the report. Issues such as paper size and orientation, mapping elements, title of maps, symbology and presentation were evaluated. Also discussed was how the maps would be referenced within the report proper.

The draft maps were presented, for an initial perusal, in both hard copy format and a Power Point presentation to the *iwi* claimants and the funding agency. Feedback consisted of appropriate titles for each map, verifying place names, location of features, spelling corrections, changes in the representation of some data: for example, use a polygon instead of a series of dots and so on. All comments from this meeting were recorded either directly onto the draft maps on in the mapping workshop journal for corrections in GIS at a later date. The *iwi* claimants retained the draft copies for further discussion and comment. The entire process took about 30 days because of the volume of information on the maps.

**Milestone ten: production of final maps and report.**
Draft maps were checked and marked up by the *iwi* claimants and returned for final processing. All the corrections to the maps were processed in GIS and a final set of maps were produced, accompanied with a report. The report detailed the purpose of the project, the methodology employed for collecting the data, insights pertaining to the methodology for consideration, the accuracy of the data collected, a description and breakdown of the GIS processing and production of the maps. It also included a short summary of the material collected and a list of the final maps produced, accompanied with detail of each map as per the map inventory described above in the previous page.

**Review and evaluation of mapping**

*Evaluating costs*
The methodology, mapping workshop planning and budgetary considerations needed to be reviewed and evaluated in terms of the way it met the outcomes of the mapping proposal. A review and evaluation of the time allocations were conducted for each part of the project: mapping workshops for twenty plus participants; workshop logistics
including travel and accommodation arrangements, venue costs, allocation of koha, and mapping resources; time allocation for database entry; preparation of the geodatabase and preparation of base layers and data; digitising data for GIS and preparation of first set of draft maps; checking and transcribing journal entries; costs associated with meetings with claimants and funding agencies; ensure better allowance for time associated with claimants checking draft maps and making corrections once draft maps are returned; insist corrections are made up directly to the draft maps for ease of editing and to resolve any errors; costs associated with initial printing of draft maps; and an allowance for presentation of drafts and final maps to iwi claimants.

Audio / Video Recording
It is imperative to video or audio record the mapping workshops. The purpose is to record the kōrero, the stories, the information about places and features the mapping custodians would point out on the maps. It would also record the emphasis given by each mapping custodian about significant places, ancestors and stories.

Allowances in the budget need to be made for recording equipment, personnel required to operate equipment, time for transcribing the tapes, replication and storage of tapes, producing DVDs of each interview/workshop, checking the transcripts and entry of oral data into database. Consideration must also be given to adjusting the data collection method to accommodate the use of video and/or audio recordings.

Coding System
After the second mapping workshop it was evident that a coding system was necessary. One was created on the fly and proved adequate but required refining for consistency. The coding system organised the collected data better and reduced the clutter of the information on the map biography thus making it easier to read the information. Moreover, the map biographies were easier to review and saved time at the digitising stage. Tobias (2009) offers an efficient coding system in his book Living Proof. Furthermore, it details a data collection system worth exploring and adopting for use in mana whenua mapping projects.
**Forward Planning**
The mapping workshops and interviews were a vital component of *mana whenua* mapping and required careful planning and preparation. However, it would be prudent to engage in reconnaissance with a facilitator from the region to gauge the types of information, the depth of the *whenua kōrero* and the region covered. This will help with future preparation (for example of coding required and use of audio and video equipment) and set up of the workshops prior to the workshop sessions.

**Mapping Icons**
It is important to create distinctive icons that represent features for each project such as: *marae*, *pā kāinga*, *maara kai*, *urupā*, *mātaitai* sites, *taunga waka*, *rongotaketake*, *waahi tapu* and *waahi taonga*, ritual sites, *wānanga* sites, *parekura* or battle sites, *rongoa*, *maunga*, landmark symbols, *pouwhenua* and so on. Every effort was made in the project to engage with the *iwi* claimants to provide some ideas for icons that reflect their identity.

**Section 2: Ratification and Presentation to iwi**
Once the mapping proposal for the *mana whenua* report was completed, it required ratification by the *iwi* claimants. The most important consideration was the acceptance of mapping coordinator by the *iwi* claimants followed by acceptance of the mapping service. Whilst the contract to map was with the CFRT, in terms of Māori protocol, it was important to meet the needs and requirements of the *iwi* claimant as well as the terms of the mapping contract; this is a very fine balancing act requiring diplomacy, finely attuned negotiating skills, an understanding and acceptance of *tikanga* or customs, an appreciation for how Māori work, infinite patience, a measure of insight and vision, a great degree of flexibility in your approach and an adherence to exacting mapping standards. After all, this is the *mana* of the *iwi* that you, the mapping coordinator, are working to preserve and protect. Thus, the process is just as important as the product; and what you do and how you do it needs to be in accordance with acceptable protocol standards and within the domain of *tikanga*. 
Pōwhiri / Pōhiri

A pōwhiri\textsuperscript{167} was convened on the \textit{iwi marae} where the entire research team and members of the funding agency were welcomed formally by the \textit{iwi} claimants. The purpose of the \textit{hui} was the open and transparent ratification of the research team by the \textit{iwi} claimants in a public forum. The research team consisted of: a principal writer whose primary role was to prepare the \textit{mana whenua} report; a principal researcher whose primary function was to track down all the archival documentation held in National Archives, the Alexander Turnbull, museums and so on; a principal interviewer whose primary function was to gather oral and traditional information by conducting oral interviews with selected \textit{iwi} claimants; and a mapping coordinator whose primary role was to prepare a series of maps to support the preparation of the \textit{mana whenua} report.

Once the formal proceedings of the \textit{pōwhiri} were completed, the \textit{hui} convened inside the \textit{tipuna whare}\textsuperscript{168} where the research team presented their proposals. The purpose behind the presentations was threefold: one, acceptance and ratification of each individual team member in the research team by the \textit{iwi} claimants; two, acceptance and ratification of each proposal by the \textit{iwi} claimants; and three, clarification of the function and role of each team member. Given that the entire research team were \textit{rāwaho} to the \textit{iwi}, and that the \textit{iwi} claimants’ \textit{mana whenua}, \textit{mana moana} and \textit{mana tangata} rested on the strength of the \textit{mana whenua} report, this was a lengthy process stretching from mid-afternoon right into the night concluding around midnight. Presentations, in general, highlighted all the salient points with particular emphasis on the methodology and outputs aligned with the objectives of the \textit{mana whenua} research project. Intellectual property rights to all \textit{whenua kōrero} collected was emphasised.

Once the \textit{iwi} claimants were satisfied with the team and their individual and collective roles and the proposals, a resolution to accept the research team and their respective roles was proposed, voted on and accepted by the \textit{iwi} claimants.

\textsuperscript{167} A \textit{Pōwhiri} or \textit{Pōhiri} is a formal welcome onto the \textit{Marae} hosted by the home \textit{iwi}. A \textit{pōwhiri} takes place outside the \textit{tipuna (tupuna) whare}.

\textsuperscript{168} The \textit{tipuna (tupuna) whare} is the ancestral house which is part of the Marae complex. Once the formal part of the welcome has concluded, the following discussions always take place inside the ancestral house.
Initial Training Session
Following the formal ratification hui an initial training session was convened to prepare the iwi claimants for the oral history project and the mapping workshops. Facilitators were selected and given formal training in preparation for the oral history project. The role of the facilitators was to prepare the interviewees prior to the actual interviews: making initial contact with potential interviewees, explaining the interview process and clarifying any questions that might arise; obtaining consent to record information during the interview process and to use the information in the report proper; organising facilities in preparation for the interview, follow-up contact with the interviewees immediately prior to the interview and ensuring they had transport to and from the interview venue.

Interviewees for the oral history project were selected based on the nominations in the Oral and Traditional Scoping Report conducted several months earlier. Interviewees were selected via whānau nomination at a cluster or research hui, they were then prioritised according to age, health and known level of information. Consideration was given to balancing coverage across the rohe with wide participation within the limitations of resources and timetable for the project with a view to maximising iwi knowledge base. The other considerations were the availability of whānau and the contribution and participation of senior whānau within the iwi and across the rohe. The same selection process was applied in selecting mapping contributors.

The iwi claimants were then introduced to the map biography data collection method that was used in the mapping workshops. A mini workshop was conducted with the entire group using a set of 1:50,000 topographical base maps and mylar overlays to demonstrate the map biography method and how the cultural data would be collected. This was followed by an explanation of how the cultural data would be digitised into ArcGIS in preparation for creating maps.

The overall key to the mapping project was wrapped up succinctly in Mac Chapin’s (2006) remarks:

Indigenous mapping projects should not be seen as technical exercises, but rather as social-organizational events that happen to have a technical
component. They can be, if handled correctly, much more than undertakings to produce maps. The process is as important as, if not more important than, the product that rolls off the conveyor belt at the end. (Chapin 2006: 1)

Leading into the mapping workshops, the theme adopted for collecting cultural information was based on this ancestral utterance:

\[
\begin{align*}
Nā tōu Rourou & \quad \text{With my basket} \\
Nā tuku Rourou & \quad \text{and your basket} \\
Ka ora ai te iwi & \quad \text{Our people will survive}
\end{align*}
\]

**Section Three: Mapping Workshops**

The aim of each mapping interview was to gather traditional information that demonstrated *iwi mana whenua* and in this case, *mana moana*. This would inform the types of maps required to meet the objectives of the *mana whenua* report. The objectives of the report were to address the traditional history and *mana whenua* of *iwi* who claim usage and occupational rights within their prescribed ancestral territories. For this to occur, it was essential to focus on gathering traditional information to support the *mana* of the *iwi* in regard to their rights to the *whenua*. The maps were intended to portray that *mana* as a reflection of the unique identity that *iwi* carved into the landscape by continuous and undisturbed use and occupation of that *rohe* from the time of their eponymous ancestor.

It seemed logical at the outset that the first set of *mana whenua* maps should identify clearly the location and extent of the *rohe* or region under enquiry. Strong evidence that these boundaries remained intact, were vigorously protected from neighbouring tribes and maintained from the time of their eponymous ancestor to the present was paramount to claiming *mana* as an *iwi* and *mana* over the *whenua* and *moana*. Thus the first set of maps described the spatial extent of the ancestral territories and became known as the *rohe whenua* maps.

Maps depicting the spatial extent of *rohe whenua* can be addressed in two ways: first by using the existing survey or cadastral boundary data that delineate the ancestral
territories; and second, by using traditional evidence and landmarks that illustrated how iwi used and occupied their ancestral territories. Since the mana whenua report is first and foremost about establishing mana whenua by iwi, it was essential to determine rohe boundaries in two ways: one, using oral and traditional information that depicted customary use and occupation of their ancestral landscapes; and two, examining the extent of the place names embedded into the landscape by their ancestors.

Customary usage of an area implies knowledge and the prior existence of maara kai, rua kumara (storage pits for kumara), knowledge of fishing grounds and coastal resources as well as associated practices, location and knowledge of traditional resources such as plants used for weaving, wood for carving and rongoā (medicines), knowledge of ancient travelling routes and sites significant to the identity of iwi. Occupation of an area refers to areas of continuous use, habitation, settlement, naming, knowledge and control over such areas. It can also include stories and legends about places, ecological knowledge of the regions, and place names indigenous to the area, whilst habitation sites include kāinga, pā sites or fortified settlements, wānanga sites, battle sites, urupā or burial grounds, tauranga waka, tribal landmarks, sacred sites and sites of rituals, marae, and so on. The toponyms or place names is a distinct and major consideration as it defines the ancestral mana embedded into the landscape.

Furthermore, place names are the ancestral footprints woven into the landscape.

This storehouse of customary knowledge and practices forms part of the unique identity of iwi woven into the landscape leaving footprints that can be interpreted by those custodians or keepers of this knowledge. Knitting all this information together are the genealogies, stories and songs epitomising the deeds that occurred in these places, the ancestors who breathed and bled their very lives into the landscape, and the oral traditions that preserved this type of knowledge to the present day. Thus, identifying the custodians of this knowledge was essential to the process of creating the maps. Once the custodians of the storehouses were identified, the next task was to set out the mapping themes that would inform and guide the mapping interviews.
Mapping themes
Whilst the types of maps required for the \textit{mana whenua} mapping project were influenced largely by the findings of the scoping report, the objectives of the \textit{mana whenua} report and the input of the \textit{iwi} claimants, the critical factors in refining the first set of draft maps were the findings of the draft \textit{mana whenua} report and the actual data collected during the workshops. The findings of the draft report reinforced the selection of data required for the mapping project; it also dictated the types of maps required for the report proper. The type and significant quantity of data collected during the mapping workshops led to a rethink of the geodatabase content and design as well as the types of maps required showcasing that data.

