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Before Europeans arrived in Aotearoa, Māori did not comprehend of humanity except as beings physically and culturally the same as themselves. The word “māori” means normal, and tāngata māori, “māori” people, meant normal human beings who shared a language and culture in common. The most important divisions within Māori society were tribal, based on genealogical links.¹ The advent of Europeans complicated the Māori world-view that had to accommodate the concept of different peoples with different languages and cultures.² Māori may well, on first sight, have considered the strange visitors of the late eighteenth century the pale-skinned supernatural being they called “Pākehakeha”.³ This supernaturality, although not the differences, soon slipped away from Pākehā, although the term persisted for people of European descent. However, while the physical and cultural characteristics were sufficiently apparent for Māori to see themselves as culturally or racially different to Pākehā, they did not initially “imagine” themselves as a nation or people, but rather continued to tie identity to tribal groupings.⁴ The development of a Māori “national” or collective consciousness, always partial and mitigated by tribal identities, was a response to Pākehā settlement and colonialism in New Zealand and, as with emerging nationalisms in other societies, this was shaped by print culture, in particular newspapers.
This essay seeks to explicate the role of print culture in the growth of Māori identity in the nineteenth century. The impact of print on society has long been acknowledged, and indeed anticipated by the early Pākehā purveyors of printed material to Māori. Scholars globally have also recognized the transformative power of print. However, within New Zealand, academics have been less comfortable in imagining Māori as a “nation”, and when Māori “nationalism” is acknowledged, have shied away from theorizing on its nature and origins. Within the Māori world loyalties and self-identification still lean heavily towards whānau, hapū and iwi, perhaps more so in the present due to the effect of the Waitangi Tribunal. It is outside, in the pragmatic struggle with the challenges of the Pākehā world, that a “Māori” self-identification has more relevance. As John Rangihau stated, ‘My being Maori is absolutely dependent on my history as a Tuhoe person as against being a Maori person’. However, both the nature of colonialism and Māori aspirations possess a dynamism that affect their relationship with each other, and thus the ongoing forms of Māori self-identification.

Benedict Anderson, in *Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism*, explicitly linked print, coupled with capitalism, as instrumental in the formation of forms of “national consciousness”, and this essay utilizes relevant parts of his thesis as points of comparison in considering the relationship in nineteenth-century Māori society. Although there has been some critique of his concepts and model, Anderson may nevertheless have provided a ‘systematic comparative approach [that] has made a contribution to our understanding that is quite independent of the validity of its specific conclusions’. Indeed his work has proved influential, with scholars still prepared to engage with his ideas. This essay does not seek to slavishly compare Māori society to all the various theoretical components of Anderson’s model but to investigate the nature of Māori collective identity using several core elements of his thesis: first that nationalism developed as the importance of religion and
monarchy decreased;\textsuperscript{12} second that the advent of capitalism, in particular one of its first manifestations, printing, facilitated the development of national identities.\textsuperscript{13} Capitalism increasingly required wider levels of literacy and education.\textsuperscript{14} Printing was eventually undertaken in vernacular languages, particularly after the Reformation, creating ‘monoglot mass reading publics’, reading, and increasingly speaking, the same language.\textsuperscript{15} The very act of reading print, say a newspaper, allowed an individual to imagine him or herself as part of a larger population, all reading the newspaper at the same time. People became more aware of commonalities of the group whilst its members effectively remained strangers.\textsuperscript{16} Thus one’s sense of belonging was not primarily centred on a small group of people all of whom you knew, but a larger, ‘imagined’ population, such as the nation.

To what extent did this apply in New Zealand? The mere presence of newspapers did not, in itself, necessarily engender national sentiment. The colonial newspapers read by Pākehā concerned themselves with local affairs or international news, with ‘national’ news a low priority, leading Tony Ballantyne to suggest that ‘between 1850 and 1900, no [New Zealand] newspaper could claim to be national’.\textsuperscript{17} In contrast, Māori-language newspapers, even when produced by particular tribal groupings, looked out beyond the local to wider Māori issues.\textsuperscript{18} The significant and rapid cultural and economic change (due to the introduction of such things as guns, potatoes, Christianity and disease) impacted on all Māori communities.\textsuperscript{19} This was followed by a formal colonisation in 1840 bringing all Māori together, albeit nominally at first, under the British Crown. The desire for Pākehā goods encouraged Māori to become proactive in exploring new opportunities for trade with Pākehā.\textsuperscript{20} However while it would be difficult to argue that Māori society, at least in the early colonial period, was fully integrated within capitalist structures, as Paul Monin states, ‘Māori economic activity existed within, and its prospects were ultimately determined by, a developing world economic system’.\textsuperscript{21} Despite most Māori
organizing tribally rather than as individuals, they engaged in agricultural trade for profit, and invested in assets such as flourmills and sailing ships with the intention of making money.22 Although the missionaries feared an excessive interest in commerce might divert Māori from higher pursuits,23 they nevertheless strove to make Māori useful and productive.24 The government also encouraged economic activity in its early newspapers, printing financial forecasts, produce prices and shipping intelligence.25 Although Māori were not yet the homogenous yet individualized proletariat considered ideal for the growth of national consciousness as suggested by Anderson, capitalism nevertheless impacted on Māori society in the early nineteenth century and as colonization progressed through the colonial period, Māori became increasingly enmeshed in the cash-based economy.26

Print culture had a profound effect on nineteenth-century Māori society, but its existence and development were not driven by commercial imperatives. In Anderson’s model capitalism and print combine in modern Europe, promoting vernacular print cultures accessible to wider segments of more local populations. Printing was a business. A vernacular (of mutually comprehensible dialects) existed for Māori that allowed, first the missionaries and then government officials, to disseminate the same printed texts around New Zealand.27 Although they may have ‘shared a commitment to British capitalist interests’28 and promoted commercial activity, seeking a financial return for printing Māori-language material was rare and almost never achieved in the nineteenth century. Their agenda was to change Māori thought and behaviour. Although at times missionaries exchanged scriptural works for goods or money, the intent was to subsidize the printing costs or to engender a sense of value in the product, rather than seeking to make a profit.29 Similarly, newspapers were either given away free, or a charge levied with the hope of mitigating some or all of the production costs.30 Walter Buller, editor of Te Karere o Poneke, even published the
names of defaulting subscribers as a means of gaining funds for his faltering newspaper.\textsuperscript{31} With little opportunity for Māori-language print to develop into a commercial success, it does not quite meet the definition of Anderson’s print-capitalism, and may be more correctly described as print-colonialism. It is true that colonialism of the modern era was predicated on capitalist endeavour; that both missionaries and government officials sought to make Māori more economically useful; and that Māori themselves initiated commercial undertakings. However, the Māori-language print trade itself was unsustainable without underwriting from missionaries, government, or Māori themselves. The actual printing was more often performed by Pākehā, and the motives of the Māori producers of print, like their Pākehā counterparts, were ideological rather than commercial in nature.

Although the texts available to Māori were less extensive in number and scope than to many other societies, they nevertheless were instrumental in broadening concepts of belonging to larger groupings beyond those of hapū or iwi, as discussed in Michael Steven’s article in this volume. At first, literature was largely limited to scriptural works, but this should not be underestimated. For example, scholars have long noted the indirect impact of Luther’s translation of the Bible on German national consciousness.\textsuperscript{32} Māori embraced literacy and Bible ownership as a means to gaining access to new knowledge,\textsuperscript{33} and in the 1830s large numbers of Māori were reading, and were influenced by the Bible and missionary teaching. This included notions of Christian brotherhood, which led some converts to attempt to carry the message to traditional enemies in the 1830s and 1840s, sometimes with fatal results.\textsuperscript{34} From 1842 Māori were exposed to Māori-language newspapers, the first, \textit{Te Karere o Nui Tireni}, printed by the newly established colonial government. These newspapers also assisted in creating a self-conscious reading community, which connected the scattered Māori population. Compared to modern newspapers,
news was often old as niupepa appeared fortnightly or monthly rather than daily. Generally small print runs were produced. Anderson’s daily ‘ceremony … being replicated simultaneously by thousands (or millions)’ reading the same text does not really apply to nineteenth-century Māori society, although Māori, like Anderson’s readers, were aware of the wider reading community. Māori wrote many letters to newspapers with the express purpose of reaching as wide an audience as possible. Newspapers were also shared and consumed multiple times, read out at meetings and discussed publically, and in the 1840s Māori travelled to Auckland when Te Karere o Nui Tireni appeared to meet and discuss its contents. The first two decades of niupepa, produced by the government, some missionary churches and a few Pākehā evangelic philanthropists unwittingly helped foster a Māori consciousness: subsequent niupepa initiated by Māori organizations which had formed in response to colonization, were more deliberate in their attempts to construct identity.