The first set of draft maps consisted of: the landing sites of the ancestral \textit{waka}; the conquest battles fought by the eponymous ancestors; the division of land among the descendants and the establishment of the original \textit{mana whenua} boundaries; a series of maps illustrating \textit{whenua} and \textit{moana} use and occupation in the northern, central and southern regions of the \textit{rohe}; a selection of \textit{mōteatea} depicting places, ancestors and relationships with contiguous tribes; a series of maps that portray place names brought from the ancient homelands; and special sites such as \textit{wānanga}, \textit{rongotaketake} and ritual sites. These layers of information impacted on the final design and content of the geodatabase and the cartographic symbology used in the draft maps.

Several key mapping informants shared a huge amount of information. In order to manage and maintain the integrity of the quantity of data, separate feature datasets were created for these select few within which separate feature sets were created for each item of discrete data. For example, one informant shared data on several key sites including \textit{wānanga} sites, \textit{rongotaketake}, ritual sites, ancient place names, and \textit{mātaitai} sites including the location and names of ocean currents. A feature dataset was set up in their name, and separate feature sets were created reflecting the types of data collected. The oral data was digitised into the geodatabase from which a series of draft maps were created.

The data collected also dictated the symbology used to represent types of oral information gathered. For example: \textit{maara kai} was represented by a grass-green filled-
in polygon; an ancestor was represented by a tiki; a waka landing site symbol was a puka, while the travel direction of a waka was depicted using a tauihu; mātaitai or kai moana sites were represented by a fish symbol; pā sites, wānanga sites, rongotaketake sites, kāinga and marae all had distinctive symbols.

The second set of maps was designed to demonstrate the mana moana or knowledge and use of the resources provided by the ocean, rivers, streams and lakes within the ancestral rohe. This type of information included knowledge of fishing grounds, ocean currents, location of shell foods, parengo (seaweed), kōura (crayfish), tauranga waka (canoe landing places), names given to streams, rivers and other bodies of water, islands, landmarks used for navigation and off shore ocean travel, knowledge of kaitiaki or guardians that protect sacred areas, reefs, rocks, undersea springs, and sources of fresh water in the ocean.

The third set of maps described the location of the major conquest battles that established the mana or authority of their eponymous ancestor within the region. This map represented a turning point in the history of this iwi and the undisputed right their ancestor established over the region.

The fourth set of maps portrayed how their eponymous ancestor set about strengthening and protecting the boundaries of their ancestral territory. It is very similar to the first set of maps depicting the rohe whenua in that it described the tribal boundaries and those people responsible for protecting and maintaining those boundaries.

The fifth set of maps focussed on customary use and occupation of the region; use and occupation are ancestral footprints left in the landscape. These footprints were represented by whare, kāinga, wānanga sites as well as maara kai, rua kumara, sites where resources are harvested and so on; they represented evidence of a place that was well used and well known.
The sixth set of maps were intended to gather information about distinctive landscape features such as *maunga*, *puke*, *awa*, rocks, as well as traditional place names given to the landscape by ancestors.

The final set of maps was centred on describing the distinctive nature and identity of the *iwi*. This would be achieved by looking at their *mōteatea*, the distinctive learning institutions, the *waka* traditions that carried their ancestor to these islands and their *whaihanga* traditions.

**Codes**
A series of codes were devised after the second map interview and refined after much thought. The coding system was adapted from Tobias (2009:224-236) and (Tobias 2009:376, 377). The simplest approach was the alphanumeric coding system consisting of a pair of letters followed by a number. The letter represented the category of cultural information; the number represented the order in which the cultural sites are mapped. For example: **PS103**, **PS** is *pā* site and **103** is the sequence. Tobias (2009:226) refers to the pair of letters as the code category and the number as the code sequence.

The coding system evolved over several iterations and was largely set up in an ad hoc fashion. In earlier versions, at the beginning of the mapping project, the code for *pā* site was **PA**; it changed in subsequent workshops and interviews to accommodate other types of cultural data. **PA** then became known as the code for *pakanga* and finally *pakanga* became **PK** whilst **PS** became the code for *pā* site. **MA** was another often used code for *maara*, *mātaitai* and *marae*. On one of the map biographies (see Figure 7.1) these were differentiated with a superscript number; for example **MA**¹ the code for *marae*, **MA**² the code for *maara* and **MA**³ the code for *mātaitai*. These were eventually standardised as per Table 7.2 below.
The following is a sample of codes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BIRDS</th>
<th>PLANT</th>
<th>OFTEN USED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KU – Kereru</td>
<td>AK - Aka</td>
<td>AR - Ara/Huarahi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR – Parera</td>
<td>HK - Harakeke</td>
<td>AW - Awa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KI – Kiwi</td>
<td>KK - Kiekie</td>
<td>CS - Cultural Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT - Titi</td>
<td>PN - Pingao</td>
<td>BM - Boundary Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAIMOANA</td>
<td>KH - Kumanahou</td>
<td>BS - Burial site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT - Kutai</td>
<td>TREES</td>
<td>FR - Whare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KG - Karengo</td>
<td>HE - Horoeka</td>
<td>KG - Kainga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG - Parengo</td>
<td>TT - Totara</td>
<td>LM - Landmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KN - Kina</td>
<td>MT - Matai</td>
<td>NH - Ngāhere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW - Pāua</td>
<td>KN - Kanuka</td>
<td>MA - Mātaitai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN - Tuna</td>
<td>RM – Rimu</td>
<td>MR - Maara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN - Inanga</td>
<td>KR – Kauri</td>
<td>ME - Marae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MN – Manuka</td>
<td>MG - Maunga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PH - Pohutukawa</td>
<td>NP –Navigation Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IKA</td>
<td></td>
<td>OC – “au” – ocean current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT – Pataki</td>
<td></td>
<td>PF - Pouwhenua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WH - Whai</td>
<td></td>
<td>PK - Pakanga site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM - Tamure</td>
<td></td>
<td>PS - Pa site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP - Hapuku</td>
<td></td>
<td>PN - Place name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RS – Ritual site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RT - Rongotakete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TK - Toka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UP - Urupa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WN – Wānanga site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.2: CODE system for cultural data collection

Workshop preparation
The primary purpose of the mapping workshops was to gather cultural-geographical information from selected sources and create a series of maps to support the presentation of the mana whenua report. It was necessary to engage with and involve the iwi claimants in all aspects of the research program especially the mapping workshops. Since this project was about preserving mana whenua, it was important that the iwi claimants were in control and maintained their mana over the entire project and process. This rendered down to consultation at all levels of the research program and mapping process. It also meant keeping all the key players informed and up to date with all aspects of the progress no matter how minute each issue seemed at the time. To get off-side with iwi is to lose your foothold and stake as a rāwaho within the inner sanctum of another iwi.
That said, before engaging in the workshops a number of issues needed to be addressed; first and foremost, the selection of potential mapping workshop candidates. Once the potential candidates were selected, a facilitator was selected from the *iwi* claimants. Their primary role was to arrange and coordinate each mapping workshop with each contributor or groups of contributors.

Apart from that, the relevant Topo50/NZMS 260 topographical maps both digital and hardcopy were sourced. The hardcopies were laminated in preparation for mark up and then arrangements were made with each mapping contributor to map their *whenua kōrero*.

Consent forms were required for each candidate. These were used to secure permission from each candidate to use their information for the *mana whenua* report which would eventually become a public document. Part of the consent form allowed for culturally sensitive information to be omitted in the report.

**Mapping the *mana* of the *whenua***

*Tikanga/methods*

There is no single clear-cut method to conduct an interview with *iwi* Māori; there are as many approaches as there are people. There are however, some guiding principles that proved to be useful. It was important at the outset of the mapping workshop to articulate clearly and concisely the *kaupapa* (purpose) of the workshop and to adhere to the *kaupapa* as closely as possible; then to establish how each person can contribute to this purpose. Since all the contributors were *kaumatua* (elders) and *pakeke* (adults) it was important to maintain the requisite level of respect at all times. In terms of respect for the *iwi* knowledge holders, it was important to engage and connect with the contributor, and not interrupt them when they were speaking; it was equally important to listen and be guided by their approach rather than promote a research based agenda. Furthermore, ensure that enough time is set aside for each person as they will stop speaking when they are completed not when you are.
There will be an appropriate time to ask questions to clarify the information especially place names, spelling, type of place, associated activity, ancestors involved and so on. Moreover, in the workshop keep the approach simple and flexible and always be mindful that you are a rāwaho and you are not in charge. Finally, obtain consent to publish their information or ensure that each candidate identifies the sensitive information that cannot be published.

**Guiding maxim**

Although there was no single clear cut method for gathering information, there was, however, a maxim that became a guiding principle for the mapping process which was often used when work shopping with mapping informants; it also became the backbone behind driving the *mana whenua* research and mapping part of the project:

\[
\text{Na tōu rourou,} \\
\text{Na tāku rourou,} \\
\text{Ka ora ai te iwi}
\]

*Rourou* or baskets are key symbols representing knowledge; the maxim above refers to the nature of collaboration to aid in community survival. The task of collecting oral information from *iwi* members scattered across the *motu* (country) was approached with the above tenet in mind: “your basket of knowledge combined with my basket of knowledge will ensure the survival of our tribe”. In this case, it would meet the requirements of the *mana whenua* report. Furthermore, with this guiding principle in mind the mapping proceeded to gather the individual baskets of knowledge together from *iwi* members spread out around the *motu*.

**Collecting Cultural Information**

Some very special people participated in the mapping process from around the *motu*. Each person had their own unique sense of who they were, how they were connected to their land and how important that connection to land was to them. Who they were referred to whom they traced descent from; how they were connected to the land was through those ancestors who inhabited those special places and imbued their *mauri* or
life-force into the landscape leaving a footprint for them to follow; and how important the connection to land was to them was reflected in the stories they, the iwi custodians, shared about the places that were mapped.

Each person had a different approach to the project and each had his or her own distinct way of beginning. Once they started the approach unfolded. For example, a koroua began by reciting a tauparapara containing what he considered the foremost landmarks of the rohe that defined the identity of the iwi. He began at a significant mountain, jumped from landmark to landmark returning to the place where he started, thus defining his rohe (traditional area). This is a common technique used widely by iwi Māori throughout the country to convey who they are and where they come from. This approach establishes their ancestral and whenua connections; it also establishes the boundaries of their tūrangawaewae as a safe place to start. This koroua was fluent in the speaking arts of his iwi and gave significant place names and the meaning of each name. He said that it was important to understand the language in order to understand the meaning behind the names left by the ancestors. This koroua was imbued with mana.

Another began by telling a story of a serious battle that swept through his part of the rohe, and alluded to the pā sites involved in the battles. The story was detailed with important ancestors, associated pā sites and details of the location of these pā sites. It was important to let him talk uninterrupted until he completed his story. Following his story, the pā sites were marked up on transparency. This was followed up by a hikoi or journey to each of these sites where we were able to experience each place and get a feel for the stories associated with each place and some cases understand the names behind each place. Although he was not conversant with te reo he was fluent with the whakapapa or connections that bind people to each other and link people to land. His was the ability to feel and sense the wairua or spirit and mauri or life force of each place which connected him to the ancestors who occupied those places. This was one of the instances of ground truthing that took place over the entire mapping process; and it was how I was able to feel the mauri of the sites and wairua of his kōrero.
In another workshop, one person recited the names of blocks of land in his area, the ancestors and families associated with each block of land and the stories connected to these ancestors. This workshop was different in that we were able to ask questions throughout the workshop without fear of being offensive or insensitive. He was able to show on a map places he had visited, kaimoana places, old trails, marae, pā sites, fishing rocks, special haircutting places and places where the pito of babies were kept.

Two people feeding off each other exchanged stories of their upbringing in their hometown and from this discourse were able to recall certain ancestors, their deeds and significant places. One of these two related stories associated with maara kai or food gardens and the places he was taken to by his elders; the other recalled the names of significant pā sites and the meaning associated with each name. Although the koroua who shared his stories of maara kai recalled seeing the entire region covered in maara, he was only comfortable with indicating the maara kai he actually visited personally during his lifetime.