The colonial project in New Zealand was not about creating a separate Māori national consciousness, but drawing Māori into the settler world. At the signing of the Treaty of Waitangi in 1840, Hobson had greeted each dignitary with ‘He iwi tahi tatou’—‘we are one people, reflecting that Treaty endowed Māori with the rights and privileges of British subjects. Subsequently the government followed a policy of ‘amalgamation’ primarily designed to bring Māori within the framework of, and under the control of the state, but also to assimilate Māori into Pākehā ways. This was translated as ‘he iwi kotahi’—the one people policy. The government produced the first Māori-language newspaper, Ko te Karere o Nui Tireni in 1842 to encourage Māori to strive for modernity and to adopt Western cultural norms, including recognition of the primacy of English Law and supremacy of the government. The missionaries followed a very similar agenda. There was no hidden agenda about the Pākehā desire to pass on knowledge to Māori and to tutor them into the
modern world. For example in 1843, **Ko te Karere** explained its mission, which proved a consistent theme for Pākehā-run niupepa through much of the colonial period.

People ask us, for what purpose is your newspaper printed? And we say, our newspaper is printed so that the Māori can advance, so that he understands our customs, and so that each tribe knows which tribes are progressing and the things through which they progress.\(^{40}\)

Charles Davis, who produced niupepa both for the Native Department and independently for evangelical purposes, reproduced a similar message in his paper, *Te Waka o te Iwi*, in 1857, in which can be seen the Pākehā vision of newspapers as not only a conduit of knowledge, but an instrument of civilization itself.

One of my current projects is the printing press for you, the Māori people. Friends, this idea is very proper because this is a treasure that enlightens the ignorant, that shows up fault, and points out what is right. This is the treasure by which the Pākehā became great, and came by their many amazing ideas. So I say to you to embrace this initiative which will civilize [ennoble] you, so you can achieve your desires, because it is right.\(^{41}\)

The Pākehā-run Māori-language newspapers thus promulgated Western culture, sometimes translated as ‘the good customs of the Pākehā’, to Māori. This was presented from a position of cultural superiority, and sometimes in a rather aggressive and hectoring manner, as can be seen in this appeal to Māori to aspire to education.

Where is your planked house, or house of stone and brick? Where is your ship? Where was it built? You like the things of the Pākehā, the gun, clothes, [??], books
but some of you won’t know how to make these things. How many of you knew how to sail a ship? Where is the person who knows about the many islands of the ocean and the foreigners living in the world? Who is the person who understands many foreign languages? Listen here my friends, it is the Pākehā who knows all these things…

Although many Māori, particularly in the first two decades of colonization, did aspire to modernity, this sort of message may well have alienated Māori rather than motivated them to become more like Pākehā. Discourses that proclaim that you are not like us only reinforce existing notions of difference.

For the government, the political aspects of amalgamation—Māori and Pākehā sitting together under the rule of law—were as important as the cultural aspects, if not more so, but ironically these would have contributed to Māori feelings of collectivity separate from Pākehā. For example, the discourse of unity is clearly visible in the banner for Te Manuhiri Tuarangi, a government niupepa, calling on the Māori and the Pākehā to be united. It does not call on Pākehā to unite with Ngāti Porou, Ngāpuhi, Tainui, or other tribal groups, despite tribal political divisions remaining very strong within Māori society. Rather the paper conflates all Māori tribes into one race, Māori, and inadvertently indicates that the Māori and Pākehā were as yet still

Figure 1: The slogan, calling on Māori and Pākehā to be united, featured on the masthead of the government’s bilingual newspaper, Te Manuhiri Tuarangi and Maori Intelligencer (1861).
somewhat apart. The rhetoric of “one people” was undercut by a colonial binary logic in which the colonizers and colonized were defined as Pākehā and Māori, European and native, white skin and black skin, older and younger brother, civilized and savage, you and us. The bilingual Te Manuhiri Tuarangi (Fig. 1) not only defined the desired unity as being composed as two halves, but further exposed the division by presenting its texts in parallel but separate columns.

The disjunctive between the rhetoric of unity and the binary logic of colonialism represented a clash between political ideals and cultural power, sometimes played out in the Māori press. For example, in 1856 Te Karere o Poneke printed letters from Isaac Featherstone and Edward Stafford over Māori rights to enrol as voters. Featherstone feared a large Māori voting bloc in the Wellington province but Stafford, then Premier, informed him that if Māori qualified to vote then they could register, as befitted their equal status under the Treaty.43 However, because their systems of land tenure and the nature of their dwellings were culturally different to Pākehā, most Māori did not meet the property requirements of the franchise, and Wellington authorities subsequently moved to remove any ineligible Māori from the rolls.44 Moreover, Pākehā administrations, whether Crown colony or representative settler governments, were reluctant to share power with Māori and passed laws that discriminated against tāngata whenua. Governments used their perceptions of the low cultural state of Māori to justify their actions, arguing that Māori, as a race, were not sufficiently advanced to enjoy all the rights of citizenship.45

Māori did not unreservedly accept the discourses that Pākehā officials and missionaries were promulgating. While on a cultural level many Māori embraced Christianity and the concept of modernity and some even wanted settlers as neighbours, many felt uncomfortable at the thought of Pākehā political institutions intruding into their communities.46 Although the focus for Māori was their own tribal communities, they could see what was
happening to others, and were prepared pragmatically to ally themselves with like-minded tribal groups to present a common front as a means of controlling or confronting colonization. This was what motivated some Māori iwi to form the Kingitanga, and to select Pōtatau Te Wherowhero as the first Māori King.47

For Anderson, the initial movements of modern nationalisms, such as the French and American revolutions involved throwing off the shackles of monarchy, and it may seem strange that Māori might choose a king as the vehicle of their own national consciousness. However Māori looked to Europe, particularly Britain, as the fount of modernity and there, in the mid 1850s, princes, kings and emperors were the norm. Thus to nineteenth-century Māori, monarchy was part of the new world. But it was also the concept of sovereign power held by such monarchs that was attractive, as discussed by Wiremu Toetoe, who had lived for a time in Vienna, and printed in Te Hokioi, the Kingitanga’s newspaper.

I have been overseas and have seen the kings living on that great land, Europe. The mana of one king does not trespass onto another king. And so I then thought (alas!) what the people had decided, to establish a king for themselves, was indeed right.48

Just as monarchy reflected modernity, Biblical knowledge had also become part of the new nineteenth-century Māori worldview. When Wiremu Tamihana, the so-called Kingmaker, wrote to Governor Browne to justify the King movement, he referenced Deuteronomy 17:15 which states that ‘one from among thy brethren shalt thou set king over thee: thou mayest not set a stranger over thee, which is not thy brother’, and gave as examples a number of monarchs then reigning over their own people. To the suggestion that Māori should come under the Queen, he asked why the Americans were permitted to separate, when they were of the same ethnic background.49 Clearly the Bible, a central component of nineteenth century Māori print
culture and knowledge, was also instrumental in the construction of a collective Māori consciousness.

The Kingitanga was also prepared to employ the Pākehā colonial racial discourse for its own purposes. For example, King Tāwhiao, in an address printed in *Te Hokioi* stated ‘This is my message to you, all the black-skins, whether on the Queen’s side, or the King’s’. By adopting ‘blackskins’, a term that Pākehā newspapers utilized to contrast Māori and Pākehā racially and culturally, Tāwhiao sought to unite all Māori, whatever their political loyalties, while maintaining the ethnic differentiation with Pākehā. *Te Hokioi* also maintained this black/white dichotomy in its accounts of Haiti, which it used as an exemplar for anti-colonial struggle that Māori could identify with.

Let the [Māori] councils operate, wait and perhaps the rangatiratanga of this land will be like that of Haiti, with wealth, power and laws because we are striving for the right cause. Perhaps God will protect his black-skinned children living in Aotearoa.