One person merely asked what cultural information was required for the mapping. The approach with this person was totally different as he was very well informed and fluent in te reo. A list of the types of sites and information required for the mapping was discussed; then in the workshop each item was approached one at a time. For example: sites of ritual, wānanga sites, fishing sites, place names attached to the landscape, significant landmarks, rongotaketake or sites of peace-making, significant sites and people within selected mōteatea and harvesting sites. His was a four-day all-day and all-night workshop covering the location and detail of significant places, meaning of names and ancestral stories. He mana anō tō tēnei tangata.

One particular kuia was well prepared; she had a collection of maps and a vast storehouse of knowledge of her region held in her memory. She had an intimate knowledge of the land and sea, of the ancestors that had occupied that land and the stories associated with these ancestors. She was imbued with te reo and steeped in the tikanga of the region and once she began pointing out places on the topographical maps she was difficult to keep pace with. Her interview was a well-paced 8-hour workshop that detailed pā tūwatawata or fortresses, tohunga ancestors, special resource and
harvesting areas, old traveling routes, old *kāinga* or homesteads and the ancestors who lived there, significant landmarks and *pouwhenua* or boundary markers, *kai moana* areas and ancient place names. *Anō rā e kui, kei te mihi.*

One *pakeke* held a lot of oral information regarding ancient place names associated with one of the significant ancestors of the region. He would give a list, a brief description of the place and then he would point each place out on the map.

Another *pakeke* had extensive knowledge of fishing methods, fishing grounds, fishing *tikanga*, and knowledge of the behaviour of the oceans. He spoke about fishing when the tide came in and when the tide was going out; he spoke about fishing with a net and the behaviour of the waves. The stories shared by each of the mapping informants were just as valuable as the location of each site. Therein lies the essence of the land and sea, whereby the land and the sea were a reflection of the footprints of the ancestors.

All of the mapping participants stuck to the regions they were familiar with and the activities, ancestors and stories associated with those regions. This is because all of the participants were claimants, owners or shareholders in these specific regions and were well-versed in the history and lore of their regions.

With one exception, all the participants provided information about the land that they belonged to, or had *whakapapa* to. Only one person was able to provide information about events or categories of information across several land blocks within the entire region.

One *wahine* (woman) *pakeke* well-versed in the lore of her area gave a very strong *kōrero* about *pā* sites and stories that covered the landscape in her region. With some measure of pride she told the story of an imposing *pā* site on the coastline that had never been taken by invasion from an outside *iwi*. A site visit confirmed her story; the *pā* was an imposing sight. Often she would emphasize with the tone of her voice and with hand gestures the absolute importance of some sites. In this case, there was no mistaking the placement and information of such sites.
Survey plans were used by three tribal members to indicate the location of significant places. In one interview one pakeke produced photocopies of old survey maps, which he had taped together, laid them out on the table and proceeded to identify the places he had visited in the course of his life. The survey plans reflected the old names in the vicinity and it was a simple process to match the survey plans with the topographical maps and then add the names and places to the overlays. The other person, a kuia, had full-sized copies of survey plans.

One wahine came to her workshop, took a look at the topographical maps and began talking. She spoke at length about places; special places that were emphasized by the tone of her voice and her actions. She would point out where the place was, give the name then she proceeded to tell the story about these special places in order to give the place name its due mana. It really is about mana; the mana of the ancestors, the mana they imbued into the special places they inhabited and the mana tuku iho, that which they passed down to their descendants. All places and names are tied to ancestors; this is where tipuna lived; this is where this battle took place, where the kai grew, where the special resources were and so on.

One story concerned the wife of a prominent chief who was abused by a group of people who resided at an inland pā site. She had gone there to collect the tipu kumara and was rudely abused by the men of that pā site. She returned to her home and informed her husband of the incident. He formed a war party and laid siege to the pā eventually routing the inhabitants. The site of this pā and battle were mapped. Then she would cast her eye over the topographical map and spot areas that sparked another story, then another until she had finished. This is how this workshop proceeded without prompting.

Section 4: Geodatabase Design
The post-workshop phase was primarily concerned with processing the raw data and creating a series of maps. This involved replicating and storing the raw data, reviewing the map biographies, entering the oral data into the iwi database and producing draft
maps for checking purposes as per milestones four through to seven as outlined in section one.

**Iwi Database**

*Iwi* are sensitive about their oral traditions and cultural information; this is characteristic of what define them as unique *iwi* or people in Aotearoa New Zealand. To respect this notion, it was important to design a database that *iwi* could easily maintain and have a firm control over their own information. In terms of the database, this meant having full access to and control of the data which *iwi* provided for the project. With this in mind, it was important to use software that was widely available, relatively cheap, and reasonably easy to use and did not require specialist training or personnel to operate and maintain.

For this task a simple flat database using a spreadsheet was designed to store metadata derived from the oral mapping process; entitled place names information system, Table 7.3. The function of this database was to collect and store the detail or *kōrero* about each place-name marked-up on the map biographies. The information from this database can effectively be used to locate the place on a topographical map and reproduce a simplified version of the map showing the oral information. Moreover, *iwi* will retain all the database information, which can form part of a cultural information management system comprising all this cultural data enhanced with the GIS information, video and audio footage of *whenua kōrero*. Table 7.4 is the *mōteatea* information system database that was used to record information of each *mōteatea* that formed part of the mapping project.
**Place names Information System**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ko Hea</th>
<th>Ko Hea</th>
<th>Ko Hea</th>
<th>Kukakuka</th>
<th>Rāwaho</th>
<th>Taunga Whenua</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Name)</td>
<td>(Name)</td>
<td>(Name)</td>
<td>(Literal translation)</td>
<td>(English equivalent)</td>
<td>(NZMG reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pirongia</td>
<td>Pirongia-te-araro-o-kahu?</td>
<td>The fragrant presence of Kahu</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taumatu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>none</td>
<td>5793000N / 1782000E NZTopo50 BE33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Takenga**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Momo whenua</th>
<th>Mata Whenua</th>
<th>Tau-whainga</th>
<th>Tapiri</th>
<th>Mahue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(What sources are used to locate this place name? e.g. Google, Topo maps, kaumatua?)</td>
<td>(Feature type)</td>
<td>(Activity Type)</td>
<td>(Notes of explanation)</td>
<td>(Other notes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google maps &amp; topo maps</td>
<td>Maunga /mountain</td>
<td>Pā sites, pā kainga</td>
<td>Puhiwahine lived at Hikurangi on the side of Pirongia</td>
<td>Name derived from ancestor Kahu, wife of Rakataura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ngā Mōteatea III:pp38-55</td>
<td>Water feature</td>
<td>Passage way for canoes, a calm pool of water, taunga ika</td>
<td>Fishing ground &amp; canoe landing site</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.3: Place names Information System

**Mōteatea Information System**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mōteatea</th>
<th>Kaitito</th>
<th>Takenga</th>
<th>kaupapa</th>
<th>Rohe</th>
<th>Whakapapa</th>
<th>Tapiri</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Mōteatea Title)</td>
<td>(Composer)</td>
<td>(Sources)</td>
<td>(Summary of mōteatea)</td>
<td>(Region)</td>
<td>(Tribal affiliations)</td>
<td>(Notes of explanation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ka eke ki Wairaka</td>
<td>Puhiwahine</td>
<td>Ngā Mōteatea I: pp198-201</td>
<td>Waiata Aroha</td>
<td>Maniapoto/Tūwharetoa</td>
<td>Maniapoto/Tūwharetoa</td>
<td>Puhiwahine involved romantically with TeToko - cousin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oriiori for Tamaunga o te rangi</td>
<td>Maperetahi</td>
<td>Ngā Mōteatea III:pp38-55</td>
<td>Instruction, guidance</td>
<td>Te Araroa, Te Ika a Māui</td>
<td></td>
<td>Whakapapa, landmarks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.4: Mōteatea Information System
Geodatabase design and implementation

Part of the process of producing draft maps requires the design and implementation of a geodatabase in ArcGIS to manage the spatial data. This is a primary concern of any mapping project. The overall database design linked back to the initial mapping themes decided at the outset of the mapping project; these themes influenced the type of information collected in the mapping workshops. This in turn governed the layers required in ArcGIS and ultimately the initial geodatabase design.

The initial design featured seven feature datasets, one standalone annotation feature class, two standalone feature classes, and two separate raster datasets. All the feature datasets contained a number of feature sets relevant to the mapping themes, the standalone annotation feature class hosted all the ancient place names without a specific location point, the two standalone feature classes consisted of the New Zealand coastline; the other the block data of the iwi rohe. Both raster datasets contained the base data; one the 1:50,000 topographic data, the other covering the same area produced by Geographx. The initial design is described below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature Dataset</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harvesting</td>
<td>Maara kai, rua kumara, ngāhere, rongoa, plant resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitation</td>
<td>Kāinga, marae, pā site, wānanga sites, haircutting sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moana</td>
<td>Taunga waka, mātaitai, awhi - streams and rivers, inland fishing grounds, reefs, rocks, currents, springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiritual</td>
<td>Ritual sites, ceremonial sites – associated with tūpūpaku and urupā</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks</td>
<td>Pouwhenua, huarahi, ara, maunga, hiwi, paemaunga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place names</td>
<td>Ancient pre-Aotearoa names</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacred Sites</td>
<td>Waahi tapu, waahi taonga</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.5: Feature dataset design

The geodatabase did not alter significantly from the initial design although new feature datasets were added to reflect the volume of oral information collected from several key mapping informants. Feature classes were created based on the type of information contained on the map biographies. This course of action was implemented to ensure that the integrity of the raw data remained intact. Moreover, it was easier to track the data during GIS processing stage, in preparation of the maps and during the draft map checking process.

http://www.geographx.co.nz/ URL for Geographx
Section 5: Analysis of Methodology

The aim of this thesis was to convert an oral tradition into a spatial tradition from a uniquely Māori perspective. Chapter Six illustrated how this was done in a controlled environment by applying modern and emerging spatial information technologies to a cultural narrative (namely mōteatea) to produce a series of maps depicting ancestral landscapes. A process involving several steps was devised, revisited, refined and documented in Chapter Six resulting in a methodology summarised in Figure 6.23. This methodology was then taken into the field and tested in an uncontrolled environment to see how it would stand up; this chapter records the results of that test which are summarised in Figure 75.

Comparison

Data Collection

The original method documented the cultural data from mōteatea directly to an A3 blank pad before committing that data to geographical space using a topographic base map in the form of a geo-tiff. The revised method, used in the mana whenua research
project, documented the cultural data from oral interviews and workshops directly to transparent sheets of A2 and A1 size overlaid on top of a topographic base map. The major differences was in the choice of medium to record the cultural data, the size of the sheets used and the production of separate transparent documents depicting cultural data set in geographical space in the revised method.

The use of an A3 blank sheet of paper in the original method was a purely intuitive decision initially. Only after documenting the cultural data from the first and second mōteatea did the actual methodology for recording the data in a logical manner unfold into a series of steps. This process was revised and refined several times resulting in a biographical sketch of the cultural data. Hindsight reveals that the next step should have been to commit the contents of the biographical sketch to a transparent overlay taped to a topographic base map thus documenting cultural data into geographical space before committing the data to GIS for the creation of maps. This was not realised until the end of the testing phase described in this chapter.

The use of A2 and A1 transparent sheets overlaid over topographic base maps was a deliberate decision in terms of the sheer volume and type of data collected in the mana whenua research project and the need for efficiency and speed due to tight time frames. This decision effectively skipped the initial collection to blank sheets of paper step and moved straight to committing the cultural data to geographical space using a transparent medium.

The data collection phase in both methods was the most important part of the process because it involved sifting through culturally significant data, interrogating the data to derive meaning and sense and organising that data, in terms of the original method, into a meaningful biographical sketch. In the revised method, it also involved collecting data relative to place and location from diverse and divers sources and committing that raw data directly to transparent overlays. The major difference in these two methods is the purpose; and it is the purpose that determined to a large degree the data collection method.
Since this thesis set out to devise a method to convert an oral tradition, in the form of oral narratives, into a spatial tradition using spatial information technologies, the A3 sheets were the perfect medium for the initial documentation process. It was quickly realised that the mōteatea contained cultural references that did not have any shape; furthermore, that data would need to be interrogated to give it shape before committing it to geographical space. The purpose of this thesis was to devise a method for merging geographical space with cultural space; to create a set of unique maps portraying this new space from a Māori perspective. Thus the A3 blank sheet was perfect for this purpose.