The Waikato War (1863-64) dashed any real hopes of the Kingitanga uniting Māori within a viable nation, but the movement, even after making its peace with the New Zealand government in 1881, still stressed that it represented Māori independence despite being made up, at this time, mainly of Waikato and Ngāti Maniapoto. In the 1890s the Kingitanga produced another newspaper, *Te Paki o Matariki*, whose bilingual banner in English addressed ‘the nations and Tribes’ of New Zealand, proclaiming ‘This Paper is published by the Independent Maori Power, of Aotearoa’. The Māori text translates as ‘To the iwi and hapū . . . This Press belongs to the Kingitanga of the Māori people (iwi) of Aotearoa’. The word ‘iwi’ is used in various ways, as a plural to indicate larger tribal groupings (referred to as ‘nations’ in English) and in the singular, as the Māori people or race, and also potentially nation. In 1893
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the newspaper published another bilingual article criticizing the
government. The English text stated:

Now friends the Maori nations who are residing on
these Islands Aotearoa and Waipounamu. There is
always greetings in me towards you. Our friend the
Government must not think I am speaking to him, no
but to ourselves the Maori nation of these Islands....
My greatest thoughts are ourselves the image of our
ancestors which is handed down to us their offspring[.]

The English translation refers to a singular 'Māori nation of
these islands', while the Māori text addresses ngā iwi Māori in the
plural, indicating that the messages may have been different for
Māori and Pākehā audiences. Both texts nevertheless combine all
Māori, not only those standing separate from the government. It
is clear that the Kingitanga, despite not representing all Māori,
still held the torch for a united Māori consciousness.

The Kingitanga was not the only movement that possessed
pan-Māori aspirations of the post-New Zealand Wars era.
Colonization impacted on all Māori, whether they had fought for
or against the Crown, or had remained neutral. Although some
historians, such as James Belich, might suggest that the Crown
did not decisively defeat Māori during the war phase, by the
1870s the position for all Māori tribes had become weaker and
the need for political unity more imperative. While such moves
may have been pragmatic, the kotahitanga (unity) movements
nevertheless produced a discourse of the political unity of te iwi
Māori (the Māori people, race or nation). For example, the
Hawkes Bay/Wairarapa newspaper, *Te Wananga*, introduced itself
thus:

..you are perhaps wanting to know, what is *Te Wananga.*
Listen, I, *Te Wananga*, am a Press to put out the debates
of each waka, whether they are former rebel tribes, or
government tribes. We are all Māori. [The purpose of]
this, *Te Wananga*, is to put in plain words the afflictions oppressing te iwi Māori.58

However, political differences arising from the wars meant that cooperation between the Kingitanga and former loyalists would be unlikely, but the latter, with neutral tribal groupings, were able to work together, in large inter-tribal meetings in the 1870s which developed more formally into into *Te Kotahitanga o te Tiriti o Waitangi*. 59 The Kotahitanga established its own Māori Parliament at Papawai in the Wairarapa,60 as well as a series of newspapers.

![Figure 2: The banner of the Kotahitanga newspaper, Huia Tangata Kotahi (1893-1895), depicting Māori pulling the two islands of New Zealand together.](image)

The banner of the newspaper, *Huia Tangata Kotahi* (fig. 2), illustrates the collective Māori consciousness espoused by the Kotahitanga. The title roughly means people combining together as one, but “huia” in the title is a pun, meaning being gathered together, but also the bird whose feathers indicate noble status. The banner also contains the image of two Māori men pulling the two islands of New Zealand together, with huia feathers in
the centre. The islands are, in effect, the colonial ‘map-as-logo’, identified by Anderson, which could form ‘a powerful emblem for the anti-colonial nationalisms being born’.61 This idea of unity was also enunciated in the first issue of the paper: ‘May all the tribes be gathered together so that actions and thoughts of the Māori tribes of the North and South Islands will be as one’.62 The paper saw itself not just reporting news, but as an instrument of the Māori people. It did not always envisage Māori as tribal, as ngā iwi Māori (Māori tribes) but in the singular, te iwi, the people, or the race. As it stated, ‘it was decided to establish this treasure to benefit te iwi, that is, as a voice and ears, to show the actions and arrangements being done for te iwi.’63

*Huia Tangata Kotahi* was followed by another Kotahitanga newspaper, *Te Puke ki Hikurangi*, which promulgated a very similar discourse to its predecessor. In particular, this newspaper continued to define the Māori people as a singular concept. For example, in 1898 the newspaper stated:

This is something sad bubbling up in the mind about us, te Iwi Māori, living in the islands called New Zealand. In the times of our ancestors, this people, the Māori, had two treasures: one was people, one was land. In these days there are also two, one is land, the other is money. This people, the Māori, is a people urgently crying to those in the past…64

The author not only discusses Māori as a people, but also projects the concept back in time, not as something imagined into being after contact with Pākehā, but existing from the distant past.