The application of the data collection method towards creating maps for the mana whenua research project required a re-think and a revision of the original method to ensure that it was adequate for the requirements of the project. The purpose of the mana whenua research project was the creation of maps portraying mana whenua. Thus the data collected would be location or place-based albeit collected from oral sources. Since the cultural data was already fixed in geographical space it merely required the appropriate medium to capture the data directly into geographical space; hence the use of the transparent overlays.

Therefore, the use of the A3 blank sheet of paper to collect and organise cultural data into a biographical sketch was a viable method that should form part of any strategy for collecting traditional oral information. Clearly, it has been demonstrated that this data collection method can be adapted into an uncontrolled environment to meet the objectives of a mana whenua research project provided there are adequate timeframes.

**Biographical Sketch versus the Map Biography**

The second part of the process involved the creation of a biographical sketch, as per the original method, and the creation of map biographies as per the revised and tested method. It has been demonstrated that the biographical sketch was appropriate to the purpose for which it was used; likewise the underlying principle of the map biography was used for the mana whenua research because it represented the collection of cultural data from living repositories and mirrored the function described by Tobias (2000 & 2009) in his two volumes. Each transparent sheet represented a biographical sketch of each living repository’s intimate knowledge of their ancestral landscape; hence the
adoption of the term and adaption of the method prescribed by Tobias (2000 & 2009) into what has been used in this chapter. Thus, the term biographical sketch is an appropriate label for the method used to collect the cultural data for the mana whenua research project.

**Merging Cultural Space with Geographical Space**

The third step in the process was merging cultural space with geographical space. This was easily achieved with the mana whenua research project using the map biography / biographical sketch method. Since the cultural data was documented directly to transparent overlays on top of topographic base maps it only required digitising into a prepared geodatabase organised into logical layers in GIS to prepare the maps. Moreover, the process of merging the biographical sketch with geographical space, as per the original method, required an extra step. All the supporting elements including whakapapa and base map data in the form of geo-tiffs and aerial images were collated and organised; the biographical sketch data was digitised directly into GIS. Finally, all the elements were assembled and manipulated to create a series of maps depicting the cultural references of each mōteatea.

**Final Stages**

The final stages in both the original and revised methods were the creation of draft and final maps. Both sets of maps illustrated traditional oral information; one procured from a set of oral narratives, the other extracted from living repositories and holders of traditional oral histories. Both sets of maps are a reflection of the ancestral mana embedded in the whenua; they are a reflection of ancestral landscapes as expressed by traditional oral histories converted into a spatial tradition and have thus become visible to the outside world. Therefore the original method devised for this thesis was tested in an uncontrolled environment and produced the predicted outcome.

This demonstrates clearly a unique and new contribution to academic knowledge using spatial information technologies to blend cultural knowledge with geographical space to produce a series of maps based on a Māori perspective without changing either, thus preserving the mana of both the spatial system the cultural system.
Figure 7.5: Tasks involved in the preparation of maps for mana whenua project

Collect Cultural Data → Create Map Biography → Process Raw Data → Create Initial Maps → Create Final Map

1: Decide what needs to be mapped (data types)
2: Decide who participates
3: Wānanga / workshop to collect raw data
4: Collect raw data on transparencies / topographic map
5: Prepare an initial map biography of each participant
6: Capture data by type (Marae, wānanga, rongotaketake, maara)
7: Organise raw data into map composites by type or person for digitising
8: Digitise raw data into GIS
9: Organise layers, symbols, GIS geodatabase
10: Assemble all the data together to create initial draft maps
11: Create a paepae (Legend) to link cultural data to spatial data
12: Check and Edit draft map (iwi checking)
13: Prepare final map
14: Present map to iwi
Section 6: Final Comments

One final comment regarding mana whenua mapping projects is related to the mana of the iwi claimants. In order for iwi to benefit fully from a data collection mapping project of this nature is for them to have full access and control over the raw and processed data. This requires specific skills and training in at least two areas: one, data collection methods and two, GIS training and the creation of maps. The data collection method used in Chapter Six, and summarised in Figure 6.23, was an intuitive process that evolved out of years of training in surveying, GIS and Māori thinking. The data collection method used for the mana whenua research project in this chapter was a combination of the map biography method and the biographical sketch method. It is possible for iwi to be trained in both data collection methods. This would ensure full access to and control over the raw data process for iwi. However, processing the raw data using modern technology such as GIS for the express purpose of managing large datasets, for conducting spatial analysis and for the production of purpose-built maps is not an intuitive process and would require specialised training. This is not beyond the ability of iwi, but would take an ongoing investment of time and capital.

The following is a discussion on the use of GIS to process cultural data. No effort is made to discuss the other concerns associated with the set up of GIS such as software packages, hardware set up, or base data collection and so on. This section is about enabling Māori to control and own their cultural data.

The three most popular GIS software packages are relatively expensive, require technical training and on-going technical support for new users, and are not intuitive until you have been using it for awhile. Pacey (2005) sounds this warning:

This tool [GIS] is reliant on expensive technology and involves a process that can consume vast amounts of time and energy. It uses a paper visual stimuli to communicate its information and it is limited in its cartographic re-presentation of our [Māori] epistemology. It may be recreated within a sterile environmental vacuum. We [Māori] need to consider the impact this tool can have on our traditional methods of transmitting and retaining indigenous knowledge. (Pacey 2005:31)
Despite this, GIS can be useful for Māori if they are in control of the tools; to implement this requires highly technical and specialised training.

**Data Processing and GIS training**
Consideration must be given to how raw data is processed. GIS offers a suite of tools that can manage large groups of disparate datasets, integrate and organise that data into meaningful discrete layers, host all the metadata into a purpose-built geodatabase, handle all the images including aerial photography, satellite imagery, digital topographical maps, and simple sketches; and, GIS can create maps from the data.

ArcGIS training, for example, is a complex and involved topic that could consume a great deal of time and energy. In order to create maps of cultural data, at the introductory level for ArcView the following is necessary. First, an overview of basic GIS concepts and standard ArcGIS functions and tools including Arc map, Arc Catalog, and Arc Toolbox. Second, working with and understanding how symbols and labels work. Third, learn how coordinate systems and map projections work in integrating data. Fourth, learn how to design, build and get data into a geodatabase. This requires a working knowledge of geographic models, coverages, shapefiles, raster and vector data formats. Fifth, learn how to edit data including features, attributes, labels and annotation. Sixth, learn how to produce maps.

If the goals are to collect, store, organise and manage cultural data with the expectation of creating maps, then data collection methods and GIS training is worth considering for *iwi* who wish to maintain the *mana* and *tapu* of their cultural information.

**Cultural Information System**

*The nature of cultural information*
The one-on-one interviews, conducted in the *mana whenua* project, with well-informed key individuals demonstrated a depth of *mana*, a firm grasp of the language, not just the Māori language but the nuances of their own dialect which sets them apart as a unique *iwi* within Aotearoa. It was in the language that a deep and abiding understanding of the
makeup of ancestral notions of land rests. More than once, in a one-on-one mapping interview, a person would give their *whenua kōrero* in this manner: “*this place here (point to a map) is called . . .*” (they would give the name). The next question would be, “*Why was it called that?*” to which they gave a reply, “*well, it was named after this event*”, (an explanation would be given). Or another, “*You see this place here? (pointing to a site on the topographical map)* *It used to be a pā site (name of pā site given) of this iwi (iwi name given as well as some history about how they came to be there) until our ancestors wiped them out. One day one of our prominent chiefs (name is given) of this coastal pā kāinga (pointing to the area and giving the name) sent his wife (name and some genealogy given) inland to this pā site to get the tipu for the kumara. When she got to the pā site, she told them what she wanted, to which the men of the pā replied, ‘you can have this kumara!’ (Lifting up their maro and showing her their genitals in a rude and suggestive manner). She went back to her husband and told him what they had said and did. He gathered a war party, went inland and wiped them out!*” The location of the coastal and inland pā sites were mapped; two dots on the map with their names to represent part of the history and connection of this people to the land.

Clearly, maps or GIS technologies by themselves cannot represent fully the *mana* of the cultural information. This is not a question this thesis can answer fully; however, part of the solution is found in the *Lienzo* project of Guatemala.

**Part of the solution**
The *Lienzo* discussed in Chapter Four provided part of the solution for merging cultural information with geographical information in a way that would not detract from the *mana* and *tapu* of the cultural information. The idea of the *Lienzo* as a web-based GIS application is an innovative way of looking at how the *mana* and *tapu* of cultural information can be displayed. Given that a significant body of information is collected in the process of proving *mana whenua*, and given that that cultural data is converted into spatial data using GIS, it behoves *iwi* to look at alternative ways of managing that data. Perhaps a convergence of GIS mapping technologies and other technologies that permits audio and video footage as part of the collection of geographic and cultural media to create a unique Cultural Information System may be the answer.
Methodologies: an Indigenous Perspective

Indigenous perspectives of a western paradigm

In her seminal book, Decolonizing Methodologies, Linda Smith introduces her work by stating that "the term ‘research’ is inextricably linked to European imperialism and colonialism" referring to the word research as "one of the dirtiest words in the indigenous world's vocabulary" implicating the notion of scientific research "in the worst excesses of colonialism" building up a “collective memory of imperialism” in the histories of “many of the world’s colonized peoples” wherein "knowledge about indigenous peoples was collected, classified and then represented in various ways back to the West, and then, through the eyes of the West, back to those who have been colonized" (Smith 1999:1,2). Denzin and Lincoln add to this argument that "qualitative research . . . serves as a metaphor for colonial knowledge, for power and for truth" with the way in which research provides an avenue for reporting on or about and representing the "Other", referring to Indigenous peoples, wherein "research becomes an objective way of representing the dark - skinned other to the white world" (Denzin & Lincoln 2000:1). Yet Martin and Mirraboopa do not resist or oppose “western research frameworks and ideologies” but deliberately conduct their “research from the strength and position of being Aboriginal and [view] anything western as ‘other’, alongside and among western worldviews and realities” (Martin & Mirraboopa 2009:205). However, academic knowledge is seen by many as grounded in “western ways of knowing”, and “organized according to disciplines and fields of knowledge” that adhere to “western ways of knowing” therefore they are seen as “inherently culturally insensitive” wherein “Western research simply interprets indigenous knowledge from a Western framework, effectively distorting reality” (Cochran, Marshall, Garcia-Downing, Kendall, Cook, McCubbin, & Gover 2008: 23). While this thesis acknowledges that worldviews and perceptions of reality are not homogenous but differ from one culture to another, Roxanne Struthers contends that what is “naturally known or constitutes proof in one culture may not be understood or considered relevant in another culture” (Struthers 2001:125). Furthermore, she draws the conclusion that “there are different ways of gathering, understanding, and/or applying information” which can invariably “influence researchers of diverse cultures to conduct and guide the research process in a fashion atypical to the linear, quantitative research” methodology (Struthers 2001:125).
An essential part of the methodology for this dissertation particularly in the data collection phase was largely intuitive; referred to as testing the theory in an uncontrolled environment. Royal (2002), Louis (2007), Tobias (2000 & 2009), Smith (1999), Bishop (1996), Oliveira (2006), Pihama (2002), Basso (1996), Cram (2001), Ka’ai (1995 & 2005), Bender (1999), Salmond (1985), Smith (2003), Kawagley (1995), Telfer and Garde (2006), Struthers (2001), Becvar and Srinivasan (2009) and Martin and Mirraboopa (2009) all describe similar encounters which, upon reflection in terms of this thesis, is in fact intuitive to being Māori or Indigenous. At a more personal level, I intuitively knew how to act, how to engage and how to insert myself into what Royal (2002) refers to as the conversation because of the way I was raised and the environment I was raised in. I absorbed key values and principles that influenced my behaviour and strengthened by belief systems; all this, guided my doctoral journey. Yet research in the western academy is not intuitive or circular, nor is it uncontrolled or metaphysical; it is largely a linear process.

Roxanne Struthers made a similar observation, that most Indigenous researchers confront in the western academy, while negotiating her doctoral dissertation that “the research process is customarily designed to occur in a linear fashion” which includes “selecting a research topic, reviewing literature related to the subject, formulating a research question, choosing a research design, conducting the research, analyzing data, forming a conclusion and implications, plus potentially publishing the research results” (Struthers 2001:125); steps taken by researchers in the academy, whether they are Indigenous or non-indigenous, in a linear fashion. Furthermore, the structure of the actual report follows a similar linear pattern with “an introduction, a literature review, a section on methodology, research findings, and conclusions, discussions, and implications” (Struthers 2001:125). Indigenous perspectives are very different from the linear approach fostered by the Western academy.

**Indigenous perspectives of research**

What are Indigenous notions of research? Louis describes Indigenous methodologies as “alternative ways of thinking about [the] research process” (Louis 2007:133) reflecting the cultural worldviews of the participants and researchers (Struthers 2001). Cochran,
and her colleagues, add that since knowledge “reflects the values and interests of those who generate it, and it is these values that then determine the methods that are used and the conclusions that are drawn” thus Indigenous knowledge is gained by adhering to Indigenous sets of “values and worldviews” (Cochran, Marshall, Garcia-Downing, Kendall, Cook, McCubbin, & Gover 2008: 24). Struthers adds that she, along with many other Indigenous scholars and researchers, discovered that “conducting research on or about Native peoples in a culturally sensitive manner can be extremely rewarding to the researcher and the participants, especially if done by another Native” (Struthers 2001:125,126). Smith emphasizes that research requires a special set of skills “related to being culturally sensitive” that permit entry into the “community being studied” and secures the “confidence of the informants”; she also endorses the concepts of trust, obligation and respect for the information shared during the research process (Smith 1999:197). Paul Reynolds (2004) and Charlotte Loppie (2007) simply positioned themselves within an Indigenous epistemology grounding themselves in who they were and how they view the world thus influencing their approach to research. Louis calls it a spiritual journey, noting that there is no “singular answer to this question”, but there are “fluid and dynamic approaches that emphasise circular and cyclical perspectives” whose “main aim is to ensure that research on Indigenous issues is accomplished in a more sympathetic, respectful, and ethically correct fashion from an Indigenous perspective” (Louis 2007:132,133). Furthermore, if research is conducted from an Indigenous perspective reflecting Indigenous worldviews then research can be thought of as “instinctively natural, fluid, sacred, holistic, circular, [which unfolds] in an intuitive manner” (Struthers 2001:132). Thus, there are as many perspectives about Indigenous research as there are worldviews and cultures; but there are similar principles that Indigenous scholars refer to that are common among Indigenous communities worldwide; these form the basis for a culturally safe and rich environment to study Indigenous knowledge and ways of knowing that would benefit both Indigenous and non-Indigenous researchers.

**Reframing Indigenous methodologies**
The (re)framing of Indigenous methodologies for research in the cultural space created by this dissertation is an important consideration for both Indigenous and non-Indigenous researchers alike. Collecting traditional oral histories, described in this
thesis as conducted in an uncontrolled environment, is an important feature of the methodology that is experienced in various forms by other Indigenous scholars. Struthers, for example, described her data collection as “multiple, separate, uncontrolled, informal, free-flowing, uninterrupted, open-ended participant interviews, lasting from one to three hours, to allow every opportunity for each participant to tell her story” (Struthers 2001:131). Tobias refers to collecting traditional land use and occupancy information as taking on a “life of their own, going off in this direction today, then pulling you off in a different one tomorrow” (Tobias 2000:38). Telfer and Garde describe their approach in a similar manner conducting “unstructured interviews . . . in an open discussion format” where “questions are presented in the context of discussion rather than a formal question and answer session” allowing for a “more 'natural' conversation to occur and unanticipated insights to emerge” (Telfer & Garde 2006:384). While Kahakalau uses “Hawaiian ways of communication and data collection, such as observation and talk story” (Kahakalau 2004:19), Royal (2002:10) merely conducted a series of conversations, while Loppie used “storytelling as a vehicle of teaching, learning, and sharing” to glean knowledge from the “elder women” (Loppie 2007:277). Clearly, an unstructured, uncontrolled, open-ended, natural conversation, storytelling, learning and sharing environment that permits participants to continue until they “had nothing left to say or describe” is an effective and respectful approach with Indigenous communities (Struthers 2001:131). This describes in a circular, fluid and dynamic fashion an Indigenous approach that when linked to a set of principles underpin an intuitively Indigenous research methodology that will keep you grounded and ensure “you [stay] on track and guarantee that your [research] stays manageable” (Tobias 2000:38).

A broad look at Principles and guidelines
Some of the interviews conducted for the mana whenua mapping project at times took on a life of its own with informants telling their own stories in a circular, fluid and dynamic fashion; Tobias describes similar encounters yet refers to a set of guidelines in the form of principles to keep the research grounded and on track. In his first volume of land use and occupancy mapping he describes twelve principles that proved to be effective on numerous occasions and formed “the basis for good social science” (Tobias 2000:48). They are: be respectfulful at all times for those sharing their intimate
and sacred knowledge; honour confidentiality agreements pertaining to the knowledge shared; obtaining informed consent; a realistic and workable focus; flexibility to achieve the primary aim; consistency in the methodology; good organization; caution with respect to recording of data; a preference for self reporting as opposed to information being reported second-hand; integrity of data collection and record keeping; concentrating land use and occupancy data collection on who, what, where and when; and finally celebration and fun (Tobias 2000:38-48).

Renée Louis, who describes herself as a “Hawaiian woman by birth, a cartographer by training, and an academic by choice”, writes specifically about the application of Indigenous methodologies in geographic research (Louis 2001:130). She noted that “research in Indigenous communities [needs to] be conducted respectfully, from an Indigenous point of view and that the research has meaning that contributes to the community” (Louis 2007: 131, emphasis added). She adds that “if research does not benefit the community by extending the quality of life for those in the community, it should not be done” (Louis 2007:131). She also adds that “geographers need to start building ethical research relationships with Indigenous communities” which will “contribute to the body of knowledge about Indigenous peoples and their relationship to the places where they live, those cultural landscapes infused with meaning” (Louis 2007:131, emphasis added). Furthermore, she concurs with the notion of “commonalities in the literature on Indigenous methodologies and Indigenous research agendas” and adds what she refers to as “four unwavering principles”: “relational accountability [which] describes the concept that Indigenous peoples share about their dependence on everything”; “respectful (re)presentation [which] requires the researcher to consider how you represent yourself, your research and the people, events, phenomena you are researching”; “reciprocal appropriation [which is used as a metaphor . . . that describes the attitudes of Native Americans to the environment”; “and rights and regulation [which] refers to research that is driven by Indigenous protocols, contains explicitly outlined goals, and considers the impacts of the proposed research” (Louis 2007:133).
Katherine Becvar and Ramesh Srinivasan emphasize “cultural sensitivity” with “active participation in decision making . . . in every phase of [the] research (Becvar and Srinivasan 2009:432). They advocate research that is “done with people, rather than on or about them” and developed their own set of principles that reflected their notion of collaborative methodology that emphasized “direct Indigenous involvement” at “all levels and phases of the research” and data collection methods that were appropriate for handling “sensitive information gathered” during the research (Becvar and Srinivasan 2009:432,433). Furthermore, the “issue of ownership of the research products” including any field-notes, published works and online access systems were clarified and finally, they emphasize the need for the right kind of research partnership that works well with all partners (Becvar and Srinivasan 2009:432).

Karen Martin and Booran Mirraboopa are more specific in their theoretical framework for Indigenous research choosing to position themselves in a “proactive, progressive and visionary” manner that “both [structured] and [guided their] research (Martin and Mirraboopa 2009:205). They outline a set of four principles: first, “recognition of [their] worldviews, [their] knowledges and [their] realities as distinctive and vital to [their] existence and survival”; second, “honouring [their] social mores [through which they] situate [themselves] as Aboriginal people in [their] own lands”; third, “emphasis of social, historical and political contexts which shape our experiences, lives, positions and futures”; and fourth, “privileging the voices, experiences and lives of Aboriginal people and Aboriginal lands” (Martin and Mirraboopa 2009:205).

Charlotte Loppie advocates a participatory research approach which she describes as “intimately linked to many Indigenous philosophies” that emphasize “the value of local participation, learning through action, collective decision making, and empowerment through group activity” (Loppie 2007:278). The philosophy underpinning a participatory approach “also embraces the participation of diverse senses and capacities, including the physical, emotional, psychological, spiritual, and social” (Loppie 2007:278). This approach promotes “self determination of Aboriginal peoples”, a notion regarded widely around the world by most if not all Indigenous
peoples and reflected a “deep respect for the intellectual and intuitive capacities of Aboriginal women” (Loppie 2007:278).

The five examples explored above reveal how Indigenous principles can be inserted into the research framework to guide the research in a more respectful manner that reflects an Indigenous notion of worldview. Furthermore, the examples offer an alternative way of thinking about research and how appropriate methodologies can be constructed that will benefit Indigenous communities, create appropriate working relationships with all parties, set up guidelines for conducting collaborative research with external organisations and bodies in keeping with Indigenous values while engendering trust, confidentiality and cultural sensitivity.

**Kaupapa Māori comparison**

Māori scholars and researchers, and indeed communities, align themselves along similar lines with their Indigenous colleagues in terms of their cultural perspectives and views of research methodology. As Smith articulates, Māori “have a different epistemological tradition which frames the way [Māori] see the world, the way [Māori] organise [themselves] in it, the questions [they] ask and the solutions which [they] seek” (Smith 1999:187,188). Thus Māori often refer to methodology as “kaupapa Māori research” shifting from the paradigm of collaborative research to “Māori-centred research” where the focus is grounded on “indigenous values, attitudes and practices” (Smith 1999:125). The *Kaupapa Māori* framework for research has been described as grounded in worldview (Smith 1999:184, 187), framed within the Treaty of Waitangi, located within the wider struggle for self-determination (Smith 1999:185), connected to Māori philosophies and principles, rooted in identity (Smith 1999:186), involves the mentorship of elders, is culturally safe and organised around the concept of *whānau*.

Smith argues that the *whānau* plays a vital role in underpinning the methodology of research as it is a natural social grouping around which the research group can be organised. This has a practical role wherein *whānau* incorporate their own form of “ethical procedures” reporting back to the community and giving a voice to the
different sections of the community. In addition, the *whānau* provides a direct avenue for debating the “ideas and issues” relevant to the research (Smith 1999:187). This was clearly evident in the mapping project presented in this thesis, as the *whānau* opened up doors to people with specialised knowledge and expertise.

Principles also play a large role in *kaupapa Māori* research. Smith argues that cultural ground rules for any research approach must be set in place and should include respect, how to work with communities, the process of sharing and knowledge; (Smith 1999:191) familiar concepts with Indigenous peoples. Furthermore, she asserts that many “communities have a strong sense of what counts as ethical research” which includes “research involving the environment, archival research and any research which examines ancestors, either as physical remains, or using their photographs, diaries or archival records” (Smith 1999:191). Hence the concept of respecting the *mana* and *tapu* of both the informants and information described in this thesis.

In his comparative study of Indigenous worldviews in face to face interviews with Indigenous scholars, Charles Te Ahukaramu Royal (2002:10, 11) briefly describes how he inserts himself into the conversation. His intention at the outset was to gain some insight of Indigenous methodologies with a view to contrasting and comparing them with the *kaupapa Māori* research methodology. He describes his initial approach to this task in simple terms such as visiting their traditional homelands and significant sites, swimming in their waters, breathing in their air, and conducting “conversations rather than interviews to foster exchange”; essentially orienting himself into their traditional landscapes and within their view of the world. The idea of engaging in conversations as opposed to a series of interview questions is an important consideration with Indigenous peoples especially Māori.

*Kaupapa Māori and Indigenous methodologies*

Royal noted that a “key aspiration of all indigenous peoples is cultural survival [which requires] the perpetuation of [Indigenous] knowledge, [Indigenous] traditions, [Indigenous] worldviews, [and Indigenous] philosophies” if it is to continue (Royal
Furthermore, he advocates research into the principles of traditional knowledge and “its fundamental views on reality and the creative application of those principles and views in the contemporary context” as opposed to simply using the traditional knowledge, to avoid that body of knowledge being confined to being viewed merely as “historical phenomena” with “little relevance to the contemporary experience of indigenous peoples” (Royal 2002:12, 13).