The Kotahitanga languished in the start of the twentieth century, and was eclipsed by the Young Māori Party,65 a group of young Christian Māori men, who sought to reform the Māori race. Unlike the Kotahitanga, which had been concerned about rangatiratanga and land issues, the Young Māori Party was more worried about the survival and advancement of Māori as a race.
Although their policies, designed to bring Māori into the modern world, have sometimes been criticized as assimilatory in nature, their focus was always on Māori as a race or ethnicity.\(^66\) The movement, with its strong links to the Church of England, utilized the Anglican niupepa, *Te Pipiwharauroa* to push its ideas to Māori people through print. Although some of their discussion of Māori activity could be negative or scolding, this was balanced with positive articles on young educated Māori, and other successes that all Māori could be proud of, with the underlying concern for racial survival and progress.

Another century begins next year. The young men of today will be the important people for the beginning of the century, and the responsibility for the life or death of the Māori race is upon them. Men, live properly and behave appropriately towards each other this year, so when you go into the new century beginning, you will be familiar with good habits, and the plans that we put forth for our race will be right.\(^67\)

As Bernard Cohn has noted, the census was a colonial instrument that also allowed indigenous subjects to reflect on their own supposed identities.\(^68\) Certainly, the Young Māori Party used the census as a yardstick of the condition of the Māori race. When census figures in 1901 indicated an increase in the Māori population *Te Pipiwharauroa* printed the figures over two successive months, and its editor, Rēweti Kōhere, gleefully critiqued ‘Pākehā prophets’, such as Sir Walter Buller, who had earlier predicted the demise of the Māori early in the twentieth century. Kōhere also noted that many ‘half-castes’ had not been recorded as Māori and attributed its own and the Young Māori Party’s efforts as contributing to the increase.\(^69\)

Much of the Young Māori Party’s discourse on Māori as a people centred on racial pride, coupled with encouragement or admonition designed to induce Māori to improve. Its leaders had
been educated at Te Aute, the preeminent school for Māori boys, and no doubt had picked up many of the beliefs about racial hierarchy prevalent at the time, which emerged in some of their newspaper reporting. For example in an article on Australian Aboriginals who had murdered some whites near Sydney, it noted 'The black race of Australia is one of the lowest races of this world, and it is said that the Māori race is the most noble of all the native races'. It was prepared to concede that 'some Māori debase themselves by murdering people' although did not diminish the overall status of the Māori people as a whole. The Young Māori Party thus utilized Te Pipiwharauroa in response to fears of an ethnic or racial demise to promote a collective Māori consciousness. This dovetailed neatly into its vision of a moral, modern and proud Māori people.

Benedict Anderson suggests, 'all communities larger than primordial villages of face-to-face contact (and perhaps even these) are imagined'. How groups self-identify can be very dynamic, and Māori are no exception. Pre-contact Māori, as far as we can surmise, were unaware of other ethnic groups. They structured notions of human similarity and difference around genealogical links, and were unlikely to have developed an ethnic consciousness. Such an imagining could only develop after encountering an 'Other', such as Europeans. Tāngata māori and Pākehā were sufficiently strange to each other, that consciousness of this difference would have been inevitable from the start. At contact, this would have been a consciousness of physical, cultural or linguistic difference. Yet the mere knowledge of other races would not have been sufficient for Māori to start imagining themselves politically beyond tribal groups and traditional alliances.