Initiating conversations
At a personal level, my experience with a mana whenua research project has, in some small way, offered a number of insights into appropriate research methodologies and being a researcher than any course, lecture(er) or book could have provided. Yet while I grew up with Māori parents and grandparents, within a small community of largely Māori families, and instilled with values native to a Māori community, in terms of research and being a researcher, I was still viewed as rāwaho or outsider. Perhaps this was largely because of my specific role and position as a researcher with expert status as a GIS consultant attached to an outside organisation. However, as a member of a Māori community (tribe) I can position myself easily in terms of my mountain, body of water, tribal ancestor and tribe. These cultural symbols instantly ground me geographically, politically, historically, culturally and genealogically relative to every other Māori community around Aotearoa. Furthermore, it (re)establishes connections with other Māori communities along similar genealogical lines, familiar stories, shared histories or related struggles which allow me to initiate and insert myself into the conversation in a uniquely Indigenous fashion. While I can locate myself within a Māori community, as rāwaho engaging in research with another Māori community to which I have no whakapapa links, the critical issues that underpin research is that it needs to be ethical, respectful, reflexive, critical and approached with a degree of humility at all times (Smith 1999).

Smith discusses in detail some of the issues confronting Indigenous researchers based in the academy working with Indigenous communities. She refers to gaining “access to knowledge when working with elders”, employing “protocols of respect and practices of reciprocity”, the process of “gaining informed consent”, where consent is often
granted for the person and their credibility as opposed to consent for a research project, negotiating the grounds for reciprocation and developing the right amount of trust, developing the “quality of the interaction” with the community, “building and having relationships with elders”, and accepting “extended conversations” as they “tell stories, tease, question, think, observe, tell riddles, test and give trick answers” (Smith 1999:136). Sometimes you may feel that you are on an elliptical treadmill, yet this is part of the process of developing respect and humility and could be the test of your credibility; it could lead to you being welcomed into the inner sanctum of an iwi. This is all part of the methodology and approach I experienced in my research; it should also form part of any set of guidelines for engaging in collaborative research with iwi around Aotearoa for Māori and non-Māori researchers.

Research in the academy undertaken by Indigenous or non-Indigenous researchers into the Māori world with deference to the principles and issues outlined above will encounter a rich cultural experience if they first position themselves in their worldview and their system of values. Thus grounded, be prepared for a circular, fluid, dynamic, uncontrolled, unstructured, instinctively natural, sacred, holistic and spiritual journey; it will be extremely rewarding.

Conclusion
Māori mapping projects are a social organisational event that just happens to contain a technical component. The mapping workshops demonstrated that Māori are still comfortable engaging in small clusters of people, reminiscing and sharing stories about their homelands in their youth, arguing about which ancestor lived where and what they did, and connecting with the events, histories, place names and ancestors that link them to their tūrangawaewae, the Māori concept of ‘place to stand’. To define the contents of that ‘place to stand’ is crucial to preserving the mana and identity of that iwi. Thus, when they said, “this place was a maara that belonged to this ancestor” and “this is where our ancestors fought their battles with” and “we were told to catch fish on this side of the river near this rock” and “these places were the best for kūtai, pāua and kina; karengo is all down this part of the coast line” and “this ridge here, this is where
this ancestor had his main pā site”. All this whenua kōrero or land information was accompanied by a story; there was always a story.

One person shared this story: “You see this ridge here? (pointing to a topographical map of the area) “All along here were a series of pā sites” (drawing a pencil along the ridgeline) “you see how they (pā sites) all ran in a line in a southerly direction down the coast?” (this is where I nod in understanding) “There was a path that connected all these pā sites together” (sweeping movement with the hand over the area) “so, when they were attacked from the north, they could fight a running retreat from this pā site back along the ridge to this, then this pā site. But this pā site here (said with heavy emphasis and gesticulation) is where this ancestor lived; it was a very important place!” This is when I would acknowledge the emphasis, pause to let the moment sink in, and then draw the whenua kōrero up on the transparency.

Māori still map their land in a similar manner as those early ancestors such as Reko, Huruhuru, Tuki and Te Heuheu. This is how they relate to their lands. This is how they contribute to a Māori mapping project; by telling their stories. This is the makeup of their tūrangawaewae, their mana whenua, mana moana and mana tangata. How do we portray these instances of mana? Clearly, maps are an incomplete method.

Imagine a system that could represent the stories behind each spatial component; a system that could expand each dot, line, polygon and image and give the full meaning, associated history and origin of each place name. The challenge is to find a way to portray the mana; the mana contained in the kōrero, the whenua kōrero, and the stories as fully as possible. In this manner the mana and tapu is maintained.

The methodology used in the mana whenua mapping project to engage Māori in mapping their ancestral lands was simple in concept; yet it was complex in actual practice. Short-comings were recognised in the initial proposal to allow for video and audio recording of the mapping workshops. However, contractual arrangements with the funding agency did not acknowledge the need to record either by video or audio any

---

170 See Chapter 5 for a discussion on early Māori maps
of the mapping workshops at any stage; thus the proposal was amended to reflect that. Hindsight meetings with the funding agency revealed that these workshops should have been recorded for more than just the maps.

A certain amount of vision and understanding is required in undertaking a project such as this. Given the nature of a mapping project, as a social organisational event, a crucial component of any mapping proposal is to capture all the stories that reflect the sentiment, the connection and the mana of the whenua kōrero given by those who participate and share their knowledge.

The milestones of this project reflected the initial approach and thrust of the mapping project at the beginning; ostensibly to capture geographic locations of significant sites along with their place names for the purpose of creating a series of maps that reflected how īwi used and occupied the land. Yet on the ground when working with the people in a workshop or one-on-one interview, a measure of flexibility and fluidity was required to change and adapt to what was appropriate and important at a specific instance in time to meet the objectives.

The lessons learned from engaging in a mapping programme that articulated the mana whenua, mana moana and mana tangata are invaluable in terms of understanding the sense and depth of connection Māori still have with their ancestral places. It was equally invaluable in learning how to work with people on the ground engaging with the living repositories, drawing out the information in a meaningful way, whilst thinking about how to engage the technical aspects of the actual mapping itself. It is useful or rather mandatory to have a good grasp of te reo Māori and of the tikanga associated with being Māori. If the mapping coordinator does not have the language, it becomes a technical process; and mapping instances of mana is not a technical process, it is a tikanga process. To observe this process of tikanga is to be proficient in the Māori world and the spatial information technology world.

Indigenous peoples, including Māori, around the world face unique challenges pertaining to their ancestral territories in planning for and protecting their notions about those ancestral territories. For Māori, issues related to mana whenua, the protection and
maintenance of cultural assets, their language, their histories and their stories will benefit from the creation of maps that reflect their notions of mana. Conceding this, mapping technologies such as GIS offers a unique suite of tools that will go a long way in moving toward this objective. The key to getting it right is to ensure the mana and tapu of all the whenua kōrero remains intact during any part of the process; this requires an understanding of cultural conventions.

This chapter reflects the application of the theoretical process, developed in Chapter Six in a controlled environment, to an uncontrolled environment in the form of a mana whenua mapping project for an iwi or tribe in Aotearoa New Zealand over a period of six months. The objective of the theoretical process was to devise a way to convert an oral tradition using mōteatea, into spatial tradition using spatial information technologies, from a Māori perspective. This chapter is a record of that testing phase. The biographical sketch was adapted with the map biography method to meet the needs and requirements of the mana whenua research project and was found adequate to the task. The findings of this chapter indicate that it is possible to merge cultural space with geographical space without changing the state or mana of either space. What occurs is the development of a new space predicated on the protocols of both the cultural and geographical space where both retain their innate mana and where both are connected using the concept of the paepae. This chapter clearly demonstrates a contribution to new academic knowledge.

Nāku noa ēnei kupu i tāngia pepa nei. Ko tāku e mihi atu ki a rātou mā, kei tua o te ārai, ki tērā whare o Tāwhirirangi, i te pūmotomoto o Tikitiki-o-rangi – e okioki ai! E aku nui, aku rahi!

Anō rā tāku e mihi ake ki a koutou; koutou i para te huarahi teitei, uaua rānei, māku e whai ake nei i ō waewae tapu, i ngā maramara i waiho ake rā! Māku e mau tonu atu i te aka matua i te wā e kimi rapa haere i te wānanga! Nō reira e hika mā, tēnā nō koutou.

Tēnei hoki tāku e tuku mihi atu ki a koutou i kōrerohia ō kōrero, i huatia mai ō hua, i patua nei rā te taringa o te hianga nei kia pupuketia te māhara! ki a koutou ngā wānanga - tēnā te mauri te mauri kei runga!! Kei a Ranginui e tū iho rā, kei te kāhui
Tēnā, ka tāhuri kē ki tēnei taha o te rapu wānanga, otirā, ki ngā pūkenga matua o te whare wānanga – otirā ki a koe Lachy. Nāu ahau i whai tikanga, i whai huarahi, kia oti atu tēnei momo. Heoi anō e hoa, tēnā rawa atu koe!

Heoi anō, ki tērā o ngā tino tipua o te whare wānanga, ki a GLB. E te titapu mārōrō! E te kaiwetewete whakaaro! E te arero tawhito – kua pau kē te kōrero ki a koe, heoi anō, ki te kore koe, kahore ahau! Atu anō ki a koe Lachlan, anei tāku (te kumara) e mihi atu rā ki a koe, mō tō māhi tautako. Heoi ano, ki a kōrua nei - tēnā kōrua, tēnā kōrua, ā, tēnā korua!
Chapter Eight: *Hokai Nuku* – Leap forward
Introduction

The critical question that underpins this thesis is: how can spatial information technologies be merged with the geography of narratives without diminishing its mana or tapu? This thesis set out to find a way to blend the unique ancestral relationship Māori have to the whenua with GIS mapping technologies without any of the narratives losing any of its uniqueness or the whenua losing any of its integrity. This was achieved by creating a new space between two different world views using the concept of the paepae to merge the divergent views of the same landscape: one a derivative of spatial reference systems that reduces the landscape down to a set of x, y and z coordinates; the other, a cultural landscape woven from a rich tapestry of oral narratives that breathe mauri or life-force into the land and record the footprints of human connection with their sacred landscapes.

Kaupapa Māori Rangahau: Opening the window

When Tūwharetoa the tribe were contemplating their next move against an enemy that had just trespassed into their tribal territory and sacked one of their pā sites at Waitahanui, some chiefs urged immediate pursuit to avenge the deaths of some of the ariki who had been killed in the attack. Following a lengthy discussion Tamamutu, who was the paramount chief following in his father’s footsteps, uttered these famous words to the assembled war party (Grace 1959:166-167): (capitalisation and underlining added for emphasis)

E Tuwharetoa e!
Kia ata whakatere i te waka nei,
kei pariparia e te tai,

ka monehunehu te kura.
Ka whakamarotia atu ano,
ka whakahoki mati ki TE KAPUA
WHAKAPIPI
ka mate kainga tahi
ka ora kainga rua

Tuwharetoa, be careful when launching your waka,
Lest it be overcome by the tide
And its plumes drenched.
It is well to advance and to stretch out,
But in the event of reverses, return to those left behind where strength is reserved

171 The Tūwharetoa tribe inhabit the region surrounding Lake Taupō in the centre of Te Ika a Māui, the North Island of Aotearoa, New Zealand. Their boundary heads north near the Mihi bridge south of Reporoa; West to Titiraupenga, and the Hauhungaroa Ranges and south-west to Taumarunui; east to the Kaimanawa Ranges; and South to the Tongariro National Park.
172 Waitahanui was a pā site at the southern end of Lake Taupō
Te kapua whakapipi are known as the guardian clouds of Tūwharetoa that hover around the Kaimanawa ranges in the east of Taupō Moana\footnote{Moana is lake in this instance} and often move around the region always returning to the east as a sign to the people of the region that their strength lies in their unity when contemplating important decisions concerning their future. Even today this whakataukī is often quoted when Tūwharetoa are considering their next move in matters that concern the future of their tribe. In the same manner the underlying principle behind this whakataukī from my tribal domain has been used as a signal to always consider the ancestors when pondering important issues; for that was one of the lessons often taught to the youth of this tribal area. This thesis is one of those pivotal moments; so too is the concept of merging two world views.