According to Anderson, capitalism and print can assist in the development of national consciousnesses, by creating a wider reading public consuming texts printed in vernacular languages, and by allowing individuals reading these texts to imagine themselves being connected to other readers. While nineteenth
century Māori do not neatly fit Anderson’s model, the latter does provide a theoretical framework as a starting point to comprehend the development of a collective Māori consciousness. In particular their society had not yet experienced full-blown capitalism and industrialization, yet it nevertheless underwent equally significant socio-economic change through mass conversion to Christianity, and increasing engagement with the market economy. Māori literacy parallels the spread of literacy in vernacular languages elsewhere, although not as a by-product and agent of capitalism but rather of religious conversion. Although capital was essential to both the existence and success of New Zealand’s colonization, it was the social and political aspects of colonization rather than capitalism that impacted most directly on Māori society, and contributed to the rise of a Māori national consciousness. Despite New Zealand having been founded on the Treaty of Waitangi which espoused racial equality, with a government that pursued a policy of amalgamation of ‘he iwi kotahi’ (one people), colonial society was undercut with a binary based both on perceived differences in race and civilization. Despite proclaiming the theoretical equality that all races shared, the government’s Māori-language newspapers justified the inferior Māori position in practice on cultural difference. Māori were not yet fully civilized, and were still the younger brother to be tutored by his older brother. This binary, espoused within the early niupepa, can only have accentuated notions of Māori difference to Pākehā, which in turn helped foster a collective Māori identity.

One can perhaps argue that conscious acts by Māori to politically organize in pan-Māori movements were merely pragmatic in the face of colonization, and that tribal identity will always trump ethnic collectivity. That may be so, but colonialism was a reality Māori had to face. Both the Kingitanga and the Kotahitanga utilized print culture to espouse a Māori collectivity as a means of furthering their political aims around land, mana and rangatiratanga. The Young Māori Party did not share these
political goals, but nevertheless colonialism had conditioned them to accept a racialized world. They too employed print to address their most pressing concern, the survival, rejuvenation and improvement of Māori, as a race. Print culture was a vital ingredient in the rise of a collective Māori consciousness through the nineteenth century, just as it was for other ethnicities, but is best understood not in terms of Anderson’s print-capitalism, but of print-colonization.
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30 For example see *Te Karere o Poneke*, (29 March 1858), pp. 2-3; *Te Haecata*, (1 January 1860), p. 1; *Te Waka Maori o Ahuriri*, (8 August 1863), p. 4.
31 *Te Karere o Poneke*, (15 August 1858), p. 2.
33 It is difficult to assess accurately the true extent of Māori literacy in the nineteenth century, with different scholars using different methodologies to arrive at different conclusions. For example, C.J. Parr, 'Māori Literacy', *Journal of the Polynesian Society*, 72 (1963), pp. 219, 220; D.F. McKenzie, *Oral Culture, Literacy & print in Early New Zealand* (Wellington: Victoria University Press, 1985), p. 34n; Keith Sinclair, *Kinds of Peace: Māori people after the Wars, 1870-85* (Auckland: Auckland University Press, 1991), p. 34. It was likely that Māori literacy was relatively high compared to the Pākehā population, and that due to the tribal nature of Māori society, literates passed knowledge gleaned from reading on to non-literate Paterson, *Colonial Discourses*, pp. 38-9.
35 Anderson, p. 35.

*Te Waka o te Iwi*, (October 1857), p. 1. ‘Ko tetahi o aku tikanga ka mea atu nei ko te perehi ta pukapuka mo koutou mo nga iwi Maori. Nui atu e hoa ma te tika o tenei whakaaro no te mea he taonga whakamohio tenei i te hunga e kuare ana, he kai whakaatu i te he, he kai tohutohu i te tika ko te taonga tenei i nui ai te pakeha, i whiwhi ai ki te tini o ana whakaaro whakamiharo. Na ka mea atu ahau ki a koutou kia maia ki tenei tikanga whakarangatira mo koutou, kia turuki to koutou hiahia, no te mea ko te tika tenei.’

*Kei hea ta koutou whare papa, whare kowhata, pereki ranei? Kei hea ta koutou kaipuke? I hanga ki hea? E wakapai ana koutou ki nga mea a te pakeha, te pu, nga kakahu, nga titaha, nga puka, e kore etahi o koutou e matau ana ki te hanga i enei mea; e hia o koutou e matau ki te wakatere kaipuke? kei hea te tangata e mohio ana ki nga tini motu o te moana, me nga tauiwie e noho ana i te ao nei? kowai te tangata i mohio ki nga tini reo o nga tauiwie. Kia rongo mai e hoa ma ko te pakeha e mohio ana ki enei mea katoa…’

*Te Karere o Poneke*, (26 April 1858), pp. 2-3.


*Appendices to the Journal of the House of Representatives*, (1865), E-11, pp. 4, 6.


There has been considerable academic debate on the term, rangatiratanga, which represents Māori rights protected in the Māori version of the Treaty of Waitangi. It can represent chiefly rights, autonomy, and independence but was also used in the nineteenth century to translate concepts such as wealth and civilisation.