This dissertation may influence, in some small way, future generations of Māori who engage in thesis study by first positioning themselves in context of who they are and where they come from; not only geographically and academically but also what has shaped the way they think and what has shaped the way they are as Māori. Then, they engage in research and write from that perspective always with the view of pondering what the ancestors would do and in so doing, making sure they get it right. This is the position that this thesis takes; looking to the past towards the ancestors for guidance in the future.

This thesis contains some sacred utterances in the form of karakia or classical ritual incantations and invocations, and mōteatea or classical chants and songs, and stories that belong to the Māori world; they come from the early ancestors of the Māori. These have been used judiciously with some measure of restraint akin to reverence because they are sacred and as such it is hoped that they receive the right treatment and respect. However, there are several reasons why these oral narratives were selected for this thesis: one, they are the right medium that characterise the Māori world view; two, they contain insight to how the early Māori ancestors connected with their land; three, they are a rich corpus of cultural knowledge unique to this country that is yet to be fully explored in terms of mapping ancestral territories; and four, the mōteatea, in particular, are ideal for exploring whether Māori ancestral landscapes can be blended with modern and emerging spatial information technologies without any loss of cultural integrity.
Most of these mōteatea and karakia were collated from wānanga; special learning sessions set aside for a specific kaupapa or theme, over a number of years where participants would be acculturated with a unique style of learning; a Māori style of learning based on the spoken word without script. Wānanga styles of learning are challenging for students of all levels; but it is a style of learning where you need to earn what you learn.

The appropriate context for these sacred texts is in the Māori world, for they are best understood by those who understand the cultural conventions that underpin the Māori world view; else they are often misunderstood. Not only are they best understood from a Māori perspective, they are best interpreted from a Māori perspective. Therein lays the crux of this thesis: applying modern and emerging spatial information technologies to a cultural narrative from a largely Māori cultural perspective to produce a model representing ancestral landscapes.

This thesis has endeavoured to explore this theory in the following manner: first, by examining the necessary rules of engagement incidental to the Māori world whilst advocating an understanding of world view, both Indigenous and Māori and how they contrast with western approaches; second, establishing the Indigenous and Māori sense and depth of regard for their sacred places and exploring the concept of the paepae to create a new space based on the perspective of two different world views; third, looking at Indigenous approaches to mapping and mapping technologies and exploring how these have been implemented; fourth, exploring Māori attempts at mapping and implementation of mapping technologies and how this technology can be used for mapping cultural narratives; fifth, developing a process in a controlled environment involving spatial information technologies for mapping oral narratives; and sixth, testing the process in an uncontrolled environment by mapping mana whenua and mana moana in a mana whenua mapping project.
Ka pū te ruha, Ka hao te rangatahi
Māori are part of a wider group of Indigenous peoples around the world that share similar challenges and concerns pertaining to their ancestral territories. They often find the need to create maps to articulate their concerns and to communicate those concerns to external organisations and government agencies. With this in mind, maps become the lens by which both parties can view the landscape through their own lenses or world view.

To aid in this process, spatial information technologies such as GIS offers a unique suite of tools for land use management, collection and storage of spatial assets and the articulation of mana whenua and mana moana through the creation of maps. GIS is a useful tool for Māori if they are used with their own set of lenses rather than ones that originate from another world view.

GIS mapping technology is used widely around the world by Indigenous and Non-Indigenous peoples to manage and manipulate large amounts of geographical or spatially organised information. GIS applications are known to render down the real world into a series of coordinates within a well-defined geographical framework. This concept is useful as it permits data from disparate and diverse sources to be integrated into a series of layers within a single geographical framework for storage, manipulation and the creation of maps based on the combination of any number of layers of spatial information. In contrast, Indigenous peoples tend to describe their ancestral landscapes in ways that are remarkably different to Western perspectives. The overarching themes that draw together Indigenous notions of world view are balance in nature and the interconnectedness of all things that define the world. Indigenous people’s perceptions of the world stem from their interpretation of how the world began and their relationship to everything in that world. Their world view was their window to understanding everything that happened in the world. It also influenced and dominated their behaviour and shaped their societies.

The Role of Narratives
Traditional forms of narratives are often used to describe sense and depth of place; these include stories, songs and legends forming cultural geography. Despite the obvious
differences in world views, GIS technology has enormous implications and application for Indigenous peoples around the world looking at managing their ancestral landscapes based on their world view. Indigenous notions of geography based on cultural narratives offers unique challenges for the integration and use of GIS. The aim of this thesis was concerned with how GIS can be used to capture the geography of these narratives or the geography of a traditional oral culture without changing the nature or integrity of that culture.

A large part of the traditional view of the Māori world has been expressed in this thesis using oral narratives; especially mōteatea and karakia. All oral narratives were a crucial part of the traditional world of Māori and were memorised carefully and passed on through the generations. They are still employed today in modern New Zealand society. These mōteatea and karakia were chosen purposely because: one, these oral narratives capture the essence of the Māori world view in a uniquely Māori way; second, the mōteatea in particularly, contain the right elements that form part of the solution for blending Māori notions of space with geographic space.

**Merging Two World Views**

The impetus that drives this thesis is the assertion that western world views simply cannot translate the meaning and function of a traditional world view without diluting the integrity of the cultural information that informs that view. The only sensible course is to use an Indigenous world view or lens to interpret the landscape and the same lens to apply the concepts offered by modern spatial information technologies to create a model that reflects the geography of Indigenous narratives.

Two tenets underpin this thesis: one, the Indigenous world view is vastly different from the Western world view often resulting in misunderstanding when trying to make sense of the other’s world view using their own set of lenses. Likewise, spatial information systems capture and display data based on a mathematical portrayal of the surface of the earth, whereas Indigenous societies see that same space in terms of the relationships that exist between them and their environment. The second tenet is that mapping is a reflection of the ontological and epistemological structures of a culture and when one
society expresses the spatial concepts of another society using their own set of lenses significant meaning is lost in translation. These fundamental tenets are crucial to understanding how Indigenous knowledge about their sacred places can be integrated with spatial information technologies.

**Indigenous World View**

The Indigenous world view shapes the Indigenous view of land. Likewise, the Māori world view underpins the Māori view of land. In the Māori world view the concept of the pae or paepae offers a viable starting point for articulating the shape of the space between two worlds, each with different sets of lenses and for merging ancestral landscapes, as expressed by the Māori world view, with modern spatial information technologies. The concept of the paepae or boundary between distinct cultures acts as a metaphor for blending the two worlds. In this way, the paepae can exist as either a distinct line or as a well-defined spatial domain that encompasses elements of both cultures by negotiation and articulation between and by both cultures.

Māori interpretation of place is in some ways very similar to other Indigenous peoples around the world; their interpretation is based on their world view. And just like other Indigenous peoples, Māori notions and concepts about land and ancestral landscapes were held in their heads; this gave them the facility to navigate easily through their territories.

**Indigenous spatial information technologies**

Indigenous peoples are known to have endured a long and difficult history of widespread dispossession of their native lands at the hands of their colonisers using maps to alienate Indigenous peoples from their territories.

Although maps, initially, were used primarily to alienate Indigenous peoples from their natural environments, the new maps could not erase the history etched into the landscape by the generations that had inhabited those areas. Nor could those maps erase the memories and passion their Indigenous inhabitants felt for their homelands. Neither could those maps encapsulate the mental maps carried around in the memories of the
Indigenous mind. However, without maps in the modern world, Indigenous peoples have found it increasingly difficult to defend their ancestral territories from annexation and appropriation of its natural resources.

Indigenous mapping is largely an interpretation of place, of history, of identity, of culture, of relationships. As Indigenous peoples create maps of their ancestral domains the exercise for them is more than technical; it is primarily a social exercise as they engage their own people in mapping their stories. Since Indigenous peoples carry ‘maps in their heads’, Indigenous mapping is largely a reflection of how they see and interpret their places, their history, their identity, and their relationships with their lands. It has been demonstrated that maps can represent Indigenous world views and illustrate the historical and cultural connections between people and their ancestral landscapes if the lenses are Indigenous; the Lienzo project of Nicaragua is one of many projects that reflect Indigenous connections to land.

The Solution

The Lienzo

The innovative approach of the Lienzo in blending their historical cartography with spatial information technologies formed part of the solution for this thesis in finding an appropriate way to merge modern spatial information technologies with instances of Māori oral narratives. Examining this project led to adopting and adapting the Lienzo notion of using a timeline to represent their cultural equivalent of a narrator to convey their histories. In terms of this thesis, this means using the concept of the paepae to convey the depth of Māori stories. Hence a collaboration of cultural conventions was conceived; using the Lienzo to convey cultural context and the paepae to create a new space; then projecting that new space into geographic space.

The role of the Paepae in the Māori World

In the Māori world view, whenever Māori bring a host onto their cultural complexes or marae, the ritual of encounter or hui takes place with the paepae as a key player in the encounter. The paepae plays a key role in bringing two groups of people together. To merge cultural information of the Māori world with spatial information technologies is
a ritual; a ritual of encounter just like on the marae of merging or bringing two diverse concepts together. Hence the function of the paepae, as described in this thesis, is to merge cultural space with geographic space.

The primary aim of this thesis was to find a way to merge spatial information technologies with the culture of narratives. In this thesis cultural space is represented by mōteatea. Conversely, geographic space is depicted by the way in which spatial information technologies represent geographic information broken down into coordinates or raster formats within a spatial framework.

The Biographical Sketch and the Map Biography
The innovation of the biographical sketch permitted the collection of cultural data without knowing, initially, how it would be fixed into a spatial framework. With hindsight, it would have been prudent to locate the cultural data of each mōteatea within geographical space by transferring the data to a transparent sheet overlaid on to a topographic base map. This is where the map biography data collection method pioneered in Canada in the 1970s coupled with the biographical sketch formed an essential part of the solution to this thesis. In terms of the solution, the biographical sketch was used to create the initial maps of the mōteatea. These initial maps of cultural space drawn up from the cultural information contained in mōteatea were then projected into geographic space; both spaces were then linked together using the concept of the paepae. This method was refined and tested in an uncontrolled environment detailed in Chapter Seven adapting the map biography method to create a more efficient data collection method to produce maps for a mana whenua research project.

Mōteatea Information System
Mōteatea are a rich oral tapestry woven by masterful composers in a language imbued with ancient imagery bringing together epic histories, unique customs and values from a vast body of oral knowledge which have been passed down through the generations. They grant the living generation special insight to the minds and lives of the ancestors and are clothed in metaphorical commentary common for folk of their ilk. Mōteatea
form works of epic poetry that use atypical tones and irregular tempos that facilitate memory retention. Coupled with moving themes, they evoke strong emotion and awaken bitter-sweet memories that transform into vivid images of ancestors, of past histories and of special places. Furthermore, mōteatea are a constant reminder of the familial whakapapa, the ancestral events, the old stories, and the historical landmarks thus restoring the enduring tradition of connecting people to their special places in a meaningful way.

Of all the oral narratives available from the Māori world, mōteatea were chosen because of the abundance of cultural information they contain. They are a unique collection comprising whakapapa, karakia, sacerdotal content, stories about battles and deeds of bravery, instructions, warnings as well as references to ancestors, places, landmarks, well-known geographical features and significant ancestral events; all the elements required to create an enduring connection to the ancestral territories. The poetic phrasing of the mōteatea imbued with cultural wisdom made it easy to memorise and recall. Whilst mōteatea were not composed to portray spatial relationships, prominent and important landscape features and people were often embedded in them making it possible to convert the cultural data easily into geographic space; they are also invaluable as a resource for instruction and acculturation. Thus, these narratives were the perfect cultural narrative for translating the cultural landscapes into a spatial landscape.

**Mapping Mōteatea**

Mapping mōteatea is largely a social process than it is technical requiring a good grasp of cultural conventions to interpret and understand the context of the piece. It requires a knowledge of stories, whakapapa, whenua kōrero or knowledge about the land, and an understanding of the ancestral language, the histories and the spiritual connections that people have to the land. To distinguish and interpret these fine distinctions will give depth of meaning to the mōteatea which can be transformed into simple sketches using the biographical sketch method. Moreover, it will ensure that the mana and tapu of the knowledge is acknowledged and respected. Although the simple sketch may well be a just a few lines, symbols and text on a piece of paper, they are imbued with mana and
tapu made possible by a huge body of cultural knowledge embedded in mōteatea that have been collected and carefully maintained over many generations.

These cultural narratives were interrogated with one theme in mind: to illustrate how Māori interpret their landscapes based on a selection of significant traditional oral narratives and how these interpretations could be used to map the spatial extent of their cultural space. Through mōteatea a sense of cultural space can be determined and what that cultural space may have looked like to the early Māori ancestors based on their knowledge embedded in the mōteatea. A simple biographical sketch was created from the oral information contained in the mōteatea representing the composer’s view of their world at the time of composition. The spatial assets inherent in the oral traditions were extracted; then that cultural space was projected into cartographic space to create the spatial extent of those cultural assets. Cultural space remains cultural space; we merely project that space into cartographic or geographic space without changing either of them. Thus the mōteatea map is merely the portal for viewing the geographic representation of the cultural data; it is not the culture.

In the map depicting the oriori for Wharau rangi (Figure 6.16), the paepae displays the cultural information with English translation of the places along the lower-west coast of the North Island. The maps for Puhiwahine’s (Figures 6.9 – 6.12) fifty-year journey depicts the paepae as a timeline that unfolds her journey from beginning to end. Whereas maps usually have legends, these maps have a paepae to represent both the cultural information and the geographic interpretation of that information.

These maps are a unique expression of Māori understanding of the world and reflect the tangible and visual expressions of their cultural knowledge, their values, and spiritual connections to the heavens and the earth. The paepae is the key to creating new space and unlocking the wealth of knowledge contained in each mōteatea by informed consent of the custodians of that knowledge. If the culture informs the map with their own set of lenses, and not the map inform the culture then it might be a worthy medium for passing on this cultural knowledge to the next generation.
Mapping the *mana* of the *whenua*

*Toitū te whenua*

*Whatu ngarongaro te tāngata*

The axiom above sheds light on the nature of the land and its temporary tenants: *toitū te whenua*, ‘the land endures’, *whatu ngarongaro te tāngata*, ‘while people dwindle away’. Humankind’s relationship with the land is tenuous at best, lasting no longer than the period for which humankind inhabits the earth. Māori recognise the *mana* the land possesses as the Mother of the godlike ancestors, from whom we as humans derived our birth and existence at *kura waka* when those ancestors created the first human. This concept underpins the notion of mapping the *mana* of the land.

Māori mapping projects are very much like the Indigenous mapping projects in that they are largely a social organisational event that just happens to contain a technical component; they are also concerned with mapping the *mana* of the *whenua*. The mapping workshops demonstrated that Māori still reminisce and share stories about their homelands in their youth, arguing about which ancestor lived where and what they did, and connecting with the events, histories, place names and ancestors that connect them to their *tūrangawaewae*, the Māori concept of ‘place to stand’. This tradition of shared and treasured history embedded in the land adds *mana* to the *whenua* with every passing generation.

Oral and traditional history is the basis for *iwi* to establish their *mana whenua* over a particular *rohe*. The oral history programme detailed in Chapter Seven, consisted of collecting history that has been handed down from generation to generation and retained by a number of living key contributors who trace descent to the *iwi* that reside within the *rohe*. This evidence was used to complement the preparation of a traditional history or *mana whenua* report to address the key issue of *mana* or rights of use and occupation over a defined *whenua* or region. Part of the *mana whenua* report involved the preparation of a series of maps to support the oral and traditional history evidence. In effect, the mapping project created maps detailing how *iwi* used and occupied the land and sea. Moreover, the mapping recorded the *mana* of the *whenua* using oral
histories handed down from generation to generation some of which were recorded in the form of mōteatea.

**Mapping the stories**
The early maps drawn by Tuki, Reko, Te Heuheu, Huruhuru and others illustrated that the map was merely a storyboard used by these ancestors as a means to tell their stories. These maps were always accompanied by kōrero; usually significant kōrero drawn from the storehouse of knowledge held in their heads. Mōteatea was one of the methods Māori used to store significant bodies of cultural information which were carefully passed down through the generations.

That idea of telling stories to accompany the creation of maps or rather the mapping of mana is still extant today. Singing or chanting mōteatea is still a cultural convention observed today by Māori all over the country. Explicit in these oral narratives are the Māori concepts and notions of place, of connection to the ancestors and the land, of belonging to special places. To define place is to define what Māori refer to as tūrangawaewae a ‘place to stand’; a concept that is crucial to preserving the mana and identity of that iwi. Thus when Māori engage in mapping there was always a story that connected them in familial ways to the places they were talking about.

When they said, “this place was a maara that belonged to this ancestor” and “this is where our ancestors fought their battles with” and “we were told to catch fish on this side of the river near this rock” and “these places were the best for kūtai, pāua and kina; and karengo is all down this part of the coast line” and “this ridge here, this is where this ancestor had his main pā site”. All this whenua kōrero or land information was accompanied by a story; there was always a story. The stories have survived several centuries and still connect people to their lands.

**Key lessons**
There were many key lessons learnt in mapping Māori notions of place. One of these key lessons is the mana and tapu of the whenua kōrero especially when given in its native tongue. Whenua kōrero or information and stories about land demonstrates a depth of mana, an understanding of tapu, a firm grasp of the language, not just the
Māori language but the nuances of a particular dialect which sets people apart as a unique ʻīwī within the Māori world.

Mapping the mana of the land is always accompanied with significant detail whether it is the name of an important ancestor, an event tied to that ancestor and the place at which that event occurred or where that ancestor lived. A person would give their whenua kōrero in this manner: “This place here (pointing to a place on the topographic map) is called . . .” (they would give the name). I would ask, “Why was it called that?” To which they would reply, “well, it was named after this event”, an explanation would be given. Chapter Seven provides many examples illustrating the way in which Māori refer to their land.

When Te Heuheu explained his drawing on the ground to Bishop Selwyn in 1843, it was accompanied with kōrero; likewise with Tuki, Reko and others. The drawings acted as a storyboard which was elaborated with kōrero. This is how Māori map and relate to their lands; they tell stories about the events that transpired with their ancestors. They explain the meaning behind each place name embedded into the landscape. This is the makeup of their tūrangawaewae, and their mana whenua. However, it is evident that maps are a poor representation of mana, of how the people connected to the land; the whole concept of tāngata whenua is missing. This can be addressed by using the paepae concept to blend geographic space with cultural space to give some sense of the mana that is woven into the ancestral landscapes by the whakapapa, the stories and the songs that are still living and breathing among Māori today. This notion is part of the contribution to new knowledge.

**Mapping Cultural Space**

For Indigenous peoples, land has an enduring nature about it that connects generations of inhabitants together in a seamless framework that binds one to the other in a symbiotic manner. Indigenous peoples around the world share this unique relationship with their ancestral landscapes.

Imagine a map that could represent the stories behind each spatial component; a map that could expand each dot, line, polygon and image and give the full meaning, associated history and origin of each place name. It is important for Māori to continue
maintaining the *mana* of the land. The *paepae* concept is one way to map the *mana*; the *mana* contained in the *kōrero*, the *whenua kōrero*, and the stories as fully as possible. In this manner the *mana* and *tapu* is maintained. The key to getting it right is to ensure the *mana* and *tapu* of all the *whenua kōrero* remains intact during any part of the process; this requires an understanding of cultural conventions.

A certain amount of vision and understanding is required in mapping cultural space. Given the nature of a mapping project, as a social organisational event, a crucial component of any mapping proposal is to capture all the stories that reflect the sentiment, the connection and the *mana* of the *whenua kōrero* given by those who participate and share their knowledge.

The lessons learned from engaging in a mapping project that articulated the *mana whenua, mana moana* and *mana tangata* are invaluable in terms of understanding the sense and depth of connection Māori still have with their ancestral places. It was equally invaluable in learning how to work with people on the ground engaging with the patrons, drawing out the information in a meaningful way, whilst thinking about how to engage the technical aspects of the actual mapping itself. It is useful, or rather mandatory, to have a good grasp of *te reo Māori* and of the *tikanga* associated with being Māori. If you as a mapping coordinator or a researcher do not have the language, it becomes a technical process; and mapping instances of *mana* is not a technical process, it is a *tikanga* process. To observe this process of *tikanga* is to be proficient in the Māori world and the spatial information technology world. Furthermore, to understand how this process works is a contribution to a new way of thinking; it is a contribution of new knowledge to those researching in the Māori world from a spatial information technologies perspective.

**The creation of new knowledge**
This thesis has advanced academic knowledge by providing a process to view the largely invisible Māori world using a series of techniques that included spatial information technologies. By merging cultural knowledge contained within oral narratives with spatial information systems based on a Māori perspective, some aspects
of cultural knowledge became visible to an audience unfamiliar with the Māori language or who lacked critical understanding of the importance of the culture. This is the beginning of a new space informed not by one view of the world but informed by two world views; cultural space as determined by the Māori view of the world, and geographical space. The creation of maps depicting this new space becomes the lens by which both parties can view the landscape through their own lenses or world view. The creation of new space is mediated by the principles underlying the *paepae*, a well-known cultural icon in the Māori world. Despite the use of a Māori icon to mediate the new space, this space is not dominated by any one view over the other, neither does this space rely on the other to exist; but rather it is created by the contribution each view makes to the space. In this way each view retains their innate *mana*; each retains their rangatiratanga. Hence in the future when the landscape of spatial technology changes, the ancestral landscape as depicted by oral narratives will still remain unchanged; but it will still be able to merge with the new spatial information technology.

**Conclusion**

There is an old maxim that is often used when one has need of cultural and spiritual renewal and nourishment:

*Hoki atu ki tō maunga tapu,*  
*kia purea nei e te hau o Tawhirimatea.*

*Return to your sacred mountain*  
*And be purified by the winds of Tāwhirimātea*

The pathway up to the summit of *Tongariro*, my sacred mountain, is challenging; but the view from the top is absolutely breathtaking. My ancestor *Ngātoroirangi* climbed *Tongariro*, and was seized by the wintery breath. He summoned the *ahi tipua*, the fire gods who came to his aid leaving their mark in special locations throughout Aotearoa; one of which is *Ketetahi* nestled on the side of *Tongariro*. This thesis has taken both the reader and writer on a challenging journey. Each chapter raised new concepts challenging the reader to navigate the tenuous pathway until arriving at the final destination; the summit of the mountain.
As a Māori born and bred in Tūwharetoa, raised by those blessed with a unique worldview, and, by choice, chosen to pursue a doctoral journey I find myself at odds with both worlds; one foot in the Māori world, and one foot in the academic world. On the one hand my upbringing in the Māori world shaped my ideas in ways that are difficult to explain but are culturally relevant and appropriate to the way I choose to live. On the other hand, is the challenge of producing a piece of academic prose consistent with the academic rigor of the institution whilst contributing new knowledge to the academy. Thus having reached the summit of this mountain I find myself unwinding from the journey so that I can enjoy the view and succumb to the bite of Tongariro, the sacred mountain of the Tūwharetoa tribe. To unwind from this climb I acknowledge that as an academic I have absorbed several significant concepts and ideas in the field of spatial information systems. These concepts were blended with culturally significant data from the Māori world resulting in a series of maps depicting aspects of the ancestral landscapes thus making it visible to the outside world. This process was then tested in the field using spatial information technologies to ensure the voices of the landscape were heard; and yet I find myself strangely at odds with this, for although this dissertation marks a milestone in my academic career, in the Māori world I find myself still at the back of the marae helping out with the food preparations. From a Māori perspective cultural depth of knowledge and wisdom is a life-time pursuit.

As Māori scholars we have an obligation to our ancestors to grasp, observe and keep traditional ways of learning and knowing alive; it is what makes us unique as Māori. We must accept responsibility as guardians of ancestral knowledge for that which is passed on to us and ensure that it continues to be passed down to succeeding generations; for me that means using modern technology as appropriate to aid in this process. Now that I have reached the summit, and taken off my academic lenses, I see myself in a position to stand and speak knowing full well that I stand in both worlds with both feet firmly planted; my tūrangawaewae. It is with the greatest respect that I save these words for last:
Tēnei au
E aku nui, e aku rahi,
tēnei hoki te manawa ka ue,
tēnei hoki te manawa ka pore,
ko taku manawa ka hoatu,
te manawanui o Rangi!

Nāku ēnei kupu i tāngia nei
Nā Hauiti Hakopa
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