*Te Hokioi*, (2 April 1863), p. 2. 'Waiho marire ki a mahi nga runanga, taihoa pea ka rite te Rangatiratanga o te motu nei ki to Haiti, whai taonga, whai mana, whai ture, tatemea e tohe ana matou ki te taha tika, tera pea te Atua e tiaki i ona tamariki kiri mangu, e noho ana ki Aotearoa.'

*Te Paki o Matariki*, (8 May 1893), p. 1. 'Ki nga Iwi, ki nga Hapu . . . Ko tenei Perehi, na Te Kingitanga, o te Iwi Maori, o Aotearoa'.

*Te Paki o Matariki*, (8 May 1893), pp. 4-5. 'Na e hoa ma e nga Iwi Maori e noho nei i Aotearoa me te Waipounamu, He aumihiti tonu kei roto i ahau mo koutou kei whakaaro mai ra, to tatuho hoa te Kawanatanga, e korero atu ana ahau kia ia, kaore. Engari kia tatuou ki nga Iwi Maori, o te Motu nei . . . Heoi ra ko taku whakaaro ia ko
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tatou ano, i runga i te ahua o tatou Tupuna, tuku iho ana kia tatou ki nga Uri e ora nei.’
56 Belich, Making Peoples, pp. 257-68.
58 Te Wananga, (4 September 1874), p. 9. ‘...e kimikimi ana pea koutou, he aha ra a Te Wananga, whakarongo mai, he Perehi ahau a Te Wananga hei whakaatu i nga korero a ia Waka, a ia Waka, ahakoa iwi hauhau, iwi Kawanatanga, he Maori katoa tatou, tenei Te Wananga hei whakamarama i nga mame e peehi nei i te iwi maori...’
59 Belich, Making Peoples, p. 267
60 Walker, Ka Whawhai Tonu, pp. 153-6, 165-6
61 Anderson, p. 175.
62 Huia Tangata Kotahi, (8 February 1893), p. 3. ‘Kia huia nga iwi kotoa kia kotahi te haere mete whakaro onga iwi maori, o Aotearoa mete waipounam.’
63 Huia Tangata Kotahi, (25 November 1893), p. 1. ‘...ka whakarotia nei kia whakaaahia tenei taonga hei pai mo te iwi, ara hei Reo, hei Taringa, hei whakaatu inga mahi me nga tikanga e mahia nei mo te iwi...’
64 Te Puke ki Hikurangi, (2 August 1898), p.1. ‘Tenei te ngakau aroha te pupu ake nei i roto i te hinengaro, mo tatau mo te iwi Maori, e noho nei i runga i nga motu e kiai nei Niu Tiren, e rua nga taonga nui o tenei iwi o te Maori i te takiwa i o tatau Tipuna, he Tangata tetahi, he Whenua tetahi, i enei ra, e rua hoki, he Whenua tetahi, he moni tetahi, ko tenei Iwi ko te Maori, ko Iwi tangi nono kia ratau i mua...’
65 This was originally known as Te Aute College Students Association as many of its members had attended that school.
67 Te Pipiwaiwaaaroa, (1 January 1900), p. 5. ‘ka timata he rau tau ke a tera tau. Ko nga tamariki taane o naiane i nga tino tangata mo te
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wahi timatanga o tera rau tau, a kei runga i a ratou te ora te mate ranei mo te Iwi Maori. E tama ma, kia pai te noho, kia tika te mahi a tetahi ki tetahi i tenei tau, kia uru rawa ake ai tatou ki te rau tau mea ake nei ka timata, kua waia tatou ki nga tikanga pai, ka tika hoki a tatou tikanga e whakatakoto ai mo to tatou Iwi.'


69 Te Pīpīwharauroa, (1 May 1901), p. 1; (1 June 1901), p. 5.

70 Te Pīpīwharauroa, (1 August 1900), p. 11. ‘Ko te iwi mangumangu o Ahitereiria tetahi o nga iwi whakamutunga mai i te ao nei, a e kiia ana ko te iwi Maori te iwi rangatira atu o nga iwi maori katoa, otita e whakataurekareka ana ano etahi Maori i a ratou ki te kohuru tangata.’

71 Anderson, p. 6